Rock Sandpiper, Bering Sea

Calidris ptilocnemis tschuktschorum

Note: This assessment refers to this subspecies only.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed **Version Date:** 03 December 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK:

Class: Aves

Order: Charadriiformes

S Rank: S4B, S3N USFWS: BLM: Sensitive

Final Rank						
	Conservation and either high		V. Orange nerability or high action need			
	Category	Range	Score			
	Status	-20 to 20	0			
	Biological	-50 to 50	-24			
	Action	-40 to 40	12			
High	er numerical s	scores denote	greater concern			

- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with

known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown (ASG 2019).	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
Status Total:	0
Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-10
Estimated population size is 50,000 (Morrison et al. 2006).	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-8
Breeds on Nunivak and St. Lawrence Islands and along the coasts of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and the Seward Peninsula (Kessel 1989; Johnson et al. 2009; Gibson and Withrow 2015). Winter range is most restricted: in Alaska, overwinters from Prince William Sound (Isleib and Kessel 1973) to southeast Alaska (Howe et al. 2000). Estimated size of wintering range is ~102,000 sq. km.	
Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Can concentrate in large numbers when staging and molting (Isleib and Kessel 1973; Gill et al.	

2002b). Given population size, we assume that number of sites >25.

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

-3

Limited data suggest that approximately ~25% of the population first breeds at <2 years (Gill et al. 2002b). We assume that most females first breed when they are between 2 and 3 years old and therefore rank this question as C.

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

1

Produces a single clutch unless the first one fails. On the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), mean clutch size for first nests was 3.9 ± 0.4 eggs (Johnson et al. 2009).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

1

Limited data are available. During non-breeding season, diet is marine-based and consists of roe, crustaceans, insect larvae, and mollusks (Gill et al. 2002b). The bivalve Macoma balthica appears to be a particularly important food source in the winter for this and other Rock Sandpiper subspecies (Gill et al. 2002b; Ruthrauff et al. 2013b). During the breeding season, they consume terrestrial invertebrates, especially spiders and beetles (Gill et al. 2002b).

Habitat (-5 to 5)

1

During non-breeding, forages primarily in rocky intertidal zones (Gill et al. 2002b; Ruthrauff et al. 2013a) and has been observed roosting on piers and other anthropogenic structures (Gill et al. 2002b). During breeding, inhabits both low-lying and alpine tundra meadows dominated by dwarf shrub or dwarf shrub-graminoid vegetation (Kessel 1989; Gill et al. 2002b; Johnson and McCaffery 2004; Johnson et al. 2009). Usuallys nests close to the coast, though nests have also been found further inland as well as near human settlements (Kessel 1989; Gill et al. 2002b).

-24 Biological Total:

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Closed to recreational and subsistence harvesting (ADFG 2018e; AMBCC 2018).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

Breeding range and habitat associations are well understood (Gill and Handel 1990; Gill et al. 2002b; Johnson and McCaffery 2004; Johnson et al. 2009). Staging areas and wintering range in Alaska are not well-known.

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

There is currently no monitoring program in place in Alaska that can provide data on population trends. Recent efforts such as PRISM surveys are promising (Bart and Johnston 2012), but this program is still in its infancy and multi-year data are not available. PRISM surveys within the range of the Bering Sea Rock Sandpiper were conducted in 2002 and provided an initial estimate of population size (McCaffery et al. 2012). However, plots would have to be revisited in order to obtain population trend estimates.

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

Few studies have been conducted on the Bering Sea Rock Sandpiper. Johnson et al. (2009)

monitored nesting ecology on the Yukon Delta NWR. Annual adult survival was high, but nest success was low especially when compared to populations nesting in Chukotka, Russia. Predation was the main cause of nest failures on the Yukon Delta (Johnson et al. 2009). It is unknown whether this factor is also limiting elsewhere in its Alaskan breeding range. Other recent research includes studies by Ruthrauff et al. (2013a; 2013c; 2015) on the energetics and foraging ecology of Rock Sandpipers, and a study on environmental contaminants by Perkins et al. (2016), which revealed that levels of blood mercury concentrations in Rock Sandpipers in Yukon Delta NWR were the lowest of the 10 shorebird species that were surveyed. These studies did not identify any potentially limiting factors on populations of C. ptilocnemis tschuktschorum.

Action Total: 12

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: None or Prohibited

Seasonal Occurrence:Year-roundTaxonomic Significance:Subspecies% Global Range in Alaska:>10%% Global Population in Alaska:≥75%Peripheral:No

References

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020c. 2020-2021 Migratory game bird hunting regulations summary. Anchorage, AK, USA.

Alaska Shorebird Group (ASG). 2019. Alaska Shorebird Conservation Plan, Version III. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/plans.htm

Bart, J., and V. Johnston, eds. 2012. Arctic shorebirds in North America: A decade of monitoring. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, USA.

Gibson, D. D., and J. J. Withrow. 2015. Inventory of the species and subspecies of Alaska birds, second edition. Western Birds 46(2):94–185.

Gill, R. E., Jr., and C. M. Handel. 1990. The importance of subarctic intertidal habitats to shorebirds: A study of the central Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. The Condor 92(3):709-725.

Gill, R. E., P. S. Tomkovich, and B. J. McCaffery. 2002b. Rock Sandpiper (Calidris ptilocnemis). In Poole, A. F., and F. B. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.686

Howe, M., J. Bart, S. Brown, C. Elphick, R. Gill, B. Harrington, ..., N. Warnock, eds. 2000. A comprehensive monitoring program for North American shorebirds. Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences, Manomet, MA, USA.

Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. Biological Papers of the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Johnson, M., and B. J. McCaffery. 2004. Use of upland tundra habitats by western and rock sandpipers during brood-rearing on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. Wader Study Group Bulletin 103:36–39.

Johnson, M., J. R. Conklin, B. L. Johnson, B. J. McCaffery, S. M. Haig, and J. R. Walters. 2009. Behavior and reproductive success of rock sandpipers breeding on the Yukon-Kuskokwim River Delta, Alaska. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 121(2):328–337. DOI: 10.1676/08-010.1

Kessel, B. 1989. Birds of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: Their biogeography, seasonality, and natural history. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

McCaffery, B. J., J. Bart, C. Wightman, and D. J. Krueper. 2012. Shorebird surveys in western Alaska. Pages 19-36 in J. Bart and V. Johnston, eds. Arctic shorebirds in North America: A decade of monitoring. Studies in Avian Biology No. 44, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, USA.

Morrison, R. I. G., B. J. McCaffery, R. E. Gill, S. K. Skagen, S. L. Jones, G. W. Page, C. L. Gratto-Trevor, and B. A. Andres. 2006. Population estimates of North American shorebirds, 2006. Wader Study Group Bulletin 111:27–85.

Perkins, M., L. Ferguson, R. B. Lanctot, I. J. Stenhouse, S. Kendall, S. Brown, H. R. Gates, J. O. Hall, K. Regan, and D. C. Evers. 2016. Mercury exposure and risk in breeding and staging Alaskan shorebirds. The Condor 118(3):571–582. DOI: 10.1650/CONDOR-16-36.1

Ruthrauff, D. R., A. Dekinga, R. E. Gill, and T. Piersma. 2013a. Identical metabolic rate and thermal conductance in Rock Sandpiper (Calidris ptilocnemis) subspecies with contrasting nonbreeding life histories. The Auk 130(1):60–68. DOI: 10.1525/auk.2012.12081

Ruthrauff, D., R. E. Gill, and T. L. Tibbitts. 2013b. Coping with the cold: An ecological context for the abundance and distribution of rock sandpipers during winter in upper Cook Inlet, Alaska. Arctic 66(3):269-278. DOI: 10.14430/arctic4306

Ruthrauff, D. R., A. Dekinga, R. E. Gill, and T. Piersma. 2013c. Ecological correlates of variable organ sizes and body fat loads in the most northerly-wintering shorebirds. Canadian Journal of Zoology 91(10):698-705. DOI: 10.1139/cjz-2013-0070

Ruthrauff, D. R., A. Dekinga, R. E. Gill, J. A. van Gils, and T. Piersma. 2015. Ways to be different: Foraging adaptations that facilitate higher intake rates in a northerly wintering shorebird compared with a low-latitude conspecific. Journal of Experimental Biology 218(8):1188–1197. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.108894

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK