Southern red-backed vole

Myodes gapperi

Class: Mammalia
Order: Rodentia

Review Status: Reviewed (general) **Version Date:** 21 September 2020

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK:

S Rank: S4S5 USFWS: BLM:

	F	inal Rank		
unknown status a	Conservation and either high		V. Orange nerability or high action need	
	Category	Range	Score	
	Status	-20 to 20	0	
	Biological	-50 to 50	-28	
	Action	-40 to 40	32	
High	ner numerical	scores denote	greater concern	

- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
Status Total:	0
iological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score 0
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	Score 0
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) Unknown.	
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) Unknown.	0
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) Unknown. Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) Restricted to mainland southeast Alaska and some islands of the Alexander Archipelago (MacDonald	0

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

-5

Limited information, but likely less than two years. In Manitoba, females reach sexual maturity within their first year (Perrin 1979).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

-1

No data for Alaska. In other parts of its range, females are capable of producing at least two litters a year (Perrin 1979). Litters range in size from two to eight, but are generally five or six (Perrin 1979; Innes and Millar 1981; Innes and Millar 1994). Because this range spans two categories, we rank this question as 0.5 * C + 0.5 * D.

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

-5

Little data available. It appears to have a broad and flexible diet, which includes fungi, lichen, conifer seeds, leaves, grass, seeds, and invertebrates (Gunther et al. 1983; Hansson 1985). Consistent with general diet across rest of range.

Habitat (-5 to 5)

-5

Habitat generalist. Occupies a variety of habitats including shrublands and mixedwood and coniferous forests of various ages, types, canopy cover, and disturbance regimes, from clearcuts to old-growth (Gunther et al. 1983; Smith and Nichols 2004; Smith et al. 2005; MacDonald and Cook 2009).

Biological Total:

-28

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Considered unclassified game in Alaska with no closed season or bag limits (ADFG 2018c).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

Distribution is known from sampling efforts conducted in southeast Alaska (MacDonald and Cook 2009). To our knowledge, only a few studies have considered habitat associations (Smith and Nichols 2004; Smith et al. 2005; Smith and Fox 2017). These studies were restricted to the same study area (Wrangell Island).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Not currently monitored.

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Very little is known about the population ecology of this species in Alaska. M. gapperi seems to be fairly adaptable to habitat disturbances caused by logging or industrial infrastructure (Gunther et al. 1983; Smith and Nichols 2004; Shonfield and Bayne 2019). Interspecific competition between other small mammal species may influence the behavior, distribution, and abundance of voles (Halliday and Morris 2013; Smith and Fox 2017; Le Borgne et al. 2018). Other potentially limiting factors include food availability, predation, weather, and territoriality, among others (Boonstra and Krebs 2012; Conrod and Reitsma 2015; Fauteux et al. 2015). The generalization of these studies is limited by their weak inference and the varied characteristics of M. gapperi populations across its large range.

Action Total:

32

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: Not substantial Seasonal Occurrence: Year-round

Taxonomic Significance: Monotypic species

% Global Range in Alaska: <10% % Global Population in Alaska: <25% Peripheral: No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

Boonstra, R., and C. J. Krebs. 2012. Population dynamics of red-backed voles (Myodes) in North America. Oecologia 168(3):601–620. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-011-2120-z

Conrod, C. A., and L. Reitsma. 2015. Demographic responses of myomorph rodents to mast production in a beech- and birch-dominated northern hardwood forest. Northeastern Naturalist 22(4):746–761. DOI: 10.1656/045.022.0407

Fauteux, D., M. Cheveau, L. Imbeau, and P. Drapeau. 2015. Cyclic dynamics of a boreal southern red-backed vole population in northwestern Quebec. Journal of Mammalogy 96(3):573–578. DOI: 10.1093/jmammal/gyv062

Gunther, P. M., B. S. Horn, and G. D. Babb. 1983. Small mammal populations and food selection in relation to timber harvest practices in the western Cascade Mountains. Northwest Science 57(1):32-44.

Halliday, W. D., and D. W. Morris. 2013. Safety from predators or competitors? Interference competition leads to apparent predation risk. Journal of Mammalogy 94(6):1380–1392. DOI: 10.1644/12-MAMM-A-304.1

Hansson, L. 1985. Clethrionomys food: Generic, specific and regional characteristics. Annales Zoologici Fennici 22(3):315–318.

Innes, D. G. L., and J. S. Millar. 1981. Body weight, litter size, and energetics of reproduction in Clethrionomys gapperi and Microtus pennsylvanicus. Canadian Journal of Zoology 59(5):785–789. DOI: 10.1139/z81-113

Innes, D. G. L., and J. S. Millar. 1994. Life histories of Clethrionomys and Microtus (Microtinae). Mammal Review 24(4):179–207. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2907.1994.tb00142.x

Le Borgne, H., A. Dupuch, and D. Fortin. 2018. Ecological processes determining the distribution dynamics of vole populations during forest succession. Oecologia 188(3):721–732. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-018-4261-9

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

MacDonald, S. O., and J. A. Cook. 2009. Recent mammals of Alaska. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Perrin, M. R. 1979. The roles of reproduction, survival, and territoriality in the seasonal dynamics of Clethrionomys gapperi populations. Acta Theriologica 24(35):475–500. DOI: 10.4098/AT.arch.79-45

Shonfield, J., and E. M. Bayne. 2019. Effects of industrial disturbance on abundance and activity of small mammals. Canadian Journal of Zoology 97(11):1013–1020. DOI: 10.1139/cjz-2019-0098

Smith, W. P., and B. J. Fox. 2017. Habitat selection, interspecific competition, and coexistence of a habitat generalist and specialist in temperate rainforest of southeastern Alaska. Northwest Science 91(2):103–123. DOI: 10.3955/046.091.0204

Smith, W. P., and J. V. Nichols. 2004. Demography of two endemic forest-floor mammals of southeastern Alaska temperate rain forest. Journal of Mammalogy 85(3):540–551. DOI: 10.1644/BEH-003

Smith, W. P., S. M. Gende, and J. V. Nichols. 2005a. Correlates of microhabitat use and density of Clethrionomys gapperi and Peromyscus keeni in temperate rain forests of Southeast Alaska. Acta Zoologica Sinica 51(6):973–988.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK