

Brown Creeper (alascensis)

Class: Aves
Order: Passeriformes

Certhia americana alascensis

Note: This assessment refers to this subspecies only. A species level report, which refers to all associated subspecies, is also available.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 30 November 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: ADF&G:

IUCN:

Audubon AK:

S Rank: USFWS:

BLM:

Final Rank		
Conservation category: IV. Orange		
unknown status and high biological vulnerability and action need		
<u>Category</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Status	-20 to 20	0
Biological	-50 to 50	-16
Action	-40 to 40	8
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern		

Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).

Score

Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

0

Unknown. Limited data suggest a stable long-term (1993-2015) trend in southeast and southcoastal Alaska (Handel and Sauer 2017), but data are not available for most of the range of *C. a. alascensis*.

Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)

0

Unknown.

Status Total: 0

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).

Score

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

0

Unknown.

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-8

Found in interior, southcentral, and southcoastal Alaska (Gibson and Withrow 2015). Range limits are not well understood, but it occurs as far west as Dillingham (Saracco et al. 2007) and as far north as Fairbanks (Guers 2013). Estimated range size is >100,000 sq. km, but <400,000 sq. km.

<i>Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i>	-10
Does not concentrate.	
<i>Reproductive Potential in Alaska</i>	
<u>Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)</u>	-5
Unknown, but probably breeds in first year (Poulin et al. 2013).	
<u>Number of Young (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Unknown for Alaska. Elsewhere in North America, annual clutch size averages 5-6 eggs (Poulin et al. 2013).	
<i>Ecological Specialization in Alaska</i>	
<u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u>	1
Consumes a variety of invertebrates including spiders, flies, beetles, insect larvae, ants, and lepidopterans (reviewed in Poulin et al. 2013). These prey items are principally obtained by gleaning invertebrates from rough tree bark (Poulin et al. 2013). Because this habit of feeding is specialized and restricts the type of prey available, we rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable.	
<u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u>	5
In Alaska, inhabits closed-canopy, old-growth coniferous and mixedwood forests such as white spruce and spruce-birch (Isleib and Kessel 1973; Spindler and Kessel 1980; Dellasala et al. 1996; Andres et al. 2004; Van Hemert et al. 2006; Saracco et al. 2007). Nests in natural crevices behind loose or peeling bark, usually in dead or dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008).	
Biological Total:	-16

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

<i>Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i>	2
Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918).	
<i>Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i>	2
Limited knowledge of distribution in central Alaska e.g. between Dillingham (Saracco et al. 2007) and Fairbanks (Gibson 1970; Guers 2013), and between Fairbanks/Tetlin Junction (Spindler and Kessel 1980) and Cook Inlet. Additional studies are also needed to identify range limits and contact zones between <i>C. a. occidentalis</i> and <i>C. a. alascensis</i> . Habitat associations have been described in Prince William Sound (Isleib and Kessel 1973), on the Kenai Peninsula (Van Hemert et al. 2006), and in interior Alaska (Spindler and Kessel 1980), and are consistent with habitat elsewhere in its range (Poulin et al. 2013).	
<i>Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i>	2
Monitored locally through multi-year bird surveys at some locations such as Kodiak Island (Corcoran et al. 2014), Middleton Island (DeCicco et al. 2015b), and Fairbanks (Guers 2013), and in southcoastal Alaska through the Breeding Bird Survey (Handel and Sauer 2017). However, detections for this species are low and data are inadequate for estimating rangewide trends.	
<i>Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)</i>	2
The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for	

populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Research in Alaska and elsewhere in its range suggests that this species is sensitive to habitat disturbance. Specifically, studies have found lower abundances (Nappi et al. 2010; Vanderwel et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2013) and lower nest densities (Poulin et al. 2010; D'Astous and Villard 2012; Geleynse et al. 2016) in harvested or heavily burned forest stands. It is unclear whether these lower densities are the result of limited food (Poulin et al. 2010; D'Astous and Villard 2012) or limited nest sites (Geleynse et al. 2016). In addition, lower reproductive success has been documented for nests near forest edges and for nests in small forest patches, perhaps because of increased predation (Poulin and Villard 2011). Additional research is needed on the ecology and demographic rates of populations in Alaska, for which few data are available.

Action Total: 8

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Breeding
Taxonomic Significance:	Subspecies
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	Endemic
Peripheral:	No

References

- Andres, B. A., M. J. Stotts, and J. M. Stotts. 2004. Breeding birds of Research Natural Areas in southeastern Alaska. *Northwestern Naturalist* 85(3):95–103. DOI: 10.1898/1051-1733(2005)085[0095:BBORNA]2.0.CO;2
- Corcoran, R., C. Trussell, and R. MacIntosh. 2014. Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship on Kodiak Island, Alaska, 2010-2014. Refug report 2014.7, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kodiak, AK, USA.
- D'Astous, É., and M.-A. Villard. 2012. Effects of selection harvesting on bark invertebrates and nest provisioning rate in an old forest specialist, the brown creeper (*Certhia americana*). *Écoscience* 19(2):106–112. DOI: 10.2980/19-2-3472
- DeCicco, L. H., J. A. Johnson, N. R. Hajdukovich, S. M. Matsuoka, D. F. Tessler, and C. W. Wright. 2015b. Birds observed on Middleton Island, autumn 2014, 11 August - 16 October. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Anchorage, AK, USA.
- Dellasala, D. A., J. C. Hagar, K. A. Engel, W. C. McComb, R. L. Fairbanks, and E. G. Campbell. 1996. Effects of silvicultural modifications of temperate rainforest on breeding and wintering bird communities, Prince of Wales Island, Southeast Alaska. *The Condor* 98(4):706–721. DOI: 10.2307/1369853
- Geleynse, D. M., E. Nol, D. M. Burke, and K. A. Elliott. 2016. Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*) demographic response to hardwood forests managed under the selection system. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 46(4):499–507. DOI: 10.1139/cjfr-2015-0112
- Gibson, D. D. 1970. Recent observations at the base of the Alaska Peninsula. *The Condor* 72(2):242–243. DOI: 10.2307/1366646
- Gibson, D. D., and J. J. Withrow. 2015. Inventory of the species and subspecies of Alaska birds, second edition. *Western Birds* 46(2):94–185.
- Guers, S. 2013. Songbird migration monitoring at Creamer's Field Migratory Waterfowl Refuge. Federal Aid Final Performance Report, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Juneau, AK, USA.
- Handel, C. M. and Sauer, J. R. 2017. Combined analysis of roadside and off-road breeding bird survey data to assess population change in Alaska. *The Condor* 119(3):557-575. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-17-67.1
- Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. *Biological Papers of*

the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Nappi, A., P. Drapeau, M. Saint-Germain, and V. A. Angers. 2010. Effect of fire severity on long-term occupancy of burned boreal conifer forests by saproxylic insects and wood-foraging birds. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 19(4):500–511. DOI: 10.1071/WF08109

Poulin, J.-F., and M.-A. Villard. 2011. Edge effect and matrix influence on the nest survival of an old forest specialist, the Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*). *Landscape Ecology* 26(7):911–922. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-011-9615-1

Poulin, J.-F., M.-A. Villard, and S. Haché. 2010. Short-term demographic response of an old forest specialist to experimental selection harvesting. *Écoscience* 17(1):20–27. DOI: 10.2980/17-1-3297

Poulin, J., É. D'Astous, M. Villard, S. J. Hejl, K. R. Newlon, ..., and C. K. Ghalambor. 2013. Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F., ed. *The Birds of North America*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.669>

Saracco, J. F., D. R. Kaschube, and D. F. DeSante. 2007. 2006 report of the Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship (MAPS) Program in Dillingham, Nome, and Umiat, Alaska. The Institute for Bird Populations, Point Reyes, CA, USA.

Spindler, M. A., and B. Kessel. 1980. Avian populations and habitat use in interior Alaska taiga. Final report, University of Alaska Museum, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Thompson, I. D., D. A. Kirk, and C. Jastrebski. 2013. Does postharvest silviculture improve convergence of avian communities in managed and old-growth boreal forests? *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 43(11):1050–1062. DOI: 10.1139/cjfr-2013-0104

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2008. Tongass land and resource management plan. Final Environmental Impact Statement. Record of Decision R10-MB-603a, U.S. Forest Service Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Van Hemert, C., C. M. Handel, M. N. Cady, and J. Terenzi. 2006. Summer inventory of landbirds in Kenai Fjords National Park. Final report NPS/AKRSWAN/NRTR-2006/04, U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Vanderwel, M. C., J. P. Caspersen, J. R. Malcolm, M. J. Papaik, and C. Messier. 2011. Structural changes and potential vertebrate responses following simulated partial harvesting of boreal mixedwood stands. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261(8):1362–1371. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.016

Alaska Center for Conservation Science
Alaska Natural Heritage Program
University of Alaska Anchorage
Anchorage, AK