## Meadow jumping mouse

Zapus hudsonius

Review Status: Reviewed (general)

Version Date: 04 February 2020

## **Conservation Status**

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Least ConcernAudubon AK:S Rank: S5USFWS:BLM:

	Final Rank	5			
Conserva unknown status and either h	tion category: iigh biological vu	0	h action need		
Category	Range	Score			
Status	-20 to 20	0			
Biologic	al -50 to 50	-26			
Action	-40 to 40	32			
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern					

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Popula	tion Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unkno	own.	
Distrib	ution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
	s over the last 50 years are unknown. Modeling by Baltensperger and Huettmann (2015a) ets that the distribution of meadow jumping mice in Alaska will increase as the climate warms.	
	Status Total:	0
Biologia	$\mathbf{cal}$ - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest	0
0	<ul> <li>cal - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).</li> <li>tion Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> </ul>	Ū
0	greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). tion Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	Score
Popular Unkno	greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). tion Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	Score

~270,000 sq. km.

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Does not concentrate.	
Reproductive Potential in Alaska	
Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	-5
No data for Alaska. Elsewhere in the United States, breeds in their first year (Quimby 1951).	
Number of Young (-5 to 5)	1
Females can produce 1 to 2 litters per year (Quimby 1951; Whitaker 1963; Whitney 1973). Whitney (1973) recorded a litter size of six in Alaska (Whitney 1973). Elsewhere in the United States, litter sizes ranges from 2 to 8 throughout the rest of the United States (Quimby 1951; Whitaker 1963; Whitney 1973).	
Ecological Specialization in Alaska	
Dietary (-5 to 5)	-5
Unknown in Alaska. Elsewhere in the United States range consumes insects, grass seeds, and various fruits (Quimby 1951; Whitaker 1963).	
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Occurs mainly in thick vegetation near bodies of water such as marshes, ponds, and streams. Also	
found in herbaceous meadows and shrubby habitats. In the winter they hibernate in well-drained soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).	
	: -26
soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Biological Total Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action	: -26 Scor
soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Biological Total Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Scor
<ul> <li>soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).</li> <li>Biological Total</li> <li>Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).</li> <li>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).</li> <li>Biological Total</li> <li>Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).</li> <li>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).</li> </ul>	<b>Scor</b> 10
<ul> <li>soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).</li> <li>Biological Total</li> <li>Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).</li> <li>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).</li> </ul>	Scor
<ul> <li>soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).</li> <li>Biological Total</li> <li>Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).</li> <li>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).</li> <li>Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Range and habitat associations are somewhat known (MacDonald and Cook 2009; ARCTOS 2016).</li> <li>Occasionally captured in small mammal surveys (Nolan and Peirce 1996; Savage 2003; Cook and MacDonald 2005). Additional surveys are required to document their distribution in southcoastal and eastern interior Alaska (Cook and MacDonald 2003; ARCTOS 2016).</li> </ul>	<b>Scor</b> 10 2
soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Biological Total Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) Range and habitat associations are somewhat known (MacDonald and Cook 2009; ARCTOS 2016). Occasionally captured in small mammal surveys (Nolan and Peirce 1996; Savage 2003; Cook and MacDonald 2005). Additional surveys are required to document their distribution in southcoastal and eastern interior Alaska (Cook and MacDonald 2003; ARCTOS 2016). Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)	<b>Scor</b> 10
soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Biological Total Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) Range and habitat associations are somewhat known (MacDonald and Cook 2009; ARCTOS 2016). Occasionally captured in small mammal surveys (Nolan and Peirce 1996; Savage 2003; Cook and MacDonald 2005). Additional surveys are required to document their distribution in southcoastal and eastern interior Alaska (Cook and MacDonald 2003; ARCTOS 2016). Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) Not currently monitored.	<b>Scor</b> 10 2
<ul> <li>soils (MacDonald and Cook 2009).</li> <li>Biological Total</li> <li>Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).</li> <li>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).</li> <li>Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)</li> <li>Range and habitat associations are somewhat known (MacDonald and Cook 2009; ARCTOS 2016).</li> <li>Occasionally captured in small mammal surveys (Nolan and Peirce 1996; Savage 2003; Cook and MacDonald 2005). Additional surveys are required to document their distribution in southcoastal and</li> </ul>	<b>Scor</b> 10 2 10

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:

None or Prohibited

Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	<10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	<25%
Peripheral:	No

## References

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Baltensperger, A. P., and F. Huettmann. 2015a. Predicted shifts in small mammal distributions and biodiversity in the altered future environment of Alaska: an open access data and machine learning perspective. PLoS ONE 10(7):e0132054. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132054

Boonstra, R., and J. A. Hoyle. 1986. Rarity and coexistence of a small hibernator, Zapus hudsonius, with fluctuating populations of Microtus pennsylvanicus in the grasslands of southern Ontario. The Journal of Animal Ecology 55(3):773–784.

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2003. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. Annual Report 2001-2002, Idaho State University, Boise, ID, USA.

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2005. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Southwest Alaska Network [...]. Report NPS/AKRSWAN/NRTR-2005/05. National Park Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Nolan, K. S., and J. M. Peirce. 1996. A survey of small mammals in Wood-Tikchik State Park, Alaska. Northwestern Naturalist 77(2):44–45. DOI: 10.2307/3536618

Quimby, D. C. 1951. The life history and ecology of the jumping mouse, Zapus hudsonius. Ecological Monographs 21(1):61–95. DOI: 10.2307/1948646

Savage, S. 2003. Small mammal trapping baseline surveys Mother Goose Lake, Alaska Peninsula/Becharof NWR, Alaska, June-August 2002. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Complex, King Salmon, AK, USA.

Whitaker, J. O. 1963. A study of the meadow jumping mouse, Zapus hudsonius (Zimmerman), in central New York. Ecological Monographs 33(3):215–254. DOI: 10.2307/1942627

Whitney, P. H. 1973. Population biology and energetics of three species of small mammals in the taiga of interior Alaska. PhD thesis, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK