

Pacific-slope Flycatcher*Empidonax difficilis*

Class: Aves

Order: Passeriformes

Review Status: Peer-reviewed**Version Date:** 15 December 2017**Conservation Status***NatureServe:**Agency:*

G Rank: G5

ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need

IUCN: Least Concern

Audubon AK:

S Rank: S4B

USFWS:

BLM:

Final RankConservation category: **IX. Blue**

low status and low biological vulnerability and action need

<u>Category</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Status	-20 to 20	-6
Biological	-50 to 50	-24
Action	-40 to 40	0

Higher numerical scores denote greater concern**Status** - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).**Score***Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)*

-6

Data from 2003 to 2015 indicate a stable to increasing trend for southeast Alaska (Handel and Sauer 2017). Long-term (1993-2015) data from the Breeding Bird Survey similarly suggest a small, positive trend (Handel and Sauer 2017).

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

0

Unknown.

Status Total: -6

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).**Score***Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)*

-10

Uncertain, but >25,000 (PIF 2019).

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-2

Summer resident only. Breeds from extreme southeast Alaska north to Yakutat (Isleib and Kessel 1973; Johnson et al. 2008b). Estimated range is ~85,000 sq. km, calculated in GIS and based on range map from ACCS (2017a).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Does not concentrate.

*Reproductive Potential in Alaska*Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

-5

1 year (Lowther et al. 2016).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

1

Usually 3 to 4 eggs per clutch (range: 1 to 5; Lowther et al. 2016). In more southern regions of its breeding range (e.g. California, Oregon), it can lay several clutches per season (Lowther et al. 2016); however, the degree to which this occurs in Alaska is unknown.

*Ecological Specialization in Alaska*Dietary (-5 to 5)

1

Feeds almost exclusively on insects, including Hymenoptera, Diptera, Coleoptera, and Lepidoptera (Lowther et al. 2016). Because invertebrates are an ephemeral and potentially unpredictable food source (e.g. Nebel et al. 2010), we rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable with key requirements common.

Habitat (-5 to 5)

1

Inhabits mature, coastal forests of the Pacific Northwest (Christie and Reimchen 2008; Kissling and Garton 2008; Lowther et al. 2016). Within this habitat type, it is common in both coniferous and mixedwood forests (Cotter and Andres 2000a; Andres et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2008b) and appears to be most abundant in forests that are close to the shore or streams (Kessler and Kogut 1985; Lowther et al. 2016) and is far less abundant in young-growth forests (Kessler and Kogut 1985; Dellasala et al. 1996). Nests along streams on various substrates including cliffs, banks, tree cavities, in the crook of branches, and on building ledges (Lowther et al. 2016).

 Biological Total: -24

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

ScoreManagement Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Fairly commonly detected during multi-species bird surveys in southeast Alaska (e.g. Andres et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2008b; Kissling and Garton 2008; Handel and Sauer 2017). As such, its distribution and habitat associations are well-known (see references in Habitat Specialization section). Little is known about migration patterns (Lowther et al. 2016).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-2

Monitored by the Breeding Bird Survey and the Alaska Landbird Monitoring Survey across most of its range. Data are adequate for assessing population trends (Handel and Sauer 2017).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Little is known about the factors that limit this species in Alaska or elsewhere (Lowther et al. 2016). This species may be vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation resulting from logging, development, and modification of natural disturbance regimes (Dellasala et al. 1996; Kissling and Garton 2008). Sperry et al. (2008) monitored daily nest survival in two forest buffer treatments, but small sample sizes preclude data analysis.

 Action Total: 0

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Breeding
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	<10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	<25%
Peripheral:	No

References

- Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife>
- Andres, B. A., M. J. Stotts, and J. M. Stotts. 2004. Breeding birds of Research Natural Areas in southeastern Alaska. *Northwestern Naturalist* 85(3):95–103. DOI: 10.1898/1051-1733(2005)085[0095:BBORNA]2.0.CO;2
- Christie, K. S., and T. E. Reimchen. 2008. Presence of salmon increases passerine density on Pacific Northwest streams. *The Auk* 125(1):51–59. DOI: 10.1525/auk.2008.125.1.51
- Cotter, P. A., and B. A. Andres. 2000a. Breeding bird habitat associations on the Alaska breeding bird survey. Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR- 2000-0010, Biological Resource Division, U.S. Geological Survey, Springfield, VA, USA.
- Dellasala, D. A., J. C. Hagar, K. A. Engel, W. C. McComb, R. L. Fairbanks, and E. G. Campbell. 1996. Effects of silvicultural modifications of temperate rainforest on breeding and wintering bird communities, Prince of Wales Island, Southeast Alaska. *The Condor* 98(4):706–721. DOI: 10.2307/1369853
- Handel, C. M. and Sauer, J. R. 2017. Combined analysis of roadside and off-road breeding bird survey data to assess population change in Alaska. *The Condor* 119(3):557-575. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-17-67.1
- Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. Biological Papers of the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.
- Johnson, J. A., B. A. Andres, and J. A. Bissonette. 2008b. Birds of the major mainland rivers of Southeast Alaska. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-739. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR, USA.
- Kessler, W. B., and T. E. Kogut. 1985. Habitat orientations of forest birds in southeastern Alaska. *Northwest Science* 59(1):58-65.
- Kissling, M. L., and E. O. Garton. 2008. Forested buffer strips and breeding bird communities in Southeast Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 72(3):674-681.
- Lowther, P. E., P. Pyle, and M. A. Patten. 2016. Pacific-slope Flycatcher (*Empidonax difficilis*), version 3.0. In Rodewald, P. G., ed. *The Birds of North America*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI:10.2173/bna.pasfly.03
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.
- Nebel, S., A. Mills, J. D. McCracken, and P. D. Taylor. 2010. Declines of aerial insectivores in North America follow a geographic gradient. *Avian Conservation and Ecology* 5(2):art1. DOI: 10.5751/ACE-00391-050201
- Partners in Flight (PIF). 2019. Population Estimates Database, version 3.0. Available online: <http://pif.birdconservancy.org/PopEstimates>. Accessed 09-April-2019.
- Sperry, D. M., M. Kissling, and T. L. George. 2008. Avian nest survival in coastal forested buffer strips on Prince of Wales Island, Alaska. *The Condor* 110(4):740-746. DOI: 10.1525/cond.2008.8601
-

Alaska Center for Conservation Science
Alaska Natural Heritage Program
University of Alaska Anchorage
Anchorage, AK