Pacific Harbor seal

Phoca vitulina richardii

Class: Mammalia Order: Carnivora

Note: Only one subspecies of harbor seal, Phoca vitulina richardii, occurs in Alaska. Twelve stocks are recognized in Alaska; this assessment considers all stocks simultaneously.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed **Version Date:** 12 April 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5T5Q ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK:

S Rank: S4S5 USFWS: BLM:

	F	inal Rank		
high stat		category: I	II. Orange oility and action need	
	Category	Range	<u>Score</u>	
	Status	-20 to 20	10	
	Biological	-50 to 50	-30	
	Action	-40 to 40	-20	
Hig	her numerical	scores denote ş	greater concern	

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with
	known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).
Popula	ttion Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Score

Important population declines were noted in several parts of Alaska between the late 1970s and the late 2000s (e.g. Pitcher 1990; Frost et al. 1999; Jemison et al. 2006; Small et al. 2008; Womble et al. 2010). Recent surveys suggest stable trends throughout most of their Alaskan range, though some populations are decreasing (Small et al. 2003; Womble et al. 2010; Hoover-Miller et al. 2011; Muto et al. 2019). In addition, populations have not yet recovered from their historic declines (Trites et al. 2007b; S. Karpovich, ADF&G, pers. comm.). We therefore rank this question as 0.5 * B + 0.5 * C.

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

6

The retreat of glaciers has led to the disappearance of haul-out sites and a possible emigration of harbor seals from certain areas such as Glacier Bay (Womble et al. 2010). These ice habitats are continuing to disappear as a result of climate change.

Status Total: 10

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).

Score

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

>25,000. Estimated population size is 205,090 (Muto et al. 2019).

Alaska Species Ranking System - Pacific Harbor seal Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10 Occurs in coastal waters from southeast Alaska west to the Aleutian Islands and north to Nunivak Island (Muto et al. 2019). Also found near the Pribilof Islands (Muto et al. 2019). Interestingly, a small population (~400 individuals) of freshwater harbor seals is found in Iliamna Lake on the Alaska Peninsula (Boveng et al. 2018). Estimated range size is >400,000 sq. km. Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10 Harbor seals aggregate at haul-out sites, which can be comprised of several thousand individuals (see Womble et al. 2010). Many haul-out sites exist in Alaska. Boveng et al. (2003) counted 299 haul-out sites in the Gulf of Alaska alone. Reproductive Potential in Alaska Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5) 1 In the Gulf of Alaska, Hutchinson et al. (2016) reported a minimum age of 3 years and an average age of 4.2 years. Number of Young (-5 to 5) 3 Females give birth to one pup annually (Lawson and Renouf 1987), but may not give birth every year if they are nutritionally stressed. Ecological Specialization in Alaska Dietary (-5 to 5) -5 Opportunistically feed on a variety of fish (e.g. walleye pollock, capelin, herring, sand lance) and marine invertebrates (e.g. cepahlopods, shrimp) (Pitcher 1980; Herreman et al. 2009). Diet appears to vary over space and time in response to prey availability (Herreman et al. 2009). Habitat (-5 to 5) 1 Usually found in estuaries, bays, and marine waters relatively close to shore (<100 km away), though movements into deeper waters or upriver have also been recorded (Lowry et al. 2001; Small et al. 2005). Harbor seals haul out on glacial ice and on land (e.g. beaches, islands) during molting, pupping, and breeding. In Alaska, it is estimated that about 10% of the population relies on glacial ice as haul-out sites (Bengston et al. 2007). Many tidewater glacial inlets contain disproportionately large numbers of pupping harbor seals, suggesting that these sites provide important pupping and breeding habitats (Calambokidis et al. 1987; Hoover-Miller and Armato 2017). The loss of glacial ice as haul-out platforms may therefore affect more than 10% of the population. Nevertheless, the use of terrestrial haul-outs and the flexible behavior of "ice" harbor seals suggest a certain degree of adaptability (Hoover-Miller et al. 2011). Biological Total: -30 Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Score Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10 Protected through the Marine Mammal Protection Act (NMFS 2015), and actively managed by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS; https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/pr). Subsistence harvest is permitted for Native Alaskans (Muto et al. 2019). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10

Distribution and habitat associations have been described across many parts of its range (e.g. Calambokidis et al. 1987; Lowry et al. 2000; Lowry et al. 2001; Small et al. 2005; Savarese and

Burns 2010; Boveng et al. 2012; Womble and Gende 2013; Womble et al. 2014)

2

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Since 2010, annual aerial surveys are conducted throughout most of their range (Muto et al. 2019). As a result, population estimates and short-term trends are available. Long-term data are available for only a few of the monitored sites (Muto et al. 2019).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Declines of harbor seals are part of a broader pattern observed in other marine mammals, suggesting that similar factors may be limiting these species or otherwise preventing their recovery (Jemison and Kelly 2001; DeMaster et al. 2006; Trites et al. 2007b; Small et al. 2008). Potential limiting factors include: competition for food (interspecific or with fisheries; Jemison and Kelly 2001; Mathews and Pendleton 2006; Herreman et al. 2009), predation (Taggart et al. 2005; Mathews and Pendleton 2006; Herreman et al. 2009; Mathews and Adkinson 2010), disease (e.g. brucellosis; Hueffer et al. 2013; Hoover-Miller et al. 2017), environmental toxins (Lefebvre et al. 2016), and large-scale regime shifts that affect prey distribution and abundance (Jemison and Kelly 2001; Trites et al. 2007b). Climate change is also likely to affect glacial ice habitat on which many harbor seals depend (Blundell et al. 2011). The effects of the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill in Prince William Sound contributed to some mortality, but the magnitude of its impacts has been questioned (Frost et al. 1994; Hoover-Miller et al. 2001). Human disturbance from cruise ships may alter distribution, behavior, and energetic expenditures (Blundell and Pendleton 2015; Jansen et al. 2015; Karpovich et al. 2015; Mathews et al. 2016), but additional studies are required to determine whether these disturbances have population-level effects. At present, the effects of by-catch mortality are unknown (Muto et al. 2019). Harvest rates are low relative to population size (Muto et al. 2019).

Action Total: -20

-2

2

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: Not substantial
Seasonal Occurrence: Year-round

Taxonomic Significance: Monotypic species

% Global Range in Alaska: >10%% Global Population in Alaska: 25-74%Peripheral: No

References

Bengtson, J. L., A. V. Phillips, E. A. Mathews and M. A. Simpkins. 2007. Comparison of survey methods for estimating abundance of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) in glacial fjords. Fishery Bulletin 105:348–355.

Blundell G. M., and G. W. Pendleton. 2015. Factors affecting haul-out behavior of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) in tidewater glacier inlets in Alaska: Can tourism vessels and seals coexist? PLoS ONE 10(5):e0125486. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125486

Blundell, G. M., J. N. Womble, G. W. Pendleton, S. A. Karpovich, S. M. Gende and J. K. Herreman. 2011. Use of glacial and terrestrial habitats by harbor seals in Glacier Bay, Alaska: Costs and benefits. Marine Ecology Progress Series 429:277–290.

Boveng, P. L., J. L. Bengtson, D. E. Withrow, J. C. Cesarone, M. A. Simpkins, K. J. Frost and J. J. Burns. 2003. The abundance of harbor seals in the Gulf of Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 19(1):111–127. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2003.tb01096.x

Boveng, P. L., J. M. London, and J. M. VerHoef. 2012. Distribution and abundance of harbor seals in Cook Inlet, Alaska. Task III: Movements, marine habitat use, diving behavior, and population structure, 2004-2006. BOEM Report 2012-065, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

- Boveng, P. L., J. M. V. Hoef, D. E. Withrow, and J. M. London. 2018. A Bayesian analysis of abundance, trend, and population viability for harbor seals in Iliamna Lake, Alaska. Risk Analysis 38(9):1988–2009. DOI: 10.1111/risa.12988
- Calambokidis, J., B. L. Taylor, S. D. Carter, G. H. Steiger, P. K. Dawson, and L. D. Antrim. 1987. Distribution and haul-out behavior of harbor seals in Glacier Bay, Alaska. Canadian Journal of Zoology 65(6):1391-1396. DOI: 10.1139/z87-219
- DeMaster, D. P., A. W. Trites, P. Clapham. S. Mizroch, P. Wade, R. J. Small, and J. Ver Hoef. 2006. The sequential megafaunal collapse hypothesis: Testing with existing data. Progress in Oceanography 68(2-4):329-342. DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2006.02.007
- Frost, K. J., L. F. Lowry, E. Sinclair, J. Ver Hoef, and D. C. McAllister. 1994. Impacts on distribution, abundance and productivity of harbor seals. Pages 97-118 in Loughlin, T. R., ed. Marine mammals and the Exxon Valdez. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Frost, K. J., L. F. Lowry, and J. M. Ver Hoef. 1999. Monitoring the trend of harbor seals in Prince William Sound, Alaska, after the Exxon Valdez oil spill. Marine Mammal Science. 15(2):494-506. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.1999.tb00815.x
- Herreman J. K., G. M. Blundell, and M. Ben-David. 2009. Evidence of bottom-up control of diet driven by top-down processes in a declining harbor seal Phoca vitulina richardsi population. Marine Ecology Progress Series 374:287-300. DOI: 10.3354/meps07719
- Hoover-Miller, A., and P. Armato. 2017. Harbor seal use of glacier ice and terrestrial haul-outs in the Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Marine Mammal Science (online access). DOI: 10.1111/mms.12470
- Hoover-Miller, A., K. R. Parker, and J. J. Burns. 2001. A reassessment of the impact of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on harbor seals (Phoca vitulina richardsi) in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 17(1):111–135. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2001.tb00983.x
- Hoover-Miller, A., S. Atkinson, S. Conlon, J. Prewitt, and P. Armato. 2011. Persistent decline in abundance of harbor seals Phoca vitulina richardsi over three decades in Aialik Bay, an Alaskan tidewater glacial fjord. Marine Ecology Progress Series 424:259-271.
- Hoover-Miller, A. J. L. Dunn, C. L. Field, G. Blundell, and S. Atkinson. 2017. Seroprevalence of Brucella antibodies in harbor seals in Alaska, USA, with age, regional, and reproductive comparisons. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 126(1):1-12. DOI: 10.3354/dao03153
- Hueffer, K., S. M. Gende, and T. M. O'Hara. 2013. Assay dependence of Brucella antibody prevalance in a declining Alaskan harbor seal (Phoca vitulina) population. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 55:2. DOI: 10.1186/1751-0147-55-2
- Hutchinson, E., S. Atkinson, and A. Hoover-Miller. 2016. Growth and reproductive tracts from fetal to adult harbor seals in the Gulf of Alaska. Marine Ecology Progress Series 557:277-288. DOI: 10.3354/meps11832
- Jansen, J. K., P. L. Boveng, J. M. Ver Hoff, S. P. Dahle, and J. L. Bengtson. 2015. Natural and human effects on harbor seal abundance and spatial distribution in an Alaskan glacial fjord. Marine Mammal Science 31(1):66-89. DOI: 10.1111/mms.12140
- Jemison, L. A., and B. P. Kelly. 2001. Pupping phenology and demography of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina richardsi) on Tugidak Island, Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 17(3):585-600. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2001.tb01006.x
- Jemison, L. A., G. W. Pendleton, C. A. Wilson, and R. J. Small. 2006. Long-term trends in harbor seal numbers at Tugidak Island and Nanvak Bay, Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 22(2):339-360. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2006.00021.x
- Karpovich, S. A., J. P. Skinner, J. E. Mondragon, and G. M. Blundell. 2015. Combined physiological and behavioral observations to assess the influence of vessel encounters on harbor seals in glacial fjords of Southeast Alaska. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 473:110-120. DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2015.07.016
- Lawson, J. W., and D. Renouf. 1987. Bonding and weaning in harbor seals, Phoca vitulina. Journal of Mammalogy 68(2):445-449. DOI: 10.2307/1381496
- Lefebvre, K. A., L. Quakenbush, E. Frame, K. B. Huntington, G. Sheffield, R. Stimmelmayr, ..., V. Gill. 2016. Prevalence of algal toxins in Alaskan marine mammals foraging in a changing arctic and subarctic environment. Harmful Algae 55:13-24. DOI:10.1016/j.hal.2016.01.007
- Lowry, L. F., V. N. Burkanov, K. J. Frost, M. A. Simpkins, R. Davis, D. P. DeMaster, ..., and A. Springer. 2000. Habitat use and habitat selection by spotted seals (Phoca largha) in the Bering Sea. Canadian Journal of Zoology 78(11):1959-1971. DOI: 10.1139/z00-138

- Lowry, L. F., K. J. Frost, J. M. Ver Hoef, and R. A. DeLong. 2001. Movements of satellite-tagged subadult and adult harbor seals in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 14(4):835-861.
- Mathews, E. A., and M. D. Adkison. 2010. The role of Steller sea lions in a large population decline of harbor seals. Marine Mammal Science 26(4):803-836. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00375.x
- Mathews, E. A., and G. W. Pendleton. 2006. Declines in harbor seal (Phoca vitulina) numbers in Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska, 1992-2002. Marine Mammal Science 22:167-189.
- Mathews, E. A., L. A. Jemison, G. W. Pendleton, K. M. Blejwas, K. E. Hood, and K. L. Raum-Suryan. 2016. Haul-out patterns and effects of vessel disturbance on harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) on glacial ice in Tracy Arm, Alaska. Fishery Bulletin 114(2):186-202. DOI: 10.7755/FB.114.2.6
- Muto, M. M., V. T. Helker, R. P. Angliss, P. L. Boveng, ..., A. N. Zerbini. 2019. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments, 2018. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-393, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, WA, USA.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2015. The Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended through 2015. Compiled and annotated by the Marine Mammal Commission, Bethesda, MD, USA. Updated by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD, USA.
- Pitcher, K. W. 1980. Stomach contents and feces as indicators of harbor seal, Phoca vitulina, foods in the Gulf of Alaska. Fishery Bulletin 78(3):797-798.
- Pitcher, K. W. 1990. Major decline in number of harbor seals, Phoca vitulina richardsi, on Tugidak Island, Gulf of Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 6(2):121-134. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.1990.tb00234.x
- Savarese, D. M., and J. M. Burns. 2010. Harbor seal (Phoca vitulina richardii) use of the Bering Glacier habitat: Implications for management.Pages 181-191 in Shuchman, R. A., and E. G. Josberger, eds. Bering Glacier: Interdisciplinary studies of Earth's largest temperate surging glacier. Geological Society of America Special Paper 462. DOI: 10.1130/2010.2462(09)
- Small, R. J., G. W. Pendleton, and K. W. Pitcher. 2003. Trends in abundance of Alaska harbor seals, 1983-2001. Marine Mammal Science 19(2):344–362. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2003.tb01113.x
- Small, R. J., L. F. Lowry, J. M. Ver Hoef, K. J. Frost, R. A. DeLong, and M. J. Rehberg. 2005. Differential movements by harbor seal pups in contrasting Alaska environments. Marine Mammal Science 21(4):671-694. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2005.tb01259.x
- Small, R. J., P. L. Boveng, V. G. Byrd, and D. E. Withrow. 2008. Harbor seal population decline in the Aleutian Archipelago. Marine Mammal Science 24(4):845-863. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2008.00225.x
- Taggart, J. S., A. G. Andrews, J. Mondragon, and E. A. Mathews. 2005. Co-occurence of Pacific sleeper sharks Somniosus pacificus and harbor seals Phoca vitulina in Glacier Bay. Alaska Fishery Research Bulletin 11(2):113-117.
- Trites, A. W., V. B. Deecke, E. J. Gregr, J. K. B. Ford, and P. F. Olesiuk. 2007b. Killer whales, whaling, and sequential megafaunal collapse in the North Pacific: A comparative analysis of the dynamics of marine mammals in Alaska and British Columbia following commercial whaling. Marine Mammal Science 23(4):751–765. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2006.00076.x
- Womble J. N., and S. M. Gende. 2013. Post-breeding season migrations of a top predator, the harbor seal (Phoca vitulina richardii), from a marine protected area in Alaska. PLoS ONE 8(2):e55386. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055386
- Womble, J. N., G. W. Pendleton, E. A. Mathews, G. M. Blundell, N. M. Bool, and S. M. Gende. 2010. Harbor seal (Phoca vitulina richardii) decline continues in the rapidly changing landscape of Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska 1992–2008. Marine Mammal Science 26:686–697.
- Womble, J. N., G. M. Blundell, S. M. Gende, M. Horning, M. F. Sigler, and D. J. Csepp. 2014. Linking marine predator diving behavior to local prey fields in contrasting habitats in a subarctic glacial fjord. Marine Biology 161(6):1361-1374. DOI: 10.1007/s00227-014-2424-8

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK