Northwestern deermouse

Peromyscus keeni

Review Status: Reviewed (general)

Version Date: 21 September 2020

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Least ConcernAudubon AK:S Rank: S5USFWS:BLM:

Final Rank				
Conserva unknown status and either	ation category: high biological vi		gh action need	
Categor	y <u>Range</u>	Score		
Status	-20 to 20	0		
Biologic	cal -50 to 50	-36		
Action	-40 to 40	20		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern				

D'uuuu	riables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with wn declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
<i>Population</i> Unknown.	Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Distribution Unknown.	Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0

Status Total: 0

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Unknown, but suspected large. P. keeni is widespread in southeast Alaska (MacDonald and Cook 2009) and more than 2000 specimens have been collected in the last twenty years (ARCTOS 2016).	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-2
Year-round resident in southeast Alaska. Occurs on the mainland and on several islands of the Alexander Archipelago (Lucid and Cook 2004; MacDonald and Cook 2009). Estimated range size is ~71,450 sq. km based on range map from ACCS (2017a).	
Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Does not concentrate.	

Class: Mammalia Order: Rodentia

1

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

Breeds in its first year of life (Kenagy and Barnes 1988).

Habitat (-5 to 5) Habitats are varied and include old-growth and young-growth forests, floodplains, human structures, and forest-beach edges (Hanley and Barnard 1999a; Smith and Nichols 2004; Smith et al. 2005; MacDonald and Cook 2009; Smith and Fox 2017).

Biological Total: -36

-5

-5

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season and no bag limits (ADFG 2018c).	
Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Distribution and habitat associations are well-known at the species level (Lucid and Cook 2004; MacDonald and Cook 2009; references in Habitat section). Additional research is needed to assess the taxonomic validity and delineate ranges of the various subspecies that have been described (Lucid and Cook 2004; Sawyer et al. 2017).	
<i>Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i> Not currently monitored.	10
Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Limiting factors are speculative and many facets of this species' ecology have not been studied. Eckrich et al. (2018) suggested that predation, facilitated by snow accumulation, may lead to the dramatic population crashes that have been observed in some years. However, additional data are needed to confirm this idea. At high densities, P. keeni may compete with other small mammals such as voles and shrews, but the effects on population dynamics are unknown (Smith and Fox 2017; Eckrich et al. 2018).	h

Action Total: 20

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Not substantial
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species

% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	25-74%
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Drever, M. C., L. K. Blight, K. A. Hobson, and D. F. Bertram. 2000. Predation on seabird eggs by Keen's mice (Peromyscus keeni): Using stable isotopes to decipher the diet of a terrestrial omnivore on a remote offshore island. Canadian Journal of Zoology 78(11):2010–2018. DOI: 10.1139/cjz-78-11-2010

Eckrich, C. A., E. A. Flaherty, and M. Ben-David. 2018. Functional and numerical responses of shrews to competition vary with mouse density. PLoS ONE 13(1):e0189471. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.018947

Gashwiler, J. S. 1979. Deer mouse reproduction and its relationship to the tree seed crop. American Midland Naturalist 102(1):95–104. DOI: 10.2307/2425070

Hanley, T. A., and J. C. Barnard. 1999a. Spatial variation in population dynamics of Sitka mice in floodplain forests. Journal of Mammalogy 80(3):866–879. DOI: 10.2307/1383255

Hanley, T. A., and J. C. Barnard. 1999b. Food resources and diet composition in riparian and upland habitats for Sitka mice, Peromyscus keeni sitkensis. Canadian Field-Naturalist 113(3):401–407.

Kenagy, G. J., and B. M. Barnes. 1988. Seasonal reproductive patterns in four coexisting rodent species from the Cascade Mountains, Washington. Journal of Mammalogy 69(2):274–292. DOI: 10.2307/1381378

Lucid, M. K., and J. A. Cook. 2004. Phylogeography of Keen's mouse (Peromyscus keeni) in a naturally fragmented landscape. Journal of Mammalogy 85(6):1149–1159. DOI: 10.1644/BRB-218.1

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

O'Brien, S. L., J. A. Cook, and S. D. Newsome. 2018. Niche differentiation among small mammals of the Alexander Archipelago in southeastern Alaska. Journal of Mammalogy 99(1):108-116. DOI: 10.1093/jmammal/gyx141

Reese, E. O., J. C. Barnard, and T. A. Hanley. 1997. Food preference and ad libitum intake of wild-captured Sitka mice, Peromyscus keeni sitkensis. Canadian Field-Naturalist 111(2):223–226.

Sawyer, Y. E., M. J. Flamme, T. S. Jung, S. O. MacDonald, and J. A. Cook. 2017. Diversification of deermice (Rodentia: Genus Peromyscus) at their north-western range limit: Genetic consequences of refugial and island isolation. Journal of Biogeography 44(7):1572–1585. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12995

Shaner, P.-J., M. Bowers, and S. Macko. 2007. Giving-up density and dietary shifts in the white-footed mouse, Peromyscus leucopus. Ecology 88(1):87–95. DOI: 10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[87:GDADSI]2.0.CO;2

Smith, W. P., and B. J. Fox. 2017. Habitat selection, interspecific competition, and coexistence of a habitat generalist and specialist in temperate rainforest of southeastern Alaska. Northwest Science 91(2):103–123. DOI: 10.3955/046.091.0204

Smith, W. P., and J. V. Nichols. 2004. Demography of two endemic forest-floor mammals of southeastern Alaska temperate rain forest. Journal of Mammalogy 85(3):540–551. DOI: 10.1644/BEH-003

Smith, W. P., S. M. Gende, and J. V. Nichols. 2005a. Correlates of microhabitat use and density of Clethrionomys gapperi and Peromyscus keeni in temperate rain forests of Southeast Alaska. Acta Zoologica Sinica 51(6):973–988.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK