USFWS:

Gray-headed Chickadee

Poecile cinctus

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 15 December 2017

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5

S Rank: S3

ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK:Red **BLM:** Sensitive

Final Rank						
Conservation category: II. Red high status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need						
Category	Range	Score				
Status	-20 to 20	12				
Biological	-50 to 50	-20				
Action	-40 to 40	40				
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern						

- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	6
Suspected to be declining in Alaska (Warnock 2017a; T. Booms, pers. comm.). This species is also declining throughout its Eurasian range (BirdLife International 2016b).	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	6
Compared to historical distribution records, their range is thought to be decreasing (T. Booms, pers. comm.).	
Status Total:	12
iclogical - variables measure aspects of a tayon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest	
Siological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
	Score 6
	Score 6
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). <i>Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i> According to Audobon Alaska, population is <5000 (Warnock 2017a). Travis Booms (ADF&G, pers. comm.) estimates a few hundred to a few thousands individuals. Given the uncertainity in	

(Hailman and Haftorn 1995). Older records suggest it may also occur in interior Alaska between the

upper Tanana and Yukon rivers (Hailman and Haftorn 1995). May range as far south as the Nulato
Hills (T. Booms, qtd. in Woodford 2012). Estimated range size is ~340,000 sq. km, calculated in GIS
and based on range map from ACCS (2017a).
Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Does not concentrate. Stays in small flocks in the winter (Hailman and Haftorn 1995).	
Reproductive Potential in Alaska	
Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	-5
Can likely breed within their first year (Hailman and Haftorn 1995).	
Number of Young (-5 to 5)	1
Few data available. Females lay one clutch per year with 6 to 10 eggs (Hailman and Haftorn 1995). Replacement clutches are possible, but tend to be smaller than the initial clutch (Hailman and Haftorn 1995).	
Ecological Specialization in Alaska	
<u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u>	-5
Few data available. Eats conifer seeds and a variety of invertebrates such as flies, beetles, larval moths, spiders, and snails (Hailman and Haftorn 1995).	
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Few data available for Alaska. Across its range, inhabits boreal, and particularly spruce, forests near the treeline (Hailman and Haftorn 1995). Appears to be most common in open canopy forests and tall shrub thickets (Hailman and Haftorn 1995). Nests are constructed in natural or abandoned tree cavities, and nest boxes where available (Hailman and Haftorn 1995).	

Biological Total: -20

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
No management plans are in place for this species.	
Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
This species is very infrequently detected during surveys (Tibbitts et al. 2006; Woodford 2012). Consequently, there is very little knowledge on its distribution and only anecdotal information on habitat associations in Alaska (Hailman and Hatforn 1995; Booms, qtd. in Woodford 2012).	
<i>Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i> Not currently monitored.	10
Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Very little is known about this species in Alaska. In northern Europe, habitat loss due to logging and competition with other tit species have been proposed to explain the decline in populations (Jarvinen 1982; Virkkala and Liehu 1990; Dale and Andreassen 2016). Logging is not a problem within its Alaskan range (Hailman and Hatford 1995).	

Action Total: 40

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	Unknown
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

BirdLife International 2016b. Poecile cinctus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T22711750A87424950. DOI: 10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T22711750A87424950.en. Accessed 24 May 2019.

Dale, S., and E. T. Andreassen. 2016. Population decline of the Siberian Tit (Poecile cinctus) in southern Norway and an assessment of possible causes. Ornis Fennica 93(2):77-87.

Hailman, J. P., and S. Haftorn. 1995. Gray-headed Chickadee (Poecile cinctus), version 2.0. In The Birds of North America (P. G. Rodewald, editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA. DOI:10.2173/bna.196

Jarvinen, A. 1982. Ecology of the Siberian Tit Parus cinctus in NW Finnish Lapland. Ornis Scandinavica 13:47-55.

Tibbitts, T. L., D. R. Ruthrauff, R. E. Gill, Jr., and C. M. Handel. 2006. Inventory of montane-nesting birds in the Arctic Network of National Parks, Alaska. Report NPS/AKARCN/NRTR-2006/02/, Arctic Network Inventory and Monitoring Program, National Park Service, Alaska Region, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Virkkala, R., and H. Liehu. 1990. Habitat selection by Siberian Tit Parus cinctus in virgin and managed forests in northern Finland. Ornis Fennica 67:1-12.

Warnock, N. 2017a. The Alaska WatchList 2017, Red List. Audubon Alaska, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Woodford, R. 2012. The quest for Gray-Headed Chickadees. Alaska Fish & Wildlife News, March 2012. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK, USA. Available from: <u>http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=wildlifenews.main</u>

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK