Song Sparrow, Aleutian

Melospiza melodia sanaka

Note: This assessment refers to this subspecies only. A species level report, which refers to all associated subspecies, is also available.

Class: Aves

Order: Passeriformes

Review Status: Peer-reviewed **Version Date:** 28 March 2019

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5T2 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Audubon AK: Yellow

S Rank: USFWS: BLM:

Final Rank					
Conservation category: IV. Orange unknown status and high biological vulnerability and action need					
	Category	Range	<u>Score</u>		
	Status	-20 to 20	0		
	Biological	-50 to 50	-14		
	Action	-40 to 40	16		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern					

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Populo	ation Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unkn	own.	
Distrib	oution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unkn	own.	
	Status Total:	0

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest				
greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score			
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0			
Unknown.				
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	4			

Eastern Aleutian Islands from Seguam Island east to the Semidi Islands (Eyerdam 1936; Gabrielson and Lincoln 1951; Gibson and Byrd 2007; Patten and Pruett 2009; Withrow 2015) including the western tip of the Alaska Peninsula east to Stepovak Bay (Patten and Pruett 2009). Chirikof Island likely represents eastern range limit (Withrow 2015). ~5,000 sq. km calculated in GIS (based on range map from ACCS 2017a).

-10 Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10) No subspecies specific information, likely same as species: does not concentrate (Arcese et al. 2002). Reproductive Potential in Alaska Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5) -5 No subspecies specific information, likely same as species: undocumented for Alaska, but elsewhere in North America, females breed at 1 year old (Hochachka 1990; Arcese et al. 2002). Number of Young (-5 to 5) 1 No subspecies specific information, likely same as species: Johnston (1954) reported an average clutch size of 4.17 eggs for Alaska (n=17) and two clutches per year. Clutch sizes ranged from 3.05 to 3.99 eggs elsewhere along the eastern Pacific coast (Johnston 1954). Multiple broods per year are common in this species (Johnston 1954; Arcese et al. 2002). Ecological Specialization in Alaska Dietary (-5 to 5) -5 Observed feeding with sandpipers on intertidal zone of Attu Island; marine snails found in stomach (Sutton and Wilson 1946). Also consumes small crustaceans, insects, worms, and weed seeds (Eyerdam 1936). Habitat (-5 to 5) 1 On Attu Island they were observed almost always on the shore, and sometimes on grassy headlands. Roosts in crevices of large rocks, or under a tussock (Sutton and Wilson 1946). Found near large boulders on beaches of Unalaska Island (Eyerdam 1936). **Biological Total:** -14 Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Score Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) 2 Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) 2 Distribution and habitat association is somewhat known; knowledge based on limited bird inventories and specimen collection reviews (Eyerdam 1936, Gabrielson and Lincoln 1951, Withrow 2015). Range and subspecies overlap poorly known. Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) 10 Not currently monitored. Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10) 2 No subspecies specific information, likely same as species: the population ecology of song sparrows has been extensively studied on Mandarte Island in southern British Columbia and in other parts of its range (reviewed in Arcese et al. 2002; Chase et al. 2005). Winter survival may be negatively affected by adverse weather, limited food availability, and competition with other songbirds for food (Arcese et al. 2002; Johnson et al. 2018c). Meanwhile, reproductive success may be limited by food availability, brood parasitism, territoriality, and weather (Arcese et al. 2002; Chase et al. 2005). For example, a long-term study in Point Reyes, California, found a strong, positive correlation between annual rainfall and metrics of reproductive success (Chase et al. 2005). The importance of any one factor changes over time and space (Arcese et al. 2002; Chase et al. 2005) and studies are largely

lacking for Alaska (though the genetics and evolution of Alaskan subspecies have been extensively

studied e.g. Pruett and Winker 2005a; Pruett et al. 2008a; 2008b; Pruett and Winker 2010; Zink 2010). Some island populations were strongly affected by introduced predators, which have since been eradicated (Croll et al. 2016). Island populations may also be negatively affected by inbreeding, which reduces female reproductive success (Keller 1998). We rank this question as B until additional data are available for Alaskan populations.

Action Total: 16

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: None or Prohibited

Seasonal Occurrence:Year-roundTaxonomic Significance:Subspecies% Global Range in Alaska:>10%% Global Population in Alaska:EndemicPeripheral:No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife

Arcese, P., M. K. Sogge, A. B. Marr, and M. A. Patten. 2002. Song Sparrow (Melospiza melodia), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F., and F. B. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.704

Chase, M. K., N. Nur, and G. R. Geupel. 2005. Effects of weather and population density on reproductive success and population dynamics in a song sparrow (Melospiza melodia). The Auk 122(2):571-592.

Croll, D. A., K. M. Newton, M. McKown, N. Holmes, J. C. Williams, ..., and B. R. Tershy. 2016. Passive recovery of an island bird community after rodent eradication. Biological Invasions 18(3):703-715. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-015-1042-9

Eyerdam, W. J. 1936. Notes on birds collected or observed during the summer of 1932 in the eastern Aleutian Islands, Alaska. The Murrelet 17(2/3):48.

Gabrielson, I. N., and F. C. Lincoln. 1951. The races of song sparrows in Alaska. The Condor 53(5):250-255.

Gibson, D. D., and G. V. Byrd. 2007. Birds of the Aleutian Islands, Alaska. Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Hochachka, W. 1990. Seasonal decline in reproductive performance of song sparrows. Ecology 71(4):1279–1288. DOI: 10.2307/1938265

Johnson, K. M., R. R. Germain, C. E. Tarwater, J. M. Reid, and P. Arcese. 2018c. Demographic consequences of invasion by a native, controphic competitor to an insular bird population. Oecologia 187(1):155–165. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-018-4101-y

Johnston, R. F. 1954. Variation in breeding season and clutch size in song sparrows of the Pacific Coast. The Condor 56(5):268–273. DOI: 10.2307/1364850

Keller, L. F. 1998. Inbreeding and its fitness effects in an insular population of song sparrows (Melospiza melodia). Evolution 52(1):240-250. DOI: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb05157.x

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Patten, M. A., and C. L. Pruett. 2009. The song sparrow, Melospiza melodia, as a ring species: patterns of geographic variation, a revision of subspecies, and implications for speciation. Systematics and Biodiversity 7(1):33–62. DOI: 10.1017/S1477200008002867

Pruett, C. L., and K. Winker. 2005a. Northwestern song sparrow populations show genetic effects of sequential colonization. Molecular Ecology 14(5):1421–1434. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02493.x

Pruett, C. L., and K. Winker. 2010. Alaska song sparrows (Melospiza melodia) demonstrate that genetic marker and method of analysis matter in subspecies assessments. Ornithological Monographs 67(1):162–171. DOI: 10.1525/om.2010.67.1.162

Pruett, C. L., P. Arcese, Y. L. Chan, A. G. Wilson, M. A. Patten, ..., and K. Winker. 2008a. Concordant and discordant signals between genetic data and described subspecies of Pacific Coast song sparrows. The Condor 110(2):359–364. DOI: 10.1525/cond.2008.8475

Pruett, C. L., P. Arcese, Y. L. Chan, A. G. Wilson, M. A. Patten, L. F. Keller, and K. Winker. 2008b. The effects of contemporary processes in maintaining the genetic structure of western song sparrows (Melospiza melodia). Heredity 101(1):67–74. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.2008.31

Sutton, G. M., and R. S. Wilson. 1946. Notes on the winter birds of Attu. Condor 48(2):83-91. DOI:10.2307/1363994

Withrow, J. J. 2015. Notes on the birds of Chirikof Island, Alaska. Western Birds 46(1):28-48.

Zink, R. M. 2010. Drawbacks with the use of microsatellites in phylogeography: the song sparrow Melospiza melodia as a case study. Journal of Avian Biology 41(1):1–7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-048X.2009.04903.x

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK