## Northern red-backed vole

Myodes rutilus

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 10 December 2018

Class: Mammalia Order: Rodentia

## **Conservation Status**

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank:G5ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Least ConcernAudubon AK:S Rank: S5USFWS:BLM:

| Final Rank  |                 |               |     |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Conservation category: <b>VIII. Blue</b><br>unknown status and low biological vulnerability and action need |                 |               |     |  |  |  |  |
| Cate  | egory <u>Ra</u> | nge <u>Sc</u> | ore |  |  |  |  |
| Stat  | us -20 t        | to 20         | 0   |  |  |  |  |
| Bio   | logical -50 t   | to 50         | -38 |  |  |  |  |
| Act   | ion -40 t       | to 40         | -8  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher numerical scores denote greater concern  |                 |               |     |  |  |  |  |

| Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).   | Score           |  |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)   | 0               |  |
| Unknown.   |                 |  |
| Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)   | 0               |  |
| Trends over the last 50 years are unknown. Modeling studies estimate that the distribution of M. rutilus in Alaska has increased since the Last Glacial Maximum (~21,500 years ago; Hope et al. 2015), but studies disagree as to whether its distribution will continue to expand (Hope et al. 2015) or retract (Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Marcot et al. 2015) by the end of this century. |                 |  |
| Status Total:  | 0               |  |
| Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest   |                 |  |
| greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).   | Score           |  |
| greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).<br>Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)  | <b>Score</b> -6 |  |
|  |                 |  |
| Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)   Unknown, but suspected large. M. rutilus is common and periodically abundant in suitable habitat   |                 |  |

| Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)  | -10   |  |  |
|---|-------|--|--|
| Does not concentrate at specific locations. Communal nesting has been observed in some populations (West 1977).   |       |  |  |
| Reproductive Potential in Alaska  |       |  |  |
| Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)   | -5    |  |  |
| Less than 2 years. Some individuals reach sexual maturity within their first year (Gilbert and Krebs 1991; Stevenson et al. 2009).  |       |  |  |
| Number of Young (-5 to 5)   | -3    |  |  |
| Litter size is between 5-7. Females can give birth to up to 4 or 5 litters per year (Krebs and Wingate 1985; Boonstra and Krebs 2006).  |       |  |  |
| Ecological Specialization in Alaska   |       |  |  |
| <u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u>  | 1     |  |  |
| Herbivorous. Feeds on forbs, fungi, moss, horsetails, seeds and berries (Grodziński 1971; West 1982; Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Baltensperger et al. 2015). Diet varies seasonally depending on availability of resources (Grodziński 1971; West 1982; Bangs 1984; Boonstra and Krebs 2006). There is some evidence of specialization as population dynamics are thought to be heavily influenced by berry abundance in the fall, which affects overwinter survival (Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Krebs et al. 2010; Schmidt et al. 2018a).   |       |  |  |
| <u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>  | -5    |  |  |
| Inhabits a variety of habitat types and moisture regimes including tundra, grasslands, shrubland, deciduous, and coniferous forests (West et al. 1980; Whitney 1976; Whitney and Feist 1984; Andersen 2005; Cook and MacDonald 2006; MacDonald and Cook 2009). Known to recolonize recently burned areas (West 1982).   |       |  |  |
| Biological Total:   | -38   |  |  |
| Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon.<br>Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).  | Score |  |  |
| Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)  | 10    |  |  |
| Voles are listed as unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).   |       |  |  |
| Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)   | -10   |  |  |
| Often captured as part of small mammal surveys. Surveys have been conducted in Fairbanks (Grodziński 1971; Whitney 1976; West 1982), Denali National Park (Furtsch and Rexstad 1994; Schmidt et al. 2018a), western Alaska (Douglass 1984), southcentral Alaska (Bangs 1984; Stevenson et al. 2009), and southwest Alaska (Nolan and Pierce 1996; Andersen 2005). Surveys by Cook and MacDonald (2006) and Baltensperger and Huettmann (2015b) have informed our knowledge of habitat associations and revealed a widespread distribution across Alaska. Distribution models have been developed for this species (e.g. Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Hope et al. 2015; Marcot et al. 2015). |       |  |  |
| Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)  |       |  |  |
| Surveys have been conducted sporadically in numerous localities throughout the state (e.g. West 1982; Savage 2003; Andersen 2005; McDonough and Rexstad 2005; Schmidt et al. 2018a). Denali   | 2     |  |  |

1982; Savage 2003; Andersen 2005; McDonough and Rexstad 2005; Schmidt et al. 2018a). Denali National Park has been monitoring small mammals since 1992 and data on northern red-backed voles are adequate for tracking local population trends (Schmidt et al. 2018a). Current data are inadequate for detecting statewide trends.

## Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Factors affecting population dynamics are relatively well-understood. Food availability, mediated by weather, is the main factor limiting survival and densities (Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Boonstra and Krebs 2012). More specifically, the presence of a good berry crop, which provides food in the autumn and winter, contributes to high overwinter survival rates (West 1982; Furtsch and Rexstad 1994; Schweiger and Boutin 1995; Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Krebs et al. 2010). Through its influence on population dynamics, food availability also determines population cycling (or the absence or irregularity thereof; Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Boonstra and Krebs 2012; Schmidt et al. 2018a). This relationship is not without its complexity and we encourage interested readers to consult the primary literature for additional information. In some populations, snow depth and snowshoe hare densities are also important components because of their influence on primary productivity (Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Schmidt et al. 2018a) and, in the case of the former, overwinter survival and reproduction (West 1982; Whitney and Feist 1984; Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Stevenson et al. 2009). Density-dependent factors including the suppression of female sexual maturation also regulate reproduction, though Gilbert et al. (1986) did not find evidence that reproductive suppression was responsible for population cycling. Predation, interspecific competition, fire, and deforestation appear to have little effect on population dynamics (Whitney 1977; West et al. 1980; West 1982; Galindo and Krebs 1985b; McDonough and Rexstad 2005; Boonstra and Krebs 2006; Lance et al. 2006).

Additional research is needed to determine the role of parasites (Murrell et al. 2003; Matsumoto et al. 2010; Cook et al. 2017) and the effects of climate change. Populations may be adversely affected by spruce beetle infestations (McDonough and Rexstad 2005; Lance et al. 2006). There is some uncertainty as to whether our warming climate will increase (Hope et al. 2015) or decrease (Baltensperger and Huettmann 2015a; Marcot et al. 2015) the distribution of M. rutilus in Alaska. Several studies have addressed the taxonomy and evolution of M. rutilus (Cook et al. 2001; Hope et al. 2013b and references therein; Kohli et al. 2015). Additional work is needed to clarify the taxonomy and ecology of subspecies, of which six have been described in Alaska (Cook et al. 2001; MacDonald and Cook 2009).

Action Total: -8

| ofotogieur of munugement q     |                   |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Harvest:                       | Not substantial   |  |  |
| Seasonal Occurrence:           | Year-round        |  |  |
| Taxonomic Significance:        | Monotypic species |  |  |
| % Global Range in Alaska:      | <10%              |  |  |
| % Global Population in Alaska: | <25%              |  |  |
| Peripheral:                    | No                |  |  |
|                                |                   |  |  |

**Supplemental Information** - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

## References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

Andersen, E. 2005. Small mammal baseline surveys Alaska Peninsula/Becharof NWR, Alaska summer 2004. Unpublished report, Alaska Peninsula/Becharof NWR Complex, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, King Salmon, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Baltensperger, A. P., and F. Huettmann. 2015a. Predicted shifts in small mammal distributions and biodiversity in the altered future environment of Alaska: an open access data and machine learning perspective. PLoS ONE 10(7):e0132054. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132054

Baltensperger, A. P., and F. Huettmann. 2015b. Predictive spatial niche and biodiversity hotspot models for small mammal communities in Alaska: applying machine-learning to conservation planning. Landscape Ecology 30(4):681-697. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-014-0150-8

Baltensperger, A. P., F. Huettmann, J. C. Hagelin, and J. M. Welker. 2015. Quantifying trophic niche spaces of small mammals using stable isotopes ( $\delta$ 15 N and  $\delta$ 13 C) at two scales across Alaska. Canadian Journal of Zoology 93(7):579–588. DOI: 10.1139/cjz-2015-0025

Bangs, E. E. 1984. Summer food habits of voles, Clethrionomys rutilus and Microtus pennsylvanicus, on the Kenai Peninsula, Alaska. Canadian Field-Naturalist 98(4):489-492.

Boonstra, R., and C. J. Krebs. 2006. Population limitation of the northern red-backed vole in the boreal forests of northern Canada. Journal of Animal Ecology 75(6):1269–1284. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01149.x

Boonstra, R., and C. J. Krebs. 2012. Population dynamics of red-backed voles (Myodes) in North America. Oecologia 168(3):601–620. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-011-2120-z

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2006. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Arctic Network [...]. Report NPS/AKRARCN/NRTR-2004/01. National Park Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Cook, J. A., A. L. Bidlack, C. J. Conroy, J. R. Demboski, M. A. Fleming, ..., S. O. MacDonald. 2001. A phylogeographic perspective on endemism in the Alexander Archipelago of Southeast Alaska. Biological Conservation 97(2):215-227. DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00114-2

Cook, J. A., K. A. Galbreath, K. C. Bell, M. L. Campbell, S. Carrière, ..., E. P. Hoberg. 2017. The Beringian Coevolution Project: Holistic collections of mammals and associated parasites reveal novel perspectives on evolutionary and environmental change in the North. Arctic Science 3(3):585-617. DOI: 10.1139/as-2016-0042

Douglass, R. J. 1984. Ecological distribution of small mammals in the De Long Mountains of Northwestern Alaska. Arctic 37(2):148-154. DOI: 10.14430/arctic2180

Duszynski, D. W., A. J. Lynch, and J. A. Cook. 2007. Coccidia (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) infecting cricetid rodents from Alaska, U.S.A., and northeastern Siberia, Russia, and description of a new Eimeria species from Myodes rutilus, the northern red-backed vole. Comparative Parasitology 74(2):294–311. DOI: 10.1654/4269.1

Furtsch, P. R., and E. A. Rexstad. 1994. Characteristics of a population of Clethrionomys rutilus during an increase and decline. Pages 50–62 in Bridges of science between North America and the Russian Far East: proceedings of the 45th Arctic Science Conference, 25-27 August, 1994, Anchorage, Alaska, 29 August-2 September, 1991, Vladivostok, Russia.

Galindo, C., and C. J. Krebs. 1985b. Habitat use and abundance of deer mice: interactions with meadow voles and red-backed voles. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63(8):1870–1879. DOI: 10.1139/z85-278

Gilbert, B. S., and C. J. Krebs. 1991. Population dynamics of Clethrionomys and Peromyscus in southwestern Yukon 1973-1989. Holarctic Ecology 14(4):250–259.

Gilbert, B. S., C. J. Krebs, D. Talarico, and D. B. Cichowski. 1986. Do Clethrionomys rutilus females suppress maturation of juvenile females? Journal of Animal Ecology 55(2):543-552. DOI: 10.2307/4737

Grodziński, W. 1971a. Food consumption of small mammals in the Alaskan taiga forest. Finnish Zoological and Botanical Publishing Board 8(1):133-136.

Haas, G. E., J. R. Kucera, S. O. MacDonald, and J. A. Cook. 2012. First flea (Siphonaptera) records for Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge, Central Alaska. Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia 109:6–11.

Hope, A. G., N. Takebayashi, K. E. Galbreath, S. L. Talbot, and J. A. Cook. 2013b. Temporal, spatial and ecological dynamics of speciation among amphi-Beringian small mammals. Journal of Biogeography 40(3):415-429. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12056

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, J. L. Malaney, D. C. Payer, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2015. Arctic biodiversity: increasing richness accompanies shrinking refugia for a cold-associated tundra fauna. Ecosphere 6(9):159. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00104.1

Kohli, B. A., V. B. Fedorov, E. Waltari, and J. A. Cook. 2015. Phylogeography of a Holarctic rodent (Myodes rutilus): testing high-latitude biogeographical hypotheses and the dynamics of range shifts. Journal of Biogeography 42(2):377–389. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12433

Krebs, C. J., and I. Wingate. 1985. Population fluctuations in the small mammals of the Kluane Region, Yukon Territory. Canadian Field-Naturalist 99(1):51–61.

Krebs, C. J., K. Cowcill, R. Boonstra, and A. J. Kenney. 2010. Do changes in berry crops drive population fluctuations in small rodents in the southwestern Yukon? Journal of Mammalogy 91(2):500–509. DOI: 10.1644/09-MAMM-A-005.1

Krebs, C. J., R. Boonstra, S. Boutin, A. R. E. Sinclair, J. N. M. Smith, ..., and R. Turkington. 2014b. Trophic dynamics of the boreal forests of the Kluane region. Arctic 67(S1):71–81. DOI: 10.14430/arctic4350

Lance, E. W., S. M. Howell, B. K. Lance, S. Howlin, L. H. Suring, and M. I. Goldstein. 2006. Spruce beetles and timber harvest in Alaska: implications for northern red-backed voles. Forest Ecology and Management 222(1–3):476–479. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.11.023

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

Matsumoto, K., J. A. Cook, H. K. Goethert, and S. R. Telford. 2010. Bartonella sp. infection of voles trapped from an interior Alaskan site where ticks are absent. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 46(1):173–178. DOI: 10.7589/0090-3558-46.1.173

McDonough, T. J., and E. Rexstad. 2005. Short-term demographic response of the red-backed vole to spruce beetle infestations in Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Management 69(1):246–254.

Murrell, B. P., L. A. Durden, and J. A. Cook. 2003. Host associations of the tick, Ixodes angustus (Acari : Ixodidae), on Alaskan mammals. Journal of Medical Entomology 40(5):682-685. DOI: 10.1603/0022-2585-40.5.682

Nolan, K. S., and J. M. Peirce. 1996. A survey of small mammals in Wood-Tikchik State Park, Alaska. Northwestern Naturalist 77(2):44–45. DOI: 10.2307/3536618

Savage, S. 2003. Small mammal trapping baseline surveys Mother Goose Lake, Alaska Peninsula/Becharof NWR, Alaska, June-August 2002. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Complex, King Salmon, AK, USA.

Schmidt, J. H., E. A. Rexstad, C. A. Roland, C. L. McIntyre, M. C. MacCluskie, and M. J. Flamme. 2018a. Weather-driven change in primary productivity explains variation in the amplitude of two herbivore population cycles in a boreal system. Oecologia 186(2):435–446.

Schweiger, S., and S. Boutin. 1995. The effects of winter food addition on the population dynamics of Clethrionomys rutilus. Canadian Journal of Zoology 73(3):419–426. DOI: 10.1139/z95-047

Stevenson, K. T., I. G. van Tets, and L. A. I. Nay. 2009. The seasonality of reproduction in photoperiod responsive and nonresponsive northern red-backed voles (Myodes rutilus) in Alaska. Canadian Journal of Zoology 87(2):152–164. DOI: 10.1139/Z08-147

West, S. D. 1977. Midwinter aggregation in the northern red-backed vole, Clethrionomys rutilus. Canadian Journal of Zoology 55(9):1404–1409. DOI: 10.1139/z77-183

West, S. D. 1982. Dynamics of colonization and abundance in central Alaska populations of the northern red-backed vole, Clethrionomys rutilus. Journal of Mammalogy 63(1):128-143. DOI: 10.2307/1380679

West, S. D., R. G. Ford, and J. C. Zasada. 1980. Population response of the northern red-backed vole (Clethrionomys rutilus) to differentially cut white spruce forest. Pages 1–15. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. DOI: 10.2737/PNW-RN-362

Whitney, P. 1976. Population ecology of two sympatric species of subarctic microtine rodents. Ecological Monographs 46(1):85-104. DOI: 10.2307/1942395

Whitney, P., and D. Feist. 1984. Abundance and survival of Clethrionomys rutilus in relation to snow cover in a forested habitat near College, Alaska. Pages 113–119 in J. F. Merritt, ed. Winter ecology of small mammals. Special publication of Carnegie Museum of Natural History No. 10. Pittsburgh, PA, USA. DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.123726

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK