Pacific Walrus

Odobenus rosmarus

Note: Only one subspecies, O. r. divergens, occurs in Alaska.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 12 March 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe:Agency:G Rank:G4ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: VulnerableAudubon AK:S Rank: S3USFWS: Strategic StockBLM: Sensitive

Final Rank					
Conservation category: II. Red high status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need					
Category	Range	Score			
Status	-20 to 20	10			
Biological	-50 to 50	-16			
Action	-40 to 40	-28			
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern					

Status- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with
known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).Score

Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Historically, population was depleted as a result of large-scale commercial harvests (Fay et al. 1997). Although the population is thought to have rebounded following a reduction in hunting pressure, it has nevertheless experienced drastic changes in population size (Fay et al. 1989; Muto et al. 2017; Taylor et al. 2018). The current trend of Alaska's Pacific walrus population is uncertain; models suggest it is either stable or declining (Taylor et al. 2018). We score this question as 0.5 * B + 0.5 * C to take these uncertainties into account.

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

In recent years, the distribution of Pacific walrus has moved north in response to changes in sea ice extent (Jay et al. 2011; MacCracken 2012). However, by mid-century, models predict strong declines in sea ice extent in the Bering Sea and moderate declines in the Chukchi Sea (Garlich-Miller et al. 2011) and these declines are expected to lead to a contraction in its current distribution (MacCracken et al. 2017).

Status Total: 10

Class: Mammalia Order: Carnivora

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). Score

4

6

Estimated population size is 129,000 individuals (95% CI: 55,000-507,000; Speckman et al. 2011 qtd in Muto et al. 2017).

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

In Alaska, the Pacific walrus is found from the Bering Sea to the Chukchi Sea, and on coastlines and islands from the northeastern Alaska Peninsula north to Point Barrow (Muto et al. 2017). Summer range is most restricted and has an estimated area of ~375,000 sq. km, based on Fig. 1 (page 278) in Muto et al. (2017).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

During the winter breeding season, walruses concentrate around polynias and open leads in three main areas of the Bering Sea (Garlich-Miller et al. 2011). During summer, most of the population migrates to the Chukchi Sea, though they also congregate near coastal haul-outs in the Bering Strait and Bristol Bay in groups of 10 to >10,000 (as well as the Gulf of Anadyr in Russia; Jay et al. 2012, Fischbach et al. 2016; Muto et al. 2017). Sixty-four on-shore haul-outs on the Alaska coast have been reported to date (Fischbach et al. 2016).

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

Females become sexually mature at 5 or 6 years old (Fay 1982).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

Females give birth in alternate years to one calf (Fay 1982).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

Pacific walrus are primarily benthic feeders (Jay et al. 2012), with a diverse diet consisting of aquatic invertebrates (bivalves, gastropods, cephalopods, marine worms, amphipods), tunicates, and fish (see Fay 1982; Sheffield and Grebmeier 2009). Diet shifts with season, location, and prey availability (Fay 1982).

Habitat (-5 to 5)

Associated with sea ice and waters of the continental shelf (Jay et al. 2012; Citta et al. 2018). Foraging sites are typically associated with high prey biomass (Dunton et al. 2005; Grebmeier et al. 2006; but see Jay et al. 2012). Sea ice is an important habitat requirement for walrus, which use ice habitat to bear offspring, molt, and haul out between foraging bouts (Fay 1982; Garlich-Miller et al. 2011). Walrus use terrestrial haul-outs when sea ice is absent (Fischbach et al. 2016; Jay et al. 2012), though use of terrestrial haul-out may be maladaptive (MacCracken et al. 2017). In recent years, walrus have responded by following the northward retreat of sea ice into the Chukchi Sea (Jay et al. 2012). Although sea ice habitats are declining, they are not rare for the time being and walrus are at least somewhat adaptable in their habitat preferences, though there is much left to learn (Garlich-Miller et al. 2011; Jay et al. 2011; Jay et al. 2012).

Biological Total: -16

Action	- variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)		-10
Protected through the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA; NMFS 2015). The MMPA actively		
manages and regulates approved harvest activities of Pacific walrus. A conservation plan for the		
Pacific walrus in Alaska was developed in 1994 (USFWS 1994b).		

-8

-6

5

1

1

1

2

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Distribution and habitat association throughout its Alaskan range is well understood (Fay 1982; Garlich-Miller et al. 2011; Fischbach et al. 2009; Jay et al. 2012; Citta et al. 2018). The effects of prey, sea ice, and land on habitat selection have been identified (Beatty et al. 2016).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

To date, survey data are inadequate to identify population trends (Muto et al. 2017). Population monitoring (counts) occur frequently at a portion of haul-out locations throughout Alaska (USFWS 2014b), The USGS Alaska Science Center conducts long-term research on Pacific walruses. Current research includes an age-structure survey to estimate current demographics; walrus seasonal distribution and habitat use; and forecasting responses to climate change (USGS 2016).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Causes of mortality and drivers of population decline are well-documented, and Pacific walrus are the subject of ongoing studies in Alaska. We refer interested readers to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Final Species Status Assessment report for comprehensive information on the population ecology of the Pacific walrus (MacCracken et al. 2017). High mortality rates are linked to loss of sea ice resulting in trampling at terrestrial haul-outs, exhaustion from prolonged exposure to open sea conditions, and separation of calves from their mothers (Cooper at al. 2006; Fischbach et al. 2009; MacCracken et al. 2017). Overharvesting is thought to have caused historic declines of walrus populations, but current harvest levels are low (<4% of the population) and compliance with regulations in the U.S. is high (MacCracken et al. 2017). Factors that are not considered to have population-level effects include: viral infections, pathogens, pollutants, toxins from algal blooms, fishery-related mortalities, and industrial activitiy (Quakenbush et al. 2016; MacCracken et al. 2017; Muto et al. 2017). The effects of climate change are uncertain, though Pacific walrus appear to be currently limited by changing sea ice conditions (Jay et al. 2011; Jay et al. 2012; MacCracken et al. 2017).

Action Total: -28

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions. Harvest: Substantial, regulations

Harvest:	Substantial, regulat
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic family
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	≥75%
Peripheral:	No

References

Beatty, W. S., V. J. Chadwick, A. S. Fischbach, J. M. Grebmeier, R. L. Taylor, ..., S. C. Jewett. 2016. Space use of a dominant Arctic vertebrate: Effects of prey, sea ice, and land on Pacific walrus resource selection. Biological Conservation 203:25-32. DOI:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.08.035

Citta, J. J., L. F. Lowry, L. T. Quakenbush, B. P. Kelly, ..., T. Gray. 2018. A multi-species synthesis of satellite telemetry data in the Pacific Arctic (1987-2015): Overlap of marine mammal distributions and core use areas. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography (online access). DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.02.006

Cooper, L. W., C. J. Ashjian, S. L. Smith, L. A. Codispoti, ..., E. B. Sherr. 2006. Rapid seasonal sea-ice retreat in the Arctic could be affecting Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) recruitment. Aquatic Mammals 32(1):98-102. DOI:10.1578/AM.32.1.2006.98

-10

2

-10

Dunton K. H., J. L. Goodall, S. V. Schonberg, J. M. Grebmeier, and D. R. Maidment. 2005. Multi-decadal synthesis of benthic-pelagic coupling in the western arctic: role ofcross-shelf advective processes. Deep-Sea Research II 52(24-26):3462–3477. DOI:10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.09.007

Fay, F. H. 1982. Ecology and biology of the pacific walrus, Odobenus rosmarus divergens Illiger. North American Fauna 74:1-279. DOI:10.3996/nafa.74.0001

Fay, F. H., B. P. Kelly, and J. L. Sease. 1989. Managing the exploitation of Pacific walruses: a tragedy of delayed response and poor communication. Marine Mammal Science 5(1):1-16.

Fay, F. H., Eberhardt, L. L., Kelly, B. P., Burns, J. J. and Quakenbush, L. T. 1997. Status of the Pacific Walrus Population, 1950–1989. Marine Mammal Science, 13: 537–565.

Fischbach, A. S., D. H. Monson, and C. V. Jay. 2009. Enumeration of Pacific walrus carcasses on beaches of the Chukchi Sea in Alaska following a mortality event, September 2009: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009-1291, 10 pp.

Fischbach, A. S., A. A.Kochnev, J. L. Garlich-Miller, and C. V. Jay. 2016. Pacific walrus coastal haulout database, 1852–2016—Background report. Open-File Report 2016–1108, Alaska Science Center U.S. Geological Survey, Anchorage, AK, USA. DOI:10.3133/ofr20161108.

Garlich-Miller, J., J. G. MacCracken, J. Snyder, R. Meehan, M. Myers, J. M. Wilder, E. Lance, and A. Matz. 2011. Status review of the Pacific Walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage and Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Grebmeier J. M., L. W. Cooper, H. M. Feder, B. I. Sirenko. 2006. Ecosystem dynamics of the Pacific-influenced northern Bering and Chukchi Seas in the Amerasian Arctic. Proress in Oceanography 71(2-4):331-361. DOI:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.001

Jay, C. V., B. G. Marcot, and D. C. Douglas. 2011. Projected status of the Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) in the twenty-first century. Polar Biology 34(7):1065–1084. DOI:10.1007/s00300-011-0967-4

Jay, C. V., A. S. Fischbach, and A. A. Kochnev. 2012. Walrus areas of use in the Chukchi Sea during sparse sea ice cover. Marine Ecology Progress Series 468: 1-13.

MacCracken, J. G. 2012. Pacific walrus and climate change: observations and predictions. Ecology and Evolution 2:2072-2090.

MacCracken, J. G., W. S. Beatty, J. L. Garlich-Miller, M. L. Kissling, and A. Snyder. 2017. Final species status assessment for the Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens), May 2017 (Version 1.0). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Marine Mammals Management, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Muto, M. M., V. T. Helker, R. P. Angliss, B. A. Allen, P. L. Boveng, ..., A. N. Zerbini. 2017. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments, 2016. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-355, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, WA, USA.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2015. The Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended through 2015. Compiled and annotated by the Marine Mammal Commission, Bethesda, MD, USA. Updated by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD, USA.

Quakenbush, L. T., A. Bryan, M. Nelson, and J. Snyder. 2016. Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) Saint Lawrence Island harvest sample analyses, 2012-2014 and 2016. Technical Report to Alaska Department of Fish and Game, State Wildlife Grant and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Arctic Marine Mammal Program and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Marine Mammals Management, Fairbanks and Anchorage, AK, USA.

Sheffield, G., and J. M. Grebmeier. 2009. Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens): differential prey digestion and diet. Marine Mammal Science 25:761–777.

Taylor, R. L., M. S. Udevitz, C. V. Jay, J. J. Citta, L. T. Quakenbush, P. R. Lemons, and J. A. Snyder. 2018. Demography of the Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) in a changing Arctic. Marine Mammal Science 34(1):54–86. DOI: 10.1111/mms.12434

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1994a. Conservation plan for the Pacific walrus in Alaska. Marine Mammals Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, USA.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2014b. Marine Mammals Management: Current research on Pacific Walrus [Website]. Available online: <u>https://www.fws.gov/alaska/fisheries/mmm/walrus/current.htm</u>, Accessed 7 Mar 2018.

U.S. Geological Service (USGS). 2016. Walrus research webpage [Website]. Available online: <u>https://alaska.usgs.gov/science/biology/walrus/index.html</u>, Accessed 7 Mar 2018.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK