# **Bar-tailed Godwit**

Limosa lapponica baueri

Note: L. l. baueri is the only subspecies in Alaska.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 09 April 2019

# **Conservation Status**

NatureServe: Agency: G Rank:G4 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Near Threatened Audubon AK:Red S Rank: S3B USFWS: Bird of Conservation Concern **BLM:** Sensitive

Final Rank				
Conservation category: I. Red				
high status, biological vulnerability, and action need				
Catego	ory <u>Range</u>	Score		
Status	-20 to 20	10		
Biolog	gical -50 to 50	-12		
Action	n -40 to 40	4		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern				

Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	10
Counts from wintering grounds and from stop-over sites indicate that this population has been declining since the mid-1990s (Choi et al. 2015; Conklin et al. 2016; Studds et al. 2017).	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Recent and historical distribution trends are unknown. Although outside the scope of this question, it may be interesting to note that suitable habitat in Alaska is predicted to decline by 2100 as a result of climate change (Marcot et al. 2015).	
Status Total:	10
<b>Biological</b> - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Uncertain, but estimated at 90,000 individuals (ASG 2019).	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-8
Breeds on the tundra in western and northern Alaska, north to the Arctic Ocean (McCaffery and Gill 2001; Andres et al. 2012b). Range limits are not well-known, but may breed as far south as Bristol	

Bay (McCaffery and Gill 2001). Overwinters in New Zealand and eastern Australia (McCaffery and

Class: Aves Order: Charadriiformes Gill 2001). Estimated range size during breeding season is ~221,167 sq. km., based on range polygon from ACCS (2017a) and calculated in GIS.

#### Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

During autumn migration, >60% of the entire population stages along a 175 km strip in the southern Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YKD), while >30% stages at Egegik Bay on the Alaska Peninsula (Gill and McCaffery 1999). Large concentrations have also been seen in the central YKD (from the Tutakoke River to Kokechik Bay; Gill and Handel 1990) and at other estuaries on the Alaska Peninsula including Nelson Lagoon and Port Heiden (Gill et al. 1981; Gill and McCaffery 1999). Number of sites <25.

# Reproductive Potential in Alaska

# Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

Most breed at the age of 4 (McCaffery and Gill 2001), though some may breed as early as three (Conklin et al. 2016; Conklin et al. 2017).

## Number of Young (-5 to 5)

Lays one clutch per year, typically with 4 eggs (Kessel 1989; McCaffery and Gill 2001). Mean clutch size in Alaska was  $3.72 \pm 0.56$  SD (McCaffery and Gill 2001).

# Ecological Specialization in Alaska

# Dietary (-5 to 5)

On breeding grounds, consumes adult and larval invertebrates e.g. beetles, flies, snails and berries (Kessel 1989; McCaffery and Gill 2001). On coastal staging grounds, feeds primarily on marine invertebrates such as bivalves, polychaete worms, and crustaceans (Kessel 1989; Gill et al. 1981; McCaffery and Gill 2001; Dekinga 2005). High densities of energy-rich, marine invertebrates are likely a requirement to fuel their long-distance migration (Gill et al. 2005) and we therefore rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable/Key requirements common.

# Habitat (-5 to 5)

Nests on the tundra in dwarf-shrub meadows (Kessel 1989; McCaffery and Gill 2001) at low to mid elevations (sea level up to >400m) (Gill et al. 1996b; McCaffery and Gill 2001). Reported from a range of moisture levels and distances from the coast (up to >100km inland) (Kessel 1989; Hohenberger et al. 1994; McCaffery and Gill 2001). During staging in western Alaska, uses intertidal areas with mud or sand substrates (Gill and Handel 1990; Gill and McCaffery 1999). Birds staging in northern Alaska use wet sedge meadows rather than tidal flats (qtd in McCaffery and Gill 2001). In all cases, godwits require staging areas that support high densities of marine invertebrates.

Biological Total: -12

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

# Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Subsistence harvest is permitted (AMBCC 2018). Although harvest is subject to closed seasons, an estimated average 1,115 bar-tailed godwits are harvested annually in Alaska and it is unclear if this harvest is sustainable given conditions that negatively affect adult survival elsewhere in the flyway (Naves et al. 2019). To signal potentially high harvest rates in Alaska and the need for additional data, we upgrade this question to B.

# 2

1

1

1

1

Score

2

## Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Broad distribution and habitat associations captured during multi-species bird surveys and inventories (e.g. Kessel 1989; Hohenberger et al. 1994; Gill et al. 1996b; Tibbitts et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2007a; Andres et al. 2012b; Brown et al. 2012; studies in Cooper 2014) and during specific surveys (McCaffery 1998; Gill and McCaffery 1999). Southern and inland range limits are not well understood (McCaffery and Gill 2001). Migration routes have been well-studied (Gill et al. 2009; Conklin et al. 2010; Battley et al. 2012; Conklin et al. 2013; Gill et al. 2014; Choi et al. 2015). Habitat suitability models have been built for Alaska (Marcot et al. 2015).

# Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

In Alaska, this species is detected during multi-species bird surveys, but it is not monitoring for trends. However, recent data specific to the baueri susbspecies, which only breeds in Alaska, are available from monitoring efforts in its wintering range (Scholten et al. 2012; Conklin et al. 2016; Studds et al. 2017). We therefore rank this question as C- Adequate to detect trends.

# Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Though few data are available, mortality on both wintering and breeding grounds, as well as during migration, is thought to be low (Conklin et al. 2016; Piersma et al. 2016; Conklin et al. 2017). Nevertheless, population trends and rates of annual adult survival are declining (Conklin et al. 2016; Studds et al. 2017; Murray et al. 2018). Population declines are attributed to mortality on stop-over sites in East Asia resulting from the loss and degradation of coastal wetlands (Choi et al. 2015; Conklin et al. 2016; Studds et al. 2017; Murray et al. 2018). Scientists have observed similar patterns in the population trends of several other shorebird species that use the same flyway, but that have different wintering and breeding grounds (Piersma et al. 2016; Studds et al. 2017).

Preliminary results from a study on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta found extremely low rates of nest success, though reasons are unknown (McCaffery and Conklin 2005). In addition, an estimated average 1,115 bar-tailed godwits are harvested annually in Alaska and it is unclear if this harvest is sustainable given conditions that negatively affect adult survival elsewhere in the flyway (Naves et al. 2019). Analyses of heavy metal toxicity have been limited by low sample size and warrant further investigation (Perkins et al. 2016; Saalfeld et al. 2016).

#### Action Total: 4

# Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Substantial, regulations	
Seasonal Occurrence:	Breeding	
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species	
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%	
% Global Population in Alaska:	Endemic	
Peripheral:	No	

## References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Andres, B. A., J. A. Johnson, S. C. Brown, and R. B. Lanctot. 2012b. Shorebirds breed in unusually high densities in the Teshekpuk Lake Special Area, Alaska. Arctic 65(4):411–420. DOI: 10.14430/arctic4239

2

2

-2

Alaska Shorebird Group (ASG). 2019. Alaska Shorebird Conservation Plan, Version III. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: <u>https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/plans.htm</u>

Battley, P. F., N. Warnock, T. L. Tibbitts, R. E. Gill, T. Piersma, C. J. Hassell, ..., and A. C. Riegen. 2012. Contrasting extreme long-distance migration patterns in bar-tailed godwits Limosa lapponica. Journal of Avian Biology 43(1):21–32. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-048X.2011.05473.x

Brown, S., S. Kendall, R. Churchwell, A. Taylor, and A-M Benson. 2012. Relative shorebird densities at coastal sites in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Waterbirds 35(4):546–554. DOI: 10.1675/063.035.0405

Choi, C.-Y., P. F. Battley, M. A. Potter, K. G. Rogers, and Z. Ma. 2015. The importance of Yalu Jiang coastal wetland in the north Yellow Sea to bar-tailed Godwits Limosa lapponica and great knots Calidris tenuirostris during northward migration. Bird Conservation International 25(1):53–70. DOI: 10.1017/S0959270914000124

Conklin, J. R., P. F. Battley, M. A. Potter, and J. W. Fox. 2010. Breeding latitude drives individual schedules in a transhemispheric migrant bird. Nature Communications 1:67. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1072

Conklin, J. R., P. F. Battley, and M. A. Potter. 2013. Absolute consistency: Individual versus population variation in annualcycle schedules of a long-distance migrant bird. PLoS ONE 8(1):e54535. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054535

Conklin, J. R., T. Lok, D. S. Melville, A. C. Riegen, R. Schuckard, T. Piersma, and P. F. Battley. 2016. Declining adult survival of New Zealand bar-tailed godwits during 2005–2012 despite apparent population stability. Emu - Austral Ornithology 116(2):147–157. DOI: 10.1071/MU15058

Conklin, J. R., N. R. Senner, P. F. Battley, and T. Piersma. 2017. Extreme migration and the individual quality spectrum. Journal of Avian Biology 48(1):19–36. DOI: 10.1111/jav.01316

Cooper, E. 2014. Annual summary compilation: New or ongoing studies of Alaska shorebirds, December 2014. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: <u>https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/working\_group.htm</u>

Dekinga, A. 2005. Foraging and fattening in long-distance migrants: The benthos of major autumn staging sites in Alaska and its use by bar-tailed godwits. Pages 16-17 in Gill, B., ed. Summaries of ongoing or new studies of Alaska shorebirds during 2005. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/working\_group.htm

Gill, R. E., Jr., and C. M. Handel. 1990. The importance of subarctic intertidal habitats to shorebirds: A study of the central Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. The Condor 92(3):709-725.

Gill Jr., R. E., and B. J. McCaffery. 1999. Bar-tailed godwits Limosa lapponica in Alaska: A population estimate from the staging grounds. Wader Study Group Bulletin 88:49–54.

Gill, R. E., Jr., M. R. Petersen, and P. D. Jorgensen. 1981. Birds of the northcentral Alaska Peninsula, 1976-1980. Arctic 34(4):286–306. DOI: 10.14430/arctic2532

Gill, R. E., M. T. Schroeder, and J. M. Schnorr. 1996b. An assessment of the breeding status of bristle-thighed curlews (Numenius tahitiensis) and other montane nesting shorebirds within Cape Krusenstern National Monument, Alaska, 23-27 May and 8-11 July 1996. National Biological Service, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK and National Park Service, NW Alaska Area, Kotzebua, AK, USA.

Gill, R. E., T. Piersma, G. Hufford, R. Servranckx, and A. Riegen. 2005. Crossing the ultimate ecological barrier: Evidence for an 11 000-km-long nonstop flight from Alaska to New Zealand and eastern Australia by bar-tailed godwits. The Condor 107(1):1–20. DOI: 10.1650/7613

Gill, R. E., T. L. Tibbitts, D. C. Douglas, C. M. Handel, D. M. Mulcahy, J. C. Gottschalck, ..., and T. Piersma. 2009. Extreme endurance flights by landbirds crossing the Pacific Ocean: Ecological corridor rather than barrier? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 276(1656):447–457. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1142

Gill, R. E., D. C. Douglas, C. M. Handel, T. L. Tibbitts, G. Hufford, and T. Piersma. 2014. Hemispheric-scale wind selection facilitates bar-tailed godwit circum-migration of the Pacific. Animal Behaviour 90:117–130. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.01.020

Hohenberger, C. J., W. C. Hanson, and E. E. Burroughs. 1994. Birds of the Prudhoe Bay Region, northern Alaska. Western Birds 25(2):73–103.

Johnson, J. A., R. B. Lanctot, B. A. Andres, J. R. Bart, S. C. Brown. S. J. Kendall, and D. C. Payer. 2007a. Distribution of breeding shorebirds on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. Arctic 60(3):277-293. DOI: 10.14430/arctic220

Kessel, B. 1989. Birds of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: Their biogeography, seasonality, and natural history. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

McCaffery, B. J. 1998. Implications of frequent habitat switches in foraging bar-tailed godwits. The Auk 115(2):494–497. DOI: 10.2307/4089212

McCaffery, B. J. 2005a. Reproductive ecology of bar-tailed godwits on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. Pages 22-23 in Gill, B. Summaries of ongoing or new studies of Alaska shorebirds during 2005. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: <u>https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/working\_group.htm</u>

McCaffery, B. J. and R. E. Gill. 2001. Bar-tailed Godwit (Limosa lapponica), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F. and F. B. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.581

Murray, N. J., P. P. Marra, R. A. Fuller, R. S. Clemens, K. Dhanjal-Adams, ..., and C. E. Studds. 2018. The large-scale drivers of population declines in a long-distance migratory shorebird. Ecography 41(6):867–876. DOI: 10.1111/ecog.02957

Naves, L. C., J. M. Keating, T. L. Tibbitts, and D. R. Ruthrauff. 2019. Shorebird subsistence harvest and indigenous knowledge in Alaska: Informing harvest management and engaging users in shorebird conservation. The Condor 121(2):duz023. DOI: 10.1093/condor/duz023

Perkins, M., L. Ferguson, R. B. Lanctot, I. J. Stenhouse, S. Kendall, S. Brown, H. R. Gates, J. O. Hall, K. Regan, and D. C. Evers. 2016. Mercury exposure and risk in breeding and staging Alaskan shorebirds. The Condor 118(3):571–582. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-16-36.1

Piersma, T., T. Lok, Y. Chen, C. J. Hassell, H.-Y. Yang, A. Boyle, M. Slaymaker, Y.-C. Chan, D. S. Melville, Z.-W. Zhang, and Z. Ma. 2016. Simultaneous declines in summer survival of three shorebird species signals a flyway at risk. Journal of Applied Ecology 53(2):479–490. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.12582

Saalfeld, D. T., A. C. Matz, B. J. McCaffery, O. W. Johnson, P. Bruner, and R. B. Lanctot. 2016. Inorganic and organic contaminants in Alaskan shorebird eggs. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 188(5):1–7. DOI: 10.1007/s10661-016-5270-y

Scholten, S., L. Costello, D. A. Milton, and G. Maurer. 2012. Report on Australian shorebird population counts winter 2009 and summer 2009-10. Stilt 62(2012):33–53. DOI: 10.1016/S0960-9822(97)70976-X

Studds, C. E., B. E. Kendall, N. J. Murray, H. B. Wilson, D. I. Rogers, R. S. Clemens, ..., and R. A. Fuller. 2017. Rapid population decline in migratory shorebirds relying on Yellow Sea tidal mudflats as stopover sites. Nature Communications 8:14895. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms14895

Tibbitts, T. L., D. R. Ruthrauff, R. E. Gill, Jr., and C. M. Handel. 2006. Inventory of montane-nesting birds in the Arctic Network of National Parks, Alaska. Report NPS/AKARCN/NRTR-2006/02/, Arctic Network Inventory and Monitoring Program, National Park Service, Alaska Region, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK