Alaska hare

Lepus othus

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 17 December 2018

IUCN: Least Concern

BLM: Watch

0

-38

4

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank:G3G4 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need S Rank: S4 USFWS:

Final Rank					
Conservation category: V. Orange unknown status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need					
	Category	Range	Score		

-20 to 20

-50 to 50

-40 to 40

Higher numerical scores denote greater concern

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with	
	known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	

Status

Action

Biological

known deenning tiends. Status seores range from 20 (mereasing) to 20 (deereasing).	Deore
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown. Historical counts in the 1950s and 1960s were not standardized or may have reflected peaks in population cycles (L. E. Olson, pers. comm.). Additional research is needed to determine whether populations are cyclic.	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown. Historically reported from the North Slope, but no sightings have been documented since the 1950s (Cason et al. 2016). Specimens and records of its occurrence there are equivocal (Cason et al. 2016). Its distribution is expected to change in response to climate change, but models disagree on whether suitable habitat will increase (Hope et al. 2015; Leach et al. 2015) or decrease (Marcot et al. 2015).	
Status Total:	0
Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Occurs at low densities throughout its range (Carroll and Merizon 2017). Population size is	

Occurs at low densities throughout its range (Carroll and Merizon 2017). Population size is unknown, but suspected to be large. It is estimated that ~7,500 individuals were harvested in Alaska in 2013 (Merizon et al. 2015).

Class: Mammalia Order: Lagomorpha

Audubon AK:

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Restricted to western Alaska from the Alaska Peninsula north to Kotzebue (Anderson 1974; Anderson 1978; Cason et al. 2016). Occasionally reported on Little Diomede Island, and recent evidence suggests that this species may be present on other Bering Sea Islands including Unimak and Hagemeister (Cason et al. 2016). Estimated range size is ~230,000 sq. km., calculated in GIS based on the map in Cason et al. (2016).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)		
Concentrates during spring breeding season (L. E. Olson, pers. comm.), but number of sites (and fidelity to these sites) are unknown. Number of sites likely >250.		
Reproductive Potential in Alaska		
Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	-5	
Breed within their first year of birth (Anderson and Lent 1977; Best and Henry 1994).		
Number of Young (-5 to 5)	1	
One litter per year, with litter size ranging from 5 to 7 (Anderson and Lent 1977; Best and Henry 1994).		
Ecological Specialization in Alaska		
<u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u>	-5	
Herbivorous, but little information is available. Feeds on several common tundra plants including willow bark, leaves of ericaceous shrubs, lichens, and graminoids (Anderson 1974; Best and Henry 1994).		
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	-5	
Found in a variety of habitats on the coastal tundra, including wet meadows, low-lying flats, and hillsides (Best and Henry 1994). Often concealed in dense shrub thickets.		
Biological Total:	-38	
Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action		

Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).	Score
Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Sport and subsistence harvest is permitted, but is subject to closed season and bag limits (ADFG	-10
2018c). The meat or the hide must be salvaged for human use (ADFG 2018c).	

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

There has been much confusion about the distribution of the Alaska hare. Although its range has recently been clarified by Cason et al. (2016), the northern limits of its range are not fully resolved (Cook and MacDonald 2006; Cason 2016) and its presence on Bering Sea islands warrants further investigation (Cason et al. 2016). Habitat associations have been described (reviewed in Best and Henry 1994), but few data are available. Specific habitat requirements may explain why this species does not occur on the North Slope, even though there are no geographic barriers to dispersal (Cason 2016).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Locally monitored using hunting questionnaires, but population size and trends are unknown (Carroll and Merizon 2017). Comparisons with historic counts are difficult because counts were not standardized (L. E. Olson, pers. comm.).

2

2

-8

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Little is known about the ecology of the Alaska hare. Climate change is expected to impact this species' habitat and range, but models disagree on whether the effects will be positive or negative (Hope et al. 2015; Leach et al. 2015; Marcot et al. 2015). Lepus othus may not warrant distinct status as a species: preliminary genetic results suggest conspecificity with the mountain hare, L. timidus, which is broadly distributed across much of northern Eurasia. Lepus timidus appears to be able to cross the sea ice between Russia and Alaska, possibly using the Diomedes as stepping stones (Cason 2016).

Action Total: 4

10

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Substantial, regulations	
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round	
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species	
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%	
% Global Population in Alaska:	Endemic	
Peripheral:	No	

References

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020a. 2020-2021 Alaska hunting regulations. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, AK, USA.

Anderson, H. L. 1974. Natural history and systematics of the tundra hare (Lepus othus Merriam) in western Alaska. M.Sc. thesis, University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Anderson, H. L. 1978. Range of the tundra hare. The Murrelet 59(2):72-74. DOI: 10.2307/3534616

Anderson, H. L., and P. C. Lent. 1977. Reproduction and growth of the tundra hare (Lepus othus). Journal of Mammalogy 58(1):53-57. DOI: 10.2307/1379727

Best, T. L., and T. H. Henry. 1994. Lepus othus. Mammalian Species 458:1-5.

Carroll, C. J., and R. A. Merizon. 2017. Status of grouse, ptarmigan, and hare in Alaska, 2015 and 2016. Division of Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Palmer, AK, USA.

Cason, M. M. 2016. Distribution and biogeography of the Alaskan hare (Lepus othus). MSc thesis, University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Cason, M. M., A. P. Baltensperger, T. L. Booms, J. J. Burns, and L. E. Olson. 2016. Revised distribution of an Alaskan endemic, the Alaska hare (Lepus othus), with implications for taxonomy, biogeography, and climate change. Arctic Science 2(2):50-66. DOI: 10.1139/as-2015-0019

Cook, J. A., and S. O. MacDonald. 2006. Mammal inventory of Alaska's National Parks and Preserves, Arctic Network [...]. Report NPS/AKRARCN/NRTR-2004/01. National Park Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Hope, A. G., E. Waltari, J. L. Malaney, D. C. Payer, J. A. Cook, and S. L. Talbot. 2015. Arctic biodiversity: increasing richness accompanies shrinking refugia for a cold-associated tundra fauna. Ecosphere 6(9):159. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00104.1

Leach, K., R. Kelly, A. Cameron, I. W. Montgomery, and N. Reid. 2015. Expertly validated models and phylogeneticallycontrolled analysis suggests responses to climate change are related to species traits in the order Lagomorpha. PLoS One 10(4):e0122267. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122267 Marcot, B. G., M. T. Jorgenson, J. P. Lawler, C. M. Handel, and A. R. DeGange. 2015. Projected changes in wildlife habitats in Arctic natural areas of northwest Alaska. Climate Change 130(2):145–154. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-015-1354-x

Merizon, R. A., S. J. Carson, and L. S. Honig. 2015. Statewide small game hunter survey, 2014. Wildlife Management Report ADF&G/DWC/WMR-2015-1. Division of Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Palmer, AK, USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK