Boreal Chickadee

Poecile hudsonicus

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 06 February 2019

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank:G5ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Least ConcernAudubon AK:S Rank: S5USFWS:BLM:

Final Rank				
Conservation category: VII. Yellow low status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need				
Catego	ry <u>Range</u>	Score		
Status	-20 to 20	-6		
Biolog	ical -50 to 50	-35		
Action	-40 to 40	16		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern				

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Popul	ation Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Both listed wate	short-term and long-term trends appear stable for interior Alaska (Handel and Sauer 2017). Not l as declining or vulnerable by Audubon Alaska (http://ak.audubon.org/conservation/alaska-hlist).	
Distri	bution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unkr	nown.	
	Status Total:	-6
Biolog	ical - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Popula	ation Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
>25,0 to 5.4	000. Partners in Flight estimates an Alaskan population size of 3,200,000 (95% CI: 1.8 million million; PIF 2019).	
Range	Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
>400 Broo	,000 sq. km. Widespread throughout most of boreal Alaska, from southcentral north to the ks Range and from the Canadian border west to the Alaska and Seward peninsulas (Sutton and	

to the north in areas above treeline (Ficken et al. 1996).

Class: Aves Order: Passeriformes

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Does not concentrate.

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

Unknown, but the closely related black-capped chickadee (P. atricapillus) first breeds at < 2 years (Foote et al. 2010).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

Little information is available. A nest box study in southcentral Alaska reported clutch sizes ranging from 1 to 10 eggs, with an average of 7.6 ± 0.2 SE (n = 51; Handel et al. 2006). Average brood size at hatch and at 12 days old is slightly lower than initial clutch size (7.2 ± 0.2 ; Handel et al. 2006). Elsewhere in its range, clutch sizes between 2 to 7 have been reported (Ficken et al. 1996). Boreal chickadees likely breed only once per year (McLaren 1975; Ficken et al. 1996), though replacement clutches can be lain if the first one fails (Handel et al. 2006).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

Little is known about the diet of this species in Alaska or elsewhere. In interior Alaska, Haftorn (1974) observed boreal chickadees caching spruce seeds, insects (especially larvae), spiders, and human food. He identified larvae belonging to seven insect orders (Haftorn 1974). Like other parids, likely has a flexible diet that changes with availability, though this idea has not been formally investigated (Ficken et al. 1996). We have scored this question as 0.5 * C (highly adapatable) + 0.5 * 0 (moderate) to encompass this uncertainty.

Habitat (-5 to 5)

In Alaska, the aptly-named boreal chickadee inhabits coniferous and mixedwood forests, though it has also been documented in tall shrub and deciduous forests (Spindler and Kessel 1980; Ficken et al. 1996). In interior Alaska, it was found to be most abundant in white spruce stands (Spindler and Kessel 1980). Habitat preferences may be more narrow where it co-occurs with other chickadee species (Ficken et al. 1996; Gayk and Lindsay 2012). Females nest in tree cavities excavated by her and her partner, or in cavities created by other species (McLaren 1975).

> **Biological Total:** -35

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	2
Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918).	
Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)	2
Habitat associations are broadly known (Haftorn 1974; Spindler and Kessel 1980; see Ficken et al. 1996), but specific studies are lacking and habitat niche may be broader than what is currently described (Matsuoka et al. 2001). Multi-species surveys have detected this species in interior, southcentral, and southwestern Alaska (e.g. Spindler and Kessel 1980; Kessel 1989; ARCTOS 2016; Handel and Sauer 2017; Amundson et al. 2018), though extent of its distribution in western and northern Alaska is uncertain (Saracco et al. 2007; ARCTOS 2016)	

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Monitored locally along Breeding Bird Survey routes (Handel and Sauer 2017) and other multispecies bird surveys (e.g. Ruthrauff et al. 2007; Handel et al. 2009; Amundson et al. 2018), but

-10

-5

1

-2

1

2

2

surveys do not encompass the species' entire range.

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Very little is known about the factors that regulate this species' population, either in Alaska or elsewhere (Ficken et al. 1996). Given this species' reliance on boreal forests, climate change is expected to affect its distribution across North America (Marcot et al. 2015; Murray et al. 2017). Distribution models for northwest Alaska predict >20% increase in suitable habitat by 2100 (Marcot et al. 2015). Shifts in species' range may also increase the contact zone between boreal chickadees and black-capped chickadees; hybrids of the two species have been documented in eastern Canada (Lait et al. 2012). The effects of logging, stand age, and spruce bark beetle irruptions on local abundance is not well-understood and requires further study (Collins et al. 2001; Matsuoka et al. 2001; Warren et al. 2005 and references therein; Hadley and Desrochers 2008). Like the black-capped chickadee (Poecile atricapillus), the boreal chickadee is susceptible to avian keratin disorder, which causes beak deformities. However, the prevalence of beak deformities in boreal chickadees appears to be very low (Handel et al. 2006).

Action Total: 16

10

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	<25%
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Amundson, C. L., C. M. Handel, D. R. Ruthrauff, T. L. Tibbitts, and R. E. Gill. 2018. Montane-breeding bird distribution and abundance across national parks of southwestern Alaska. Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management 9(1):180–207. DOI: 10.3996/062017-JFWM-050

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Collins, W. B., D. Williams, and T. Trapp. 2001. Spruce beetle effects on wildlife, 1 July 1997-30 June 2001. Federal aid in wildlife restoration research final performance report, grants W-27-1 through W-27-4, study 1.53, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK, USA.

Ficken, M. S., M. A. McLaren, and J. P. Hailman. 1996. Boreal Chickadee (Poecile hudsonicus), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F. and F. B. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.254

Foote, J. R., D. J. Mennill, L. M. Ratcliffe, and S. M. Smith. 2010. Black-capped Chickadee (Poecile atricapillus), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F., ed. The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.39

Gayk, Z. G., and A. R. Lindsay. 2012. Winter microhabitat foraging preferences of sympatric boreal and black-capped chickadees in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 124(4):820–824. DOI: 10.1676/1559-4491-124.4.820

Hadley, A., and A. Desrochers. 2008. Winter habitat use by boreal chickadee flocks in a managed forest. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 120(1):139–145. DOI: 10.1676/06-134.1

Haftorn, S. 1974. Storage of surplus food by the boreal chickadee Parus hudsonicus in Alaska, with some records on the mountain chickadee Parus gambeli in Colorado. Ornis Scandinavica 5(2):145-161. DOI: 10.2307/3676058

Handel, C. M. and Sauer, J. R. 2017. Combined analysis of roadside and off-road breeding bird survey data to assess population change in Alaska. The Condor 119(3):557-575. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-17-67.1

Handel, C., L. M. Pajot, S. M. Matsuoka, K. A. Trust, J. M. Stotts, J. Terenzi, and S. L. Talbot. 2006. Potential role of environmental contaminants in the pathology of beak deformities among black-capped chickadees in south-central Alaska. Final report, Project ID 1130-7F22, U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Handel, C. M., S. A. Swanson, D. A. Nigro, and S. M. Matsuoka. 2009. Estimation of avian population sizes and species richness across a boreal landscape in Alaska. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 121(3):528–547.

Kessel, B. 1989. Birds of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: Their biogeography, seasonality, and natural history. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Lait, L. A., R. F. Lauff, and T. M. Burg. 2012. Genetic evidence supports boreal chickadee (Poecile hudsonicus) \times black-capped chickadee (Poecile atricapillus) hybridization in Atlantic Canada. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 126(2):143-147. DOI: 10.22621/cfn.v126i2.1330

Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

Matsuoka, S. M., C. M. Handel, and D. R. Ruthrauff. 2001. Densities of breeding birds and changes in vegetation in an Alaskan boreal forest following a massive disturbance by spruce beetles. Canadian Journal of Zoology 79(9):1678–1690. DOI: 10.1139/cjz-79-9-1678

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

McLaren, M. A. 1975. Breeding biology of the boreal chickadee. The Wilson Bulletin 87(3):344-354.

Murray, D. L., M. J. L. Peers, Y. N. Majchrzak, M. Wehtje, C. Ferreira, ..., and D. H. Thornton. 2017. Continental divide: Predicting climate-mediated fragmentation and biodiversity loss in the boreal forest. PLOS ONE 12(5):e0176706.

Partners in Flight (PIF). 2019. Population Estimates Database, version 3.0. Available online: <u>http://pif.birdconservancy.org/PopEstimates</u>. Accessed 09-April-2019.

Ruthrauff, D. R., T. L. Tibbitts, R. E. Gill, and C. M. Handel. 2007. Inventory of montane-nesting birds in Katmai and Lake Clark National Parks and Preserves. Report NPS/AKRSWAN/NRTR-2007/02, U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Saracco, J. F., D. R. Kaschube, and D. F. DeSante. 2007. 2006 report of the Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship (MAPS) Program in Dillingham, Nome, and Umiat, Alaska. The Institute for Bird Populations, Point Reyes, CA, USA.

Spindler, M. A., and B. Kessel. 1980. Avian populations and habitat use in interior Alaska taiga. Final report, University of Alaska Museum, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Sutton, A., and S. Steinacher. 2012. Alaska's Nome area wildlife viewing guide: Exploring the Nome roadways. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Juneau, Alaska, USA. Available online: http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/static/viewing/pdfs/nome_guidebook.pdf

Warren, T. L., M. G. Betts, A. W. Diamond, and G. J. Forbes. 2005. The influence of local habitat and landscape composition on cavity-nesting birds in a forested mosaic. Forest Ecology and Management 214(1–3):331–343. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.04.017

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK