Brown Creeper

Certhia americana

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 30 November 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK: S Rank: S4 **USFWS**: BLM:

| Final Rank | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Conso unknown status and eit | ervation cat | tegory: V. logical vulner | Orange ability or high act | tion need |
| Cate | gory | Range | <u>Score</u> | |
| Statu | 1 s -2 | 20 to 20 | 0 | |
| Biole | ogical -5 | 50 to 50 | -26 | |
| Actio | on -4 | 40 to 40 | 8 | |
| Higher numerical scores denote greater concern | | | | |

| Status - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote ta known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing). | axa with Score |
|---|----------------------|
| Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10) | 0 |
| Unknown. This species is infrequently detected during surveys and data are inadequate for estimating statewide or short-term trends. Limited data suggest a stable long-term (1993-201 in southeast and southcoastal Alaska (Northern Pacific Rainforest BCR; Handel and Sauer 2 data are available for other parts of the state. | 5) trend 017). No |
| Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10) | 0 |

| Unknown. | |
|--|-------|
| Status Total: | 0 |
| Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). | Score |
| Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -10 |
| Uncertain, but >25,000. PIF (2019) estimates a population size in Alaska of 580,000 birds, with high uncertainty (95% CI: 220,000 to 1.1 million). | |
| Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -8 |
| Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -8 |

Range is not fully understood. Occurs in southwestern Alaska at least as far north as Dillingham (Gibson 1970; Saracco et al. 2007), in interior Alaska north to Fairbanks (Van Velzen 1963; Guers 2013), and from southcentral Alaska (e.g. Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula) south to southeast Alaska

Class: Aves Order: Passeriformes

| (Poulin et al. 2013). Estimated range size is ~350,000 sq. km. | |
|--|---|
| Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -10 |
| Does not concentrate. | |
| Reproductive Potential in Alaska | |
| Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5) | -5 |
| Unknown, but probably breeds in first year (Poulin et al. 2013). | |
| Number of Young (-5 to 5) | 1 |
| Unknown for Alaska, but elsewhere in North America its annual clutch size averages 5-6 eggs (Poulin et al. 2013). | |
| Ecological Specialization in Alaska | |
| <u>Dietary (-5 to 5)</u> | 1 |
| Consumes a variety of invertebrates including spiders, flies, beetles, insect larvae, ants, and lepidopterans (reviewed in Poulin et al. 2013). These prey items are principally obtained by gleaning invertebrates from rough tree bark (Poulin et al. 2013). Because this habit of feeding is specialized and restricts the type of prey available, we rank this question as B- Moderately adaptable. | |
| <u>Habitat (-5 to 5)</u> | 5 |
| In Alaska, inhabits closed-canopy, old-growth coniferous and mixedwood forests (Isleib and Kessel 1973; Spindler and Kessel 1980; Dellasala et al. 1996; Andres et al. 2004; Van Hemert et al. 2006). Brown creepers nest in natural crevices behind loose or peeling bark, usually in dead or | |
| nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). | |
| dying frees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: | -26 |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). | -26 Score |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -26 Score |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). | <u>-26</u> <u>Score</u> 2 |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -26 Score 2 2 |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) Habitat associations are well-known in southeast Alaska (Kessler and Kogut 1985; Suring 1993; Andres et al. 2004). Habitat in Prince William Sound (Isleib and Kessel 1973), on the Kenai Peninsula (Van Hemert et al. 2006), and in interior Alaska (Spindler and Kessel 1980) are consistent with habitat in southeast. Limited knowledge of distribution in central Alaska e.g. between Dillingham (Saracco et al. 2007) and Fairbanks (Gibson 1970; Guers 2013), or between Fairbanks/Tetlin Junction (Spindler and Kessel 1980) and Cook Inlet. | -26 Score 2 2 |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) Habitat associations are well-known in southeast Alaska (Kessler and Kogut 1985; Suring 1993; Andres et al. 2004). Habitat in Prince William Sound (Isleib and Kessel 1973), on the Kenai Peninsula (Van Hemert et al. 2006), and in interior Alaska (Spindler and Kessel 1980) are consistent with habitat in southeast. Limited knowledge of distribution in central Alaska e.g. between Dillingham (Saracco et al. 2007) and Fairbanks (Gibson 1970; Guers 2013), or between Fairbanks/Tetlin Junction (Spindler and Kessel 1980) and Cook Inlet. Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -26 Score 2 2 |
| dying trees (Andres et al. 2004; Poulin et al. 2013). The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Biological Total: Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) Habitat associations are well-known in southeast Alaska (Kessler and Kogut 1985; Suring 1993; Andres et al. 2004). Habitat in Prince William Sound (Isleib and Kessel 1973), on the Kenai Peninsula (Van Hemert et al. 2006), and in interior Alaska (Spindler and Kessel 1980) are consistent with habitat in southeast. Limited knowledge of distribution in central Alaska e.g. between Dillingham (Saracco et al. 2007) and Fairbanks (Gibson 1970; Guers 2013), or between Fairbanks/Tetlin Junction (Spindler and Kessel 1980) and Cook Inlet. Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) Monitored locally through multi-year bird surveys in several locations such as Kodiak Island (Corcoran et al. 2014), Middleton Island (DeCicco et al. 2015), and Fairbanks (Guers 2013), and through the Breeding Bird Survey (Handel and Sauer 2017). However, detections for this species are low and data are inadequate for estimating statewide and short-term trends. | -26 <u>Score</u> 2 2 2 2 |

The availability of suitable foraging and nesting habitat is thought to be a limiting factor for

populations in Alaska (USFS 2008). Research in Alaska and elsewhere in its range suggests that this species is sensitive to habitat disturbance. Specifically, studies have found lower abundances (Nappi et al. 2010; Vanderwel et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2013) and lower nest densities (Poulin et al. 2010; D'Astous and Villard 2012; Geleynse et al. 2016) in harvested or heavily burned forests stands. It is unclear whether these lower densities are the result of limited food (Poulin et al. 2010; D'Astous and Villard 2012) or limited nest sites (Geleynse et al. 2016). In addition, lower reproductive success has been documented for nests near forest edges and for nests in small forest patches, perhaps because of increased predation (Poulin and Villard 2011). Additional research is needed on the ecology and demographic rates of populations in Alaska, for which few data are available.

Action Total: 8

| Supplemental Information | - variables do not receive numerical scores. | Instead, they are used | d to sort taxa to answer specif | ïc |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|----|
| | biological or management questions. | | | |

| Harvest: | None or Prohibited |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Seasonal Occurrence: | Year-round |
| Taxonomic Significance: | Monotypic species |
| % Global Range in Alaska: | <10% |
| % Global Population in Alaska: | <25% |
| Peripheral: | No |
| | |

References

Andres, B. A., M. J. Stotts, and J. M. Stotts. 2004. Breeding birds of Research Natural Areas in southeastern Alaska. Northwestern Naturalist 85(3):95–103. DOI: 10.1898/1051-1733(2005)085[0095:BBORNA]2.0.CO;2

Corcoran, R., C. Trussell, and R. MacIntosh. 2014. Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship on Kodiak Island, Alaska, 2010-2014. Refuge report 2014.7, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kodiak, AK, USA.

D'Astous, É., and M.-A. Villard. 2012. Effects of selection harvesting on bark invertebrates and nest provisioning rate in an old forest specialist, the brown creeper (Certhia americana). Écoscience 19(2):106–112. DOI: 10.2980/19-2-3472

DeCicco, L., N. Hajdukovich, J. Johnson, S. Matsuoka, D. Tessler, and C. Wright. 2015a. Annual update: Gulf of Alaska autumn passerine migration 2014. Pages 1-3 in G. Baluss, ed. 2014 Summary of landbird projects for Boreal Partners in Flight. Available online: <u>https://alaska.usgs.gov/science/biology/bpif/meetings/index.php</u>

Dellasala, D. A., J. C. Hagar, K. A. Engel, W. C. McComb, R. L. Fairbanks, and E. G. Campbell. 1996. Effects of silvicultural modifications of temperate rainforest on breeding and wintering bird communities, Prince of Wales Island, Southeast Alaska. The Condor 98(4):706–721. DOI: 10.2307/1369853

Geleynse, D. M., E. Nol, D. M. Burke, and K. A. Elliott. 2016. Brown Creeper (Certhia americana) demographic response to hardwood forests managed under the selection system. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 46(4):499–507. DOI: 10.1139/cjfr-2015-0112

Gibson, D. D. 1970. Recent observations at the base of the Alaska Peninsula. The Condor 72(2):242–243. DOI: 10.2307/1366646

Guers, S. 2013. Songbird migration monitoring at Creamer's Field Migratory Waterfowl Refuge. Federal Aid Final Performance Report, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Juneau, AK, USA.

Handel, C. M. and Sauer, J. R. 2017. Combined analysis of roadside and off-road breeding bird survey data to assess population change in Alaska. The Condor 119(3):557-575. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-17-67.1

Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. Biological Papers of the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Kessler, W. B., and T. E. Kogut. 1985. Habitat orientations of forest birds in southeastern Alaska. Northwest Science

59(1):58-65.

MacIntosh, R., ed. 2009. Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge and the Kodiak Archipelago birds. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, Kodiak, AK, USA. Available online: https://www.fws.gov/uploadedFiles/Region 7/NWRS/Zone 2/Kodiak/PDF/knwr bird broc 2009.pdf

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Nappi, A., P. Drapeau, M. Saint-Germain, and V. A. Angers. 2010. Effect of fire severity on long-term occupancy of burned boreal conifer forests by saproxylic insects and wood-foraging birds. International Journal of Wildland Fire 19(4):500–511. DOI: 10.1071/WF08109

Partners in Flight (PIF). 2019. Population Estimates Database, version 3.0. Available online: <u>http://pif.birdconservancy.org/PopEstimates</u>. Accessed 09-April-2019.

Poulin, J.-F., and M.-A. Villard. 2011. Edge effect and matrix influence on the nest survival of an old forest specialist, the Brown Creeper (Certhia americana). Landscape Ecology 26(7):911–922. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-011-9615-1

Poulin, J.-F., M.-A. Villard, and S. Haché. 2010. Short-term demographic response of an old forest specialist to experimental selection harvesting. Écoscience 17(1):20–27. DOI: 10.2980/17-1-3297

Poulin, J., É. D'Astous, M. Villard, S. J. Hejl, K. R. Newlon, ..., and C. K. Ghalambor. 2013. Brown Creeper (Certhia americana), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F., ed. The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. <u>Https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.669</u>

Saracco, J. F., D. R. Kaschube, and D. F. DeSante. 2007. 2006 report of the Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship (MAPS) Program in Dillingham, Nome, and Umiat, Alaska. The Institute for Bird Populations, Point Reyes, CA, USA.

Spindler, M. A., and B. Kessel. 1980. Avian populations and habitat use in interior Alaska taiga. Final report, University of Alaska Museum, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Suring, L. H. 1993. Habitat capability model for brown creepers in Southeast Alaska: winter habitat. In Suring. L. H., ed. U.S. Forest Service, Chugach National Forest, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Thompson, I. D., D. A. Kirk, and C. Jastrebski. 2013. Does postharvest silviculture improve convergence of avian communities in managed and old-growth boreal forests? Canadian Journal of Forest Research 43(11):1050–1062. DOI: 10.1139/cjfr-2013-0104

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2008. Tongass land and resource management plan. Final Environmental Impact Statement. Record of Decision R10-MB-603a, U.S. Forest Service Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Van Hemert, C., C. M. Handel, M. N. Cady, and J. Terenzi. 2006. Summer inventory of landbirds in Kenai Fjords National Park. Final report NPS/AKRSWAN/NRTR-2006/04, U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Van Velzen, W. T. 1963. Records of the brown creeper for Interior Alaska. The Auk 80(3):371. DOI: 10.2307/4082899

Vanderwel, M. C., J. P. Caspersen, J. R. Malcolm, M. J. Papaik, and C. Messier. 2011. Structural changes and potential vertebrate responses following simulated partial harvesting of boreal mixedwood stands. Forest Ecology and Management 261(8):1362–1371. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.016

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK