Spectacled Eider

Somateria fischeri

Class: Aves

Order: Anseriformes

Review Status: Peer-reviewed **Version Date:** 30 March 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency.

G Rank: G3 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Near Threatened Audubon AK:Red

S Rank: S2B USFWS: Listed Threatened BLM: Sensitive

Final Rank Conservation category: VII. Yellow low status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need Category Range Score -20 to 20 Status 4 **Biological** -50 to 50 10 -20 Action -40 to 40 Higher numerical scores denote greater concern

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with
20000	known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).

Score

2

2

Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Experienced drastic population declines from the 1970s to the 1990s (USFWS 2010e). More recent surveys suggest that the population has been stable or increasing for the last three decades (USFWS 2010e; Stehn et al. 2013).

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Breeding is restricted to the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and the Arctic Coastal Plain. Historical records suggest that Spectacled Eiders nested almost as far east as the Canadian border, and were patchily distributed from the Nushagak Peninsula near Dillingham north to the Arctic Coastal Plain (USFWS 2010e). Current distribution on the Arctic Coastal Plain appears stable (based on data from 1992-2006; Larned et al. 2006).

Status Total: 4

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).

Score

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-6

Between 10,001 and 25,000. Recent estimates suggest ~13,400 birds breed on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (Lewis and Schmutz 2017; see Fig. 6 in Lewis et al. 2019), while ~8,600 birds breed on the Arctic Coastal Plain (Wilson et al. 2017). Both of these estimates were obtained by correcting for detection rates.

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-2

Breeds on the Arctic Coastal Plain and the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (Petersen et al. 2000). Overwinters in a relatively small area in the Bering Sea south of St. Lawrence Island (Petersen et al. 1999). Winter range is most restricted and is estimated at <100,00 sq. km.

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Between 1-25 sites during fall molt, when the population concentrates at Ledyard Bay and eastern Norton Sound (Petersen et al. 1999). Most of the population overwinters in a relatively small area south of St. Lawrence Island (Petersen et al. 1999).

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

-3

2-3 years (Petersen et al. 2000).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

1

Produces one clutch per year. Average clutch size ranges from 4 to 6 eggs (Grand and Flint 1997; Petersen et al. 2000; Bart and Earnst 2005).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

5

During breeding, eats a variety of invertebrates (e.g. flies, crustaceans, beetles, bivalves), as well as seeds, berries, and graminoids (Petersen et al. 2000). Diet on wintering grounds consists of benthic invertebrates (e.g. bivalves, crustaceans, gastropods) and appears highly specialized (Petersen et al. 1998; Lovvorn et al. 2014). Clams, typically of a single species, often comprise >80% of their diet; studies found that when clams are unavailable or less abundant, Spectacled Eiders did not switch to alternative food sources even when fat reserves declined (Lovvorn et al. 2003; Lovvorn et al. 2014).

Habitat (-5 to 5)

5

Breeds in coastal areas on wetlands, salt marshes, and sedge meadows; habitat is often associated with freshwater such as ponds, lakes, and rivers (Petersen et al. 2000). Wintering habitat is highly specialized and subject to natural disturbances. Winters in open water on ice leads in the northern Bering Sea in areas with a high abundance of clams (Cooper et al. 2013; Lovvorn et al. 2014). Availability of sea ice for roosting may be particularly important for reducing energetic costs (Lovvorn et al. 2009). Wintering habitats are dynamic and highly variable, changing both seasonally and inter-annually (Lovvorn et al. 2014).

Biological Total:

10

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918) and listed as Threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act. A recovery plan is in place for this species (USFWS 2010e). Hunting is prohibited (AMBCC 2020; USFWS 2010e), though surveys show that some harvest does occur (Naves 2015).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Distribution and habitat associations during breeding, migration, and over winter are well-known in Alaska through annual ground and aerial surveys (e.g. Stehn et al. 2013; Lewis et al. 2019), satellite telemetry studies (e.g. Petersen et al. 1999; Petersen and Douglas 2004; Lovvorn et al. 2014; Sexson

et al. 2014), and habitat modelling (e.g. Lovvorn et al. 2009; Sexson et al. 2016; Saalfeld et al. 2017).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-2

Monitoring surveys are conducted annually on the two breeding regions in Alaska. Detection rates have been estimated for both Yukon-Kuskokwim and Arctic Coastal Plain breeding populations (Wilson et al. 2017; Lewis et al. 2019).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

While a lot of research has been conducted to understand the factors that affect population parameters, there still isn't a consensus as to which ones are most limiting to the species. In particular, it is unknown whether population growth is limited by conditions on breeding grounds, wintering grounds, or both.

On breeding grounds, lead poisoning and predation appear to be the main causes of adult female mortality, though the importance of these effects vary spatially (Flint et al. 1997; Grand et al. 1998; Flint et al. 2000a; 2016b). Lead poisoning, predation, and forage availability are thought to be important drivers of duckling mortality (Flint et al. 2000a; 2006). Other environmental pollutants are not thought to affect populations in Alaska (Grand et al. 2002; Stout et al. 2002; Wilson et al. 2004).

On wintering grounds, the availability of sea ice and food resources affect eiders' behavior, distribution, energetics, and population parameters (Petersen and Douglas 2004; Lovvorn et al. 2009; 2014; Cooper et al. 2013; Sexson et al. 2016). Several authors have proposed that spectacled eiders will be affected by climate-related changes to their habitats and prey resources (Lovvorn et al. 2009; reviewed in USFWS 2010e; Christie et al. 2018).

Action Total: -20

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: None or Prohibited

Seasonal Occurrence: Year-round

Taxonomic Significance: Monotypic species

% Global Range in Alaska: >10% % Global Population in Alaska: <25% Peripheral: No

References

Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council (AMBCC). 2020. Regulations for the 2020 Alaska Subsistence Spring/Summer Migratory Bird Harvest. Office of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Bart, J., and S. L. Earnst. 2005. Breeding ecology of spectacled eiders Somateria fischeri in northern Alaska. Wildfowl 55:85-100.

Christie, K. S., T. E. Hollmen, P. Flint, and D. Douglas. 2018. Non-linear effect of sea ice: Spectacled Eider survival declines at both extremes of the ice spectrum. Ecology and Evolution 8(23):11808–11818. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.4637

Cooper, L. W., M. G. Sexson, J. M. Grebmeier, R. Gradinger, C. W. Mordey, and J. R. Lovvorn. 2013. Linkages between seaice coverage, pelagic—benthic coupling, and the distribution of spectacled eiders: Observations in March 2008, 2009 and 2010, northern Bering Sea. Deep-Sea Research II 94:31-43.

- Flint, P. L., and J. B. Grand. 1997. Survival of spectacled eider adult females and ducklings during brood rearing. Journal of Wildlife Management 61:218-222.
- Flint, P. L., M. R. Petersen, and J. B. Grand. 1997. Exposure of Spectacled Eiders and other diving ducks to lead in western Alaska. Canadian Journal of Zoology 75:439-443.
- Flint, P. L., J. B. Grand, J. A. Morse, and T. F. Fondell. 2000. Late summer survival of adult female and juvenile Spectacled Eiders on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. Waterbirds 23(2):292-297.
- Flint, P. L., J. B. Grand, T. F. Fondell, and J. A. Morse. 2006. Population dynamics of Greater Scaup breeding on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. Wildlife Monographs 162: 1-22.
- Flint, P. L., J. B. Grand, M. R. Petersen, and R. F. Rockwell. 2016b. Effects of lead exposure, environmental conditions, and metapopulation processes on population dynamics of Spectacles Eiders. North American Fauna 81:1-41.
- Fuller, T., D. P. Morton, and S. Sarkara. 2008. Incorporating uncertainty about species' potential distributions under climate change into the selection of conservation areas with a case study from the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. Biological Conservation 141(6):1547-1559. DOI:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.03.021
- Grand, J. B., and P. L. Flint. 1997. Productivity of nesting Spectacled Eiders on the lower Kashunuk River, Alaska. The Condor 99(4):926-932. DOI:10.2307/1370143.
- Grand, J. B., P. L. Flint, M. R. Petersen, and T. L. Moran. 1998. Effect of lead poisoning on Spectacled Eider survival rates. Journal of Wildlife Management 62:1103-1109.
- Grand, J. B., J. C. Franson, P. L. Flint, and M. R. Petersen. 2002. Concentrations of trace elements in eggs and blood of spectacled and common eiders on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska, USA. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 21:1673–1678. DOI: 10.1002/etc.5620210819
- Larned, W. W., R. Stehn, and R. Platte. 2006. Eider breeding population survey Arctic Coastal Plain, Alaska. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Waterfowl Branch, Soldotna and Anchorage, AK, USA.
- Lewis, T. L., and J. A. Schmutz. 2017. Using density-adjusted visibility correction factors to improve population estimates of Spectacled Eiders. Alaska Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Anchorage, AK, USA.
- Lewis, T. L., M. A. Swaim, J. A. Schmutz, and J. B. Fischer. 2019. Improving population estimates of threatened spectacled eiders: correcting aerial counts for visibility bias. Endangered Species Research 39:191–206. DOI: 10.3354/esr00959
- Lovvorn, J. R., S. E. Richman, J. M. Grebmeier, and L. W. Cooper. 2003. Diet and body condition of spectacled eiders wintering in pack ice of the Bering Sea. Polar Biology 26(5):259-267. DOI:10.1007/s00300-003-0477-0
- Lovvorn, J. R., J. M. Grebmeier, L. W. Cooper, J. K. Bump, and S. E. Richman. 2009. Modeling marine protected areas for threatened eiders in a climatically changing Bering Sea. Ecological Applications 19(6):1596-1613.
- Lovvorn, J. R., E. M. Anderson, A. R. Rocha, W. W. Larned, J. M. Grebmeier, ..., and C. A. North. 2014. Variable wind, pack ice, and prey dispersion affect the long-term adequacy of protected areas for an Arctic sea duck. Ecological Applications 24(2):396-412.
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.
- Naves, L. C. 2015. Alaska subsistence bird harvest, 2004-2014 data book. Special Publication No. 2015-05, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence, Anchorage, AK, USA.
- Petersen, M. R., and D. D. Douglas. 2004. Winter ecology of Spectacled Eiders: Environmental characteristics and population change. The Condor 106:79-94.
- Petersen, M. R., J. F. Piatt, and K. A. Trust. 1998. Foods of Spectacled Eiders Somateria fischeri in the Bering Sea, Alaska. Wildfowl 49:124-128.
- Petersen, M. R., W. W. Larned, and D. C. Douglas. 1999. At-sea distribution of spectacled eiders: a 120-year-old mystery resolved. The Auk 116(4):1009-1020.
- Petersen, M. R., J. B. Grand, and C. P. Dau. 2000. Spectacled Eider (Somateria fischeri), version 2.0. In Rodewald, P. G., ed. The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.547
- Saalfeld, S. T., J. B. Fischer, R. A. Stehn, R. M. Platte, and S. C. Brown. 2017. Predicting waterbird nest distributions on the

Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta of Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Management 81(8):1468-1481. DOI: 10.1002/jwmg.21322

Sexson, M. G., J. M. Pearce, and M. R. Petersen. 2014. Spatiotemporal distribution and migratory patterns of Spectacled Eiders. OCS Study BOEM 2014-665. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Sexson, M. G., M. R. Petersen, G. A. Breed, and A. N. Powell. 2016. Shifts in the distribution of molting Spectacled Eiders (Somateria fischeri) indicate ecosystem change in the Arctic. The Condor 118(3):463-476. DOI:10.1650/CONDOR-15-139.1

Stehn, R. A, W. W. Larned, and R. M. Platte. 2013. Analysis of aerial survey indices monitoring waterbird populations of the Arctic Coastal Plain, Alaska, 1986-2012. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage and Soldotna, AK, USA.

Stout, J. H., K. A. Trust, J. F. Cochrane, R. S. Suydam, and L. T. Quakenbush. 2002. Environmental contaminants in four eider species from Alaska and arctic Russia. Environmental Pollution 119(2):215-226. DOI: 10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00336-0

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2010e. Spectacled Eider (Somateria fisheri) 5-year review: summary and evaluation. Unpublished report, Fairbanks Fish and Wildlife Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Wilson, H. M., M. R. Petersen, and D. Troy. 2004. Concentrations of metals and trace elements in blood of spectacled and king eiders in northern Alaska, USA. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 23(2):408–414. DOI:10.1897/03-21

Wilson, H. M., R. A. Stehn, and J. B. Fischer. 2017. Aerial survey detection rates for Spectacled Eiders on the Arctic Coastal Plain, Alaska. U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK