Barren ground shrew

Sorex ugyunak

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 20 November 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK: S Rank: S5 BLM: **USFWS**:

Final Rank					
Conservation category: V. Orange unknown status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need					
Category	Range	Score			
Status	-20 to 20	0			
Biologica	al -50 to 50	-32			
Action	-40 to 40	32			
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern					

Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Trends over the past 50 years are unknown. Modeling studies estimate that the distribution of S. ugyunak in Alaska has increased since the Last Glacial Maximum (~21,500 years ago; Hope et al. 2015), but suitable habitat is expected to decrease by the end of this century (Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Hope et al. 2015; Marcot et al. 2015).	
Status Total:	0
Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Unknown, but suspected large.	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Widespread in northern Alaska (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Occurs from the North Slope south to northern interior Alaska and from the Seward Peninsula east to Canada (Cook and MacDonald 2006; MacDonald and Cook 2009; Hope 2012). The southern extent of its range is not well known, but	

MacDonald and Cook 2009; Hope 2012). The southern extent of its range is not well-known, but specimens have been collected as far south as Finger Mountain (mile 97.5 on the Dalton Highway;

ARCTOS 2016). Estimated range size is >400,000 sq. km.	
Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Does not concentrate.	
Reproductive Potential in Alaska	
Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	-5
Undocumented, but like other shrews, this species is short-lived and attains sexual maturity in its first year of life (Whitaker 2004).	
Number of Young (-5 to 5)	-3
Unknown, but the closely related S. cinereus has an average litter size of 7 young and two to three litters per year (Whitaker 2004).	
Ecological Specialization in Alaska	
<u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Little is known about the diet of S. ugyunak. Like other shrew species, likely consumes terrestrial invertebrates (Whitaker 2004; Eckrich et al. 2018; O'Brien et al. 2018). Because invertebrates are an ephemeral and potentially unpredictable food source, we rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable with key requirements common.	
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Tundra specialist (van Zyll de Jong 1982; Hope 2012). Within this ecosystem, it has been described from several habitats including herbaceous and dwarf shrub, and moisture regimes ranging from wet to dry (Cook and MacDonald 2006; Hope et al. 2013b).	
Biological Total:	-32
Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon.	

Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Listed as unclassified game in Alaska with no bag limit and no closed season (ADFG 2018c).	
Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)	2
Although habitat associations have been documented (see Habitat section above), knowledge of its distribution remains incomplete. Its distribution south of the Brooks Range is poorly documented (ARCTOS 2016) and until recently, this species was not known to occur on the Seward Peninsula (Cook and MacDonald 2006; Hope 2012). Few specimens or records exist for the North Slope (A. Hope, pers. comm.).	
Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) Not currently monitored.	10
Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Little is known about the ecology of S. ugyunak. The taxonomy, genetics, and evolution of this species have been investigated (van Zyll de Jong 1982; Demboski and Cook 2003; Hope et al. 2012; Hope et al. 2013b; Sonsthagen et al. 2013). Genetic diversity in Alaska is low (Hope et al. 2012) and	

there is evidence of hybridization between S. cinereus and S. ugyunak (A. Hope, pers. comm.). Parasites have been collected (Lynch and Duszynski 2008; Cook et al. 2016), but their effect on population dynamics is unknown. Species distribution models predict that that climate change will reduce the amount of suitable habitat by the end of this century (Hope et al. 2013a; Baltensperger

and Huettmann 2015a; Hope et al. 2015; Marcot et al. 2015). Additional research is needed, especially along the ecotone between boreal and tundra biomes as this is the region of highest potential change (A. Hope, pers. comm.).

Action Total: 32

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Not substantial
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	25-74%
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Baltensperger, A. P., and F. Huettmann. 2015a. Predicted shifts in small mammal distributions and biodiversity in the altered future environment of Alaska: an open access data and machine learning perspective. PLoS ONE 10(7):e0132054. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132054

Cassola, F. 2016. Sorex ugyunak. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T41423A115185865. DOI: 10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T41423A22318262.en. Accessed 19-Jun-2018.

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2006. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Arctic Network [...]. Report NPS/AKRARCN/NRTR-2004/01. National Park Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Cook, J. A., K. A. Galbreath, K. C. Bell, M. L. Campbell, S. Carrière, ..., E. P. Hoberg. 2017. The Beringian Coevolution Project: Holistic collections of mammals and associated parasites reveal novel perspectives on evolutionary and environmental change in the North. Arctic Science 3(3):585-617. DOI: 10.1139/as-2016-0042

Demboski, J. R., and J. A. Cook. 2003. Phylogenetic diversification within the Sorex cinereus Group (Soricidae). Journal of Mammalogy 84(1):144-158. DOI: 10.1644/1545-1542(2003)0842.0.CO;2

Eckrich, C. A., E. A. Flaherty, and M. Ben-David. 2018. Functional and numerical responses of shrews to competition vary with mouse density. PLoS ONE 13(1):e0189471. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.018947

Hope, A. G. 2012. High shrew diversity on Alaska's Seward Peninsula: community assembly and environmental change. Northwestern Naturalist 93(2):101-110.

Hope, A. G., K. A. Speer, J. R. Demboski, S. L. Talbot, and J. A. Cook. 2012. A climate for speciation: rapid spatial diversification within the Sorex cinereus complex of shrews. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 64(3):671-684. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.05.021

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, D. C. Payer, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2013a. Future distribution of tundra refugia in northern Alaska. Nature Climate Change 3:931-938. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1926

Hope, A. G., N. Takebayashi, K. E. Galbreath, S. L. Talbot, and J. A. Cook. 2013b. Temporal, spatial and ecological dynamics of speciation among amphi-Beringian small mammals. Journal of Biogeography 40(3):415-429. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12056

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, J. L. Malaney, D. C. Payer, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2015. Arctic biodiversity: increasing richness accompanies shrinking refugia for a cold-associated tundra fauna. Ecosphere 6(9):159. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00104.1

Lynch, A. J., and D. W. Duszynski. 2008. Species of coccidia (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) in shrews from Alaska, U.S.A., and northeastern Siberia, Russia, with description of two new species. Journal of Parasitology 94(4): 883-888. DOI: 10.1645/GE-1506.1

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

O'Brien, S. L., J. A. Cook, and S. D. Newsome. 2018. Niche differentiation among small mammals of the Alexander Archipelago in southeastern Alaska. Journal of Mammalogy 99(1):108-116. DOI: 10.1093/jmammal/gyx141

Sonsthagen, S. A., G. K. Sage, M. Fowler, A. G. Hope, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2013. Development and characterization of 21 polymorphic microsatellite markers for the barren-ground shrew, Sorex ugyunak (Mammalia: Sorcidae), through next-generation sequencing, and cross-species amplification in the masked shrew, S. cinereus. Conservation Genetics Resources 5(2):315–318. DOI: 10.1007/s12686-012-9792-5

van Zyll de Jong, C. G. 1982. Relationships of amphiberingian shrews of the Sorex cinereus group. Canadian Journal of Zoology 60(7):1580–1587. DOI: 10.1139/z82-208

Whitaker, J. O., Jr. 2004. Sorex cinereus. Mammalian Species 743:1-9.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK