

Gyrfalcon

Falco rusticolus

Class: Aves
Order: Falconiformes

Review Status: No review necessary

Version Date:

Conservation Status

NatureServe:

Agency:

G Rank: G5

ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need

IUCN: Least Concern

Audubon AK:

S Rank: S4

USFWS:

BLM: Watch

Final Rank		
Conservation category: II. Red		
high status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need		
<u>Category</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Status	-20 to 20	0
Biological	-50 to 50	-6
Action	-40 to 40	-16
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern		

Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).

Score

Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-6

Considered stable (Alaska Raptor Management Program 2001). Numbers may fluctuate annually in response to prey populations or other environmental variables (Booms et al. 2008).

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

6

Potentially declining. Recent distribution models by Booms et al. (2011a) suggests that habitat in Alaska has decreased in the past 100 years, and this trend is expected to continue in the future.

Status Total: 0

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).

Score

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

8

Booms et al. (2010a) estimated the breeding gyrfalcon population in Alaska at 546 ± 180 pairs (equivalent to 732-1452 mature individuals). Because this interval estimate spans two categories, we rank this question as $0.5 * A + 0.5 * B$.

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Distributed throughout tundra habitats, but most common north of Brooks Range, parts of Alaska Range, and on the Seward and Lisburne Peninsulas (Booms et al. 2008). Largely absent from interior and southeast Alaska. Some individuals migrate, while other remain on breeding territories year-

round. Year-round range is estimated to cover ~600,000 sq. km., calculated in GIS and based on range map from ACCS (2017a).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10

Does not concentrate; occurs either singly or in pairs (Booms et al. 2008).

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5) -3

Little data available, but likely >2 years (Booms et al. 2008).

Number of Young (-5 to 5) 3

Females lay one brood per year. Clutch size ranges from 1 to 5 eggs, with a mean of 3.72 ± 0.71 (Booms et al. 2008). We rank this question as B- 1-2 offspring to take into account the fact that females do not always breed every year (Booms et al. 2008).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5) 1

In Alaska, ptarmigan are critically important, comprising anywhere from 25% to 95% of the gyrfalcon's diet (Roseneau 1972; Booms et al. 2008; Potapov 2011). Arctic ground squirrels are also important prey items; research by Robinson et al. (2019b) on the Seward Peninsula suggest that prey size, rather than specific taxa, may be a more important consideration of foraging gyrfalcon during the nesting season. They found that the proportion of ptarmigan or ground squirrel in the diet varied within and between years, perhaps in response to changes in prey availability (Robinson et al. 2019b). Songbirds, shorebirds, and other small mammals are also consumed (Sherrod 1978; Booms et al. 2008; Potapov 2011).

Habitat (-5 to 5) 5

Breeds in tundra habitats above 55°N (Booms et al. 2008). It does not build its own nest, but relies on cliff and cliff-like structures and lays its eggs on rock ledges or in stick nests built by other bird species (Booms et al. 2008). These nesting sites are considered to be relatively rare in Alaska (Booms et al. 2010a; Liebezeit et al. 2012). Indeed, distribution models by Booms et al. (2010a) predicted that 7% of the state had a nest occurrence index value >60%. The availability of suitable nest sites is thought to limit gyrfalcon populations (Booms et al. 2008).

Biological Total: -6

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Subsistence and recreational harvest is not permitted. Take is permitted for falconry purposes, but is very minimal (ADFG 2018a).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) -10

Several studies have addressed the movements and distribution of gyrfalcons in Alaska, including the distribution of nest-sites, juvenile dispersal, and migration routes (e.g. Roseneau 1972; McIntyre et al. 2009; Booms et al. 2010a; Booms et al. 2011b; Eisaguirre et al. 2016). Aerial and boat surveys have also contributed to our understanding of their distribution during the breeding season (reviewed in Fuller et al. 2011).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) 2

Data are only available for certain locations where gyrfalcon or raptor surveys are being conducted

(Booms et al. 2010b; Fuller et al. 2011). These data are currently insufficient for determining statewide population trends. Other multi-species surveys (e.g. PRISM) either do not detect gyrfalcons in sufficient numbers or fall outside of this species' range (Bart et al. 2011).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

Food and nest-site availability are thought to be the main factors regulating population size and densities, while reproductive success is thought to be influenced by food availability and inclement weather (Booms et al. 2008). Some studies outside of Alaska have reported a correlation between population size and the abundance of ptarmigan, with some gyrfalcon populations responding cyclically to changes in ptarmigan populations (Booms et al. 2008). Not all population exhibit these responses; for example, seasonal changes in ptarmigan populations or the availability of alternative prey may dampen the effect of ptarmigan on gyrfalcon (Booms et al. 2008; Robinson et al. 2019b). Few studies have investigated these factors in Alaska. A study by Anderson et al. (2019) on the Seward Peninsula found that the distribution of nesting territories was non-random on the landscape. They did not find a strong relationship between occupancy and the distribution of prey habitat; however, the resolution of their data allowed for only a coarse investigation. Finally, there is some concern about how gyrfalcon will be affected by and respond to climate change, and specifically to increased shrub cover of tundra habitats (Booms et al. 2010a; Liebezeit et al. 2012). Additional work is needed to predict effects of and responses to climate change.

Action Total: -16

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Year-round
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	<25%
Peripheral:	No

References

- Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife>
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2018a. Alaska Falconry Manual No. 10. Division of Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK, USA.
- Alaska Raptor Management Program. 2001. Management plan for Alaska raptors: A plan covering all species of diurnal and nocturnal raptors that occur in Alaska. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau, AK, USA.
- Anderson, D. L., P. J. Bente, T. L. Booms, L. Dunn, and C. J. W. McClure. 2019. Nonrandom territory occupancy by nesting gyrfalcons (*Falco rusticolus*). *Arctic Science* 5(3):148–160. DOI: 10.1139/as-2018-0024
- Bart, J., M. Fuller, P. Smith, and L. Dunn. 2011. Use of large-scale, multi-species surveys to monitor gyrfalcon and ptarmigan populations. Pages 263–272 in R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Potapov, eds. *Gyrfalcons and ptarmigan in a changing world*, Volume I. The Peregrine Fund, Boise, ID, USA. DOI: 10.4080/gpcw.2011.0126
- Booms, T. L., T. J. Cade, and N. J. Clum. 2008. Gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus*), version 2.0. In Rodewald, P.G., ed. *Birds of North America*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.114
- Booms, T. L., F. Huettmann, and P. F. Schempf. 2010a. Gyrfalcon nest distribution in Alaska based on a predictive GIS model. *Polar Biology* 33(3):347-358.

- Booms, T. L., P. F. Schempf, B. J. McCaffery, M. S. Lindberg, and M. R. Fuller. 2010b. Detection probability of cliff-nesting raptor during helicopter and fixed-wing aircraft surveys in western Alaska. *Journal of Raptor Research* 44(3):175-187. DOI: 10.3356/JRR-09-70.1
- Booms, T., M. Lindgren, and F. Huettmann. 2011a. Linking Alaska's predicted climate, gyrfalcon, and ptarmigan distributions in space and time: A unique 200-year perspective. Pages 177-190 in R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Potapov, eds. *Gyrfalcons and ptarmigan in a changing world, Volume 1*. The Peregrine Fund, Boise, ID, USA. DOI:10.4080/gpcw.2011.0116
- Booms, T. L., S. L. Talbot, G. K. Sage, B. J. McCaffery, K. G. McCracken, and P. F. Schempf. 2011b. Nest-site fidelity and dispersal of gyrfalcons estimated by noninvasive genetic sampling. *Condor* 113(4):768-778.
- Eisaguirre, J. M., T. L. Booms, P. F. Schempf, and S. B. Lewis. 2016. Gyrfalcon home ranges and movements on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. *Journal of Raptor Research* 50(1):109-114. DOI: 10.3356/rapt-50-01-109-114.1
- Fuller, M. R., P. F. Schempf, and T. L. Booms. 2011. Developing gyrfalcon surveys and monitoring for Alaska. Pages 275-282 in R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Potapov, eds. *Gyrfalcons and ptarmigan in a changing world, Volume I*. The Peregrine Fund, Boise, ID, USA. DOI:10.4080/gpcw.2011.0129
- Liebezeit, J., E. Rowland, M. Cross, and S. Zack. 2012. Assessing climate change vulnerability of breeding birds in Arctic Alaska. Prepared for the Arctic Landscape Conservation Cooperative. Wildlife Conservation Society, North America Program, Bozeman, MT, USA.
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.
- McIntyre, C. L., D. C. Douglas, and L. G. Adams. 2009. Movements of juvenile gyrfalcons from western and interior Alaska following departure from their natal areas. *Journal of Raptor Research* 43(2):99-109.
- Partners in Flight (PIF). 2019. Population Estimates Database, version 3.0. Available online: <http://pif.birdconservancy.org/PopEstimates>. Accessed 09-April-2019.
- Potapov, E. 2011. Gyrfalcon diet: Spatial and temporal variation. Pages 55-64 in R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Potapov, eds. *Gyrfalcons and ptarmigan in a changing world, Volume I*. The Peregrine Fund, Boise, ID, USA. DOI: 10.4080/gpcw.2011.0106
- Robinson, B. W., T. L. Booms, M. J. Bechard, and D. L. Anderson. 2019b. Dietary plasticity in a specialist predator, the gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus*): New insights into diet during brood rearing. *Journal of Raptor Research* 53(2):115. DOI: 10.3356/JRR-15-58
- Roseneau, D. G. 1972. Summer distribution, numbers, and food habits of the gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus* L.) on the Seward Peninsula, Alaska. M.S. thesis, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA.
- Sherrod, S. K. 1978. Diets of North American Falconiformes. *Raptor Research* 12(3-4):49-121.