Tundra shrew

Sorex tundrensis

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 20 November 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Least ConcernAudubon AK:S Rank: S5USFWS:BLM:

Final Rank							
Conservation category: V. Orange unknown status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need							
	Category	Range	Score				
	Status	-20 to 20	0				
	Biological	-50 to 50	-38				
	Action	-40 to 40	32				
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern							

Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Trends over the past 50 years are unknown. Models estimate that the distribution of S. tundrensis in Alaska has increased since the Last Glacial Maximum (~21,500 years ago; Hope et al. 2015), but disagree as to whether habitat will increase (Hope et al. 2015) or decrease (Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Marcot et al. 2015) in the future.	
Status Total:	0
Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Unknown, but given widespread distribution, population is suspected to be large. Small mammal surveys suggest that this species is locally abundant, but scarce elsewhere (Cook and MacDonald 2006).	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Widely distributed in Alaska. Although the southern limits of its range are uncertain, it has been documented across central Alaska from the Canadian border west to the Alaska Peninsula and the	

Class: Mammalia Order: Eulipotyphla

Thusku Species Running System – Fundru Shiew	
Seward Peninsula, and north to the North Slope (MacDonald and Cook 2009; ARCTOS 2016). Estimated range size is >400,000 sq. km.	
Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Does not concentrate.	
Reproductive Potential in Alaska	
Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	-5
< 2 years (Dokuchaev 2005).	
Number of Young (-5 to 5)	-3
Little is known about the reproductive ecology of this species in Alaska. Research from Russia suggests litter size average 7 to 10 young, with females giving birth to two to four litters per year (Dokuchaev 2005; Dokuchaev et al. 2015; Tsytsulina et al. 2016).	
Ecological Specialization in Alaska	
Dietary (-5 to 5)	1
Like other shrews, S. tundrensis is an insectivore, though little information is available about its diet. Analyses from Alaska (Quay 1951) and eastern Russia (Churchfield et al. 1997; Dokuchaev et al. 2015) reveal a diet that consists of earthworms, spiders, beetles, and insect larvae. Because invertebrates are an ephemeral and potentially unpredictable food source, we rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable with key requirements common.	
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	-5
Found in tundra and boreal forest biomes. It has been documented in a variety of habitats and moisture regimes including mesic and wet herbaceous tundra, shrublands, riparian, and coniferous forests (Churchfield et al. 1997; Cook and MacDonald 2006; Hope 2012; Marcot et al. 2015).	
Biological Total:	-38
Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Listed as unclassified game in Alaska with no bag limit and no closed season (ADFG 2018c).	
Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)	2
Distribution and habitat associations are somewhat known, but northern and southern range limits remain uncertain (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Few records exist from northern Alaska and S. tundrensis remains undocumented in southeast Alaska even though it has been found in the extreme northwest of British Columbia (MacDonald and Cook 2009). Species distribution models have been constructed for both historic and future time periods (e.g. Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Hope et al. 2015; Marcot et al. 2015).	
Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Not currently monitored.	10
Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Little is known about the ecology of S. tundrensis in Alaska. In eastern Russia, Dokuchaev et al. (2015) noted a consistent, skewed female sex-ratio and strong inter-annual variation in the proportion	

(2015) noted a consistent, skewed female sex-ratio and strong inter-annual variation in the proportion of individuals that overwintered successfully. It is unknown whether these factors are important to population dynamics, and whether they apply to Alaskan populations. Although parasites have been collected from individuals (Murrell et al. 2003; Lynch and Duszynski 2008; Dokuchaev et al. 2015), their effect on population dynamics is unknown. Climate change may have important implications on the distribution of the tundra shrew, but models disagree as to whether its range in Alaska will contract (Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Marcot et al. 2015) or expand (Hope et al. 2015) by the end of this century. During previous periods of extreme climatic change, floodplain corridors may have been important refuges that allowed this species to persist in otherwise unsuitable habitat (Hope et al. 2011). Phylogenetic analyses have revealed that the genetic lineage of the Alaskan population is distinct from Eurasian lineages (Bannikova et al. 2010; Hope et al. 2011; Hope et al. 2013b).

Action Total: 32

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Not substantial
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	<25%
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Baltensperger, A. P., and F. Huettmann. 2015a. Predicted shifts in small mammal distributions and biodiversity in the altered future environment of Alaska: an open access data and machine learning perspective. PLoS ONE 10(7):e0132054. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132054

Bannikova, A. A., N. E. Dokuchaev, E. V. Yudina, A. V. Bobretzov, B. I. Sheftel, and V. S. Lebedev. 2010. Holarctic phylogeography of the tundra shrew (Sorex tundrensis) based on mitochondrial genes. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 101(3):721–746. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2010.01510.x

Churchfield, S., B. I. Sheftel, N. V. Moraleva, and E. A. Shvarts. 1997. Habitat occurrence and prey distribution of a multispecies community of shrews in the Siberian taiga. Journal of Zoology 241(1):55-71. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1997.tb05499.x

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2006. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Arctic Network [...]. Report NPS/AKRARCN/NRTR-2004/01. National Park Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Dokuchaev, N. E. 2005. Reproduction of shrews (Sorex) in Siberia. Pages 425-437 in Merritt, J. F., S. Churchfield, R. Hutterer, and B. I. Sheftel, eds. Advances in the biology of shrews II. Special publication of the International Society of Shrew Biologists No. 1. Proceedings from the International Colloquium, 14-18 Oct. 2002, Rector, PA, USA.

Dokuchaev, N. E., L. G. Emelyanova, and P. T. Orekhov. 2015. Shrews of the Nadym River basin (north of western Siberia). Contemporary Problems of Ecology 8(1):51–55. DOI: 10.1134/S1995425515010035

Hope, A. G. 2012. High shrew diversity on Alaska's Seward Peninsula: community assembly and environmental change. Northwestern Naturalist 93(2):101-110.

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, V. B. Fedorov, A. V. Goropashnaya, S. L. Talbot, and J. A. Cook. 2011. Persistence and diversification of the Holarctic shrew, Sorex tundrensis (Family Soricidae), in response to climate change. Molecular Ecology 20(20):4346-4370. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05226.x.

Hope, A. G., N. Takebayashi, K. E. Galbreath, S. L. Talbot, and J. A. Cook. 2013b. Temporal, spatial and ecological dynamics of speciation among amphi-Beringian small mammals. Journal of Biogeography 40(3):415-429. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12056

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, J. L. Malaney, D. C. Payer, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2015. Arctic biodiversity: increasing richness accompanies shrinking refugia for a cold-associated tundra fauna. Ecosphere 6(9):159. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00104.1

Lynch, A. J., and D. W. Duszynski. 2008. Species of coccidia (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) in shrews from Alaska, U.S.A., and northeastern Siberia, Russia, with description of two new species. Journal of Parasitology 94(4): 883-888. DOI: 10.1645/GE-1506.1

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

Murrell, B. P., L. A. Durden, and J. A. Cook. 2003. Host associations of the tick, Ixodes angustus (Acari : Ixodidae), on Alaskan mammals. Journal of Medical Entomology 40(5):682-685. DOI: 10.1603/0022-2585-40.5.682

Quay, W. B. 1951. Observations on mammals of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska. Journal of Mammalogy 32(1):88-99. DOI: 10.2307/1375417

Tsytsulina, K., N. Formozov, B. Sheftel, M. Stubbe, R. Samiya, J. Ariunbold, and V. Buuveibaatar. 2016. Sorex tundrensis (errata version published in 2017). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:e.T41422A115185726. DOI: 10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T41422A22318424.en. Accessed 22-Mar-2018

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK