# **Red Knot**

Calidris canutus roselaari

Note: Only one subspecies, C. c. roselaari, occurs in Alaska.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed Version Date: 19 February 2019

# **Conservation Status**

NatureServe:Agency:G Rank:G4ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation NeedIUCN: Near ThreatenedS Rank: S2S3BUSFWS: Bird of Conservation ConcernBLM: Sensitive

Final Rank				
Conservation category: <b>I. Red</b> high status, biological vulnerability, and action need				
Category	Range	Score		
Status	-20 to 20	6		
Biological	-50 to 50	2		
Action	-40 to 40	12		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern				

<b>Status</b> - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher statu known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	is scores denote taxa with Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	6
Suspected to be decreasing in Alaska (Andres et al. 2012a; ASG 2019).	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	0
Unknown.	
	Status Total: 6

<b>Biological</b> - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).	Score
Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-2
Uncertain, but estimated to be between 3,000 and 10,000 birds (J. Johnson, USFWS, pers. comm.). The global breeding population is estimated at 21,770 (Lyons et al. 2016).	
Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-2
Disjunct distribution. The primary breeding range in Alaska includes the western Brooks Range and the Lisburne Hills, insular mountain ranges in Cape Krusenstern National Monument and Noatak National Preserve (Kessel and Gibson 1978; Baker et al. 2013; Johnson et al. 2011), and the Seward Peninsula (Baker et al. 2013; Tomkovich et al. 2017). The red knot does not overwinter in Alaska.	

Breeding range is between 10,000 sq. km. and 100,000 sq. km., calculated in GIS and based on range

Class: Aves Order: Charadriiformes

1

#### map from ACCS (2017a).

#### Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Concentrates in flocks of several thousands during spring migration (see Carmona et al. 2013). Large numbers have been reported near Yakutat (Andres and Browne 1998), the Copper River Delta (Kessel and Gibson 1978; Cooper and Mickelson 2013), Controller Bay (Bishop et al. 2016), and the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (Gill and Handel 1990; Carmona et al. 2013). Estimated number of sites is less than 25.

#### Reproductive Potential in Alaska

#### Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

Unknown for Alaska and data are limited elsewhere in its range. Age at first breeding is suspected to be at least 2 years old (Baker et al. 2013).

#### Number of Young (-5 to 5)

Lays a single, 4-egg clutch per year (Baker et al. 2013). Can lay a replacement clutch of 3 eggs if the first one fails (Johnson et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2013). On the Seward Peninsula, the average number of live young fledged per female is two (J. Johnson, USFWS, pers. comm.). Data for other areas in Alaska are unavailable.

## Ecological Specialization in Alaska

### Dietary (-5 to 5)

No information available for Alaska. On Ellesmere Island in Canada, Red Knots fed on plants (sedges, horsetails) early in the summer (Nettleship 1974; Baker et al. 2013). Later, they switched to an omnivorous diet consisting of invertebrates (especially adult chironomid flies) and plant material, which continued to be an important part of their diet (Nettleship 1974). During nonbreeding, red knots feed predominantly on bivalves and their distribution is influenced by sites that support high densities of bivalves (Alerstam et al. 1992; Piersma et al. 1993).

### Habitat (-5 to 5)

During breeding season, they are associated with alpine and sparsely vegetated dwarf-shrub tundra habitats (Kessel 1989; Johnson et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2013; Tomkovich et al. 2017), often at elevations >100m in sloping terrain such as terraces, ridges, and domes (Johnson et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2013). Little is known about habitat associations on the North Slope. During migration, they are associated with inter-tidal habitats such as deltas, beaches, and mudflats (Isleib and Kessel 1973; Gill and Handel 1990; Baker et al. 2013). Given its restricted, coastal distribution and nesting requirements, we rank this species as A- Not Adaptable.

Biological Total: 2

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

#### Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Closed to recreational and subsistence harvest (ADFG 2018e; AMBCC 2018).

## Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Recent tracking studies have increased our understanding of distribution during breeding and migration (Johnson et al. 2011; Carmona et al. 2013; Bishop et al. 2016; CEC 2017). These data are supplemented by spring count surveys (e.g. Isleib and Kessel 1973; Gill and Handel 1990; Andres and Browne 1998; Buchanan et al. 2018).

#### 2

-3

1

1

5

2

Score

-10

#### Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Not currently monitored in Alaska. Limited trend data are available from overwintering and migration sites at Grays Harbor, WA and at Guerrero Negro in Mexico (Morrison et al. 2006).

### Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Very little is known about the ecology of the red knot in Alaska. Ongoing research is being conducted in western Alaska (Johnson 2018), but results are not yet available. Predation by skuas and foxes are likely one of the main causes of nest failures (Nettleship 1974; Johnson et al. 2011). On Ellesmere Island, Canada, food availability may influence timing of breeding and departure from breeding grounds, as well as the distribution of nest sites (Nettleship 1974). The role of avian influenza viruses (AIV) on population dynamics is not well-known. Rates of antibodies in Alaskan red knots are among the highest of any bird species, which may suggest some degree of immunity to AIV, but additional research is needed to understand spatial patterns and causes of infection (Johnson et al. 2014).

Across its circumpolar range, climate change is thought to have negative impacts on the availability of suitable habitat, body size, and foraging behavior (van Gils et al. 2016; Wauchope et al. 2017). Distribution models throughout the red knot's Arctic breeding range, which includes several subspecies, suggest significant declines in suitable habitat by the end of this century (Wauchope et al. 2017). van Gils et al. (2016) also documented an important reduction in body size and bill length in juveniles of the nominate subspecies C. c. canutus, which breeds in northwestern Russia. The authors found a relationship between shrinking body size and earlier snow melt, which advances the timing of peak invertebrate abundance and leads to more small-bodied invertebrates (van Gils et al. 2016). Shorter bill lengths may have implications on wintering grounds by making it harder for Red Knots to forage on high-quality bivalves (van Gils et al. 2016). In roselaari, it is unknown whether observed inter-annual variations in the timing of migration is within the normal range or is related to changes in the timing of peaks in food resources (Buchanan et al. 2018).

Action Total: 12

**Supplemental Information** - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	None or Prohibited
Seasonal Occurrence:	Breeding
Taxonomic Significance:	Monotypic species
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	25-74%
Peripheral:	No

#### References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020c. 2020-2021 Migratory game bird hunting regulations summary. Anchorage, AK, USA.

Alerstam, T., G. A. Gudmundsson, and K. Johannesson. 1992. Resources for long distance migration: Intertidal exploitation of Littorina and Mytilus by knots Calidris canutus in Iceland. Oikos 65(2):179-189. DOI: 10.2307/3545008

Andres, B. A., and B. T. Browne. 1998. Spring migration of shorebirds on the Yakutat Forelands, Alaska. The Wilson Bulletin 110(3):326–331.

#### 10

10

Andres, B. A., P. A. Smith, R. G. Morrison, C. L. Gratto-Trevor, S. C. Brown, and C. A. Friis. 2012a. Population estimates of North American shorebirds, 2012. Wader Study Group Bulletin 119(3):178-194.

Alaska Shorebird Group (ASG). 2019. Alaska Shorebird Conservation Plan, Version III. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: <u>https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/plans.htm</u>

Baker, A., P. Gonzalez, R. I. G. Morrison, and B. A. Harrington. 2013. Red Knot (Calidris canutus), version 2.0. In Poole, A. F., ed. The Birds of North America, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.563

Bishop, M. A., J. B. Buchanan, B. J. McCaffery, and J. A. Johnson. 2016. Spring stopover sites used by the red knot Calidris canutus roselaari in Alaska, USA: connectivity between the Copper River Delta and the Yukon-Kuskokwim River Delta. Wader Study 123(2):1–10. DOI: 10.18194/ws.00035

Buchanan, J. B., L. J. Salzer, and V. Loverti. 2018. Between-year variation in the timing of peak passage of spring migrant red knots at Grays Harbor, Washington, USA. Wader Study 124(3):238–240. DOI: 10.18194/ws.00083

Carmona, R., N. Arce, V. Ayala-Perez, A. Hernández-Alvarez, J. B. Buchanan, L. J. Salzer, ..., and D. Newstead. 2013. Red Knot Calidris canutus roselaari migration connectivity, abundance and non-breeding distribution along the Pacific coast of the Americas. Wader Study Group Bulletin 120(3):168–180.

CEC. 2017. Identification of important semipalmated sandpiper and red knot sites along the North American Atlantic and Pacific Flyways. Commission for Environmental Cooperation, Montréal, QC, CAN. Available online: http://www3.cec.org/islandora/en/item/11731-identification-important-semipalmated-sandpiper-and-red-knot-sites-along-north-en.pdf

Gill, R. E., Jr., and C. M. Handel. 1990. The importance of subarctic intertidal habitats to shorebirds: A study of the central Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. The Condor 92(3):709-725.

Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. Biological Papers of the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Johnson, J. A. 2018. Breeding ecology of red knot (Calidris canutus roselarri). Pages 48-49 in Kennedy, L. Annual summary compilation: new or ongoing studies of Alaska shorebirds, December 2018. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Johnson, J., L. DeCicco, N. Hajdukovich, J. Conklin, R. Lanctot, and B. Andres. 2011. Surveys, breeding ecology, and migratory connectivity of Alaskan red knots (Calidris canutus roselaari), 2011. Pages 35-37 in Gates, R., ed. Ongoing or new studies of Alaska shorebirds annual summary compilation. Alaska Shorebird Group, Anchorage, AK, USA. Available online: https://www.fws.gov/alaska/mbsp/mbm/shorebirds/working\_group.htm

Johnson, J. A., L. H. DeCicco, D. R. Ruthrauff, S. Krauss, and J. S. Hall. 2014a. Avian influenza virus antibodies in Pacific Coast red knots (Calidris canutus roselaari). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 50(3):671–675. DOI: 10.7589/2013-04-016

Kessel, B. 1989. Birds of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: Their biogeography, seasonality, and natural history. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Kessel, B., and D. D. Gibson. 1978. Status and distribution of Alaska birds. Studies in Avian Biology No. 1. Allen Press, Lawrence, KS, USA.

Lyons, J. E., W. L. Kendall, J. A. Royle, S. J. Converse, B. A. Andres, and J. B. Buchanan. 2016. Population size and stopover duration estimation using mark-resight data and Bayesian analysis of a superpopulation model: Mark-resight data and superpopulation model. Biometrics 72(1):262–271. DOI: 10.1111/biom.12393

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Morrison, R. I. G., B. J. McCaffery, R. E. Gill, S. K. Skagen, S. L. Jones, G. W. Page, C. L. Gratto-Trevor, and B. A. Andres. 2006. Population estimates of North American shorebirds, 2006. Wader Study Group Bulletin 111:27–85.

Nettleship, D. N. 1974. The breeding of the Knot Calidris canutus at Hazen Camp, Ellesmere Island, N. W. T. Polarforschung 44(1):8–26. DOI: 10013/epic.29394

Piersma, T., R. Hoekstra, A. Dekinga, A. Koolhaas, P. Wolf, P. Battley, and P. Wiersma. 1993. Scale and intensity of intertidal habitat use by knots Calidris canutus in the western Wadden Sea in relation to food, friends and foes. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 31(4):331–357. DOI: 10.1016/0077-7579(93)90052-T

Tomkovich, P. S., J. A. Johnson, E. Y. Loktionov, and L. H. DeCicco. 2017. Brood attendance by female red knots. Wader Study 125(1):1–6. DOI: 10.18194/ws.00091

van Gils, J. A., S. Lisovski, T. Lok, W. Meissner, A. Ozarowska, J. de Fouw, ..., and M. Klaassen. 2016. Body shrinkage due to Arctic warming reduces red knot fitness in tropical wintering range. Science 352(6287):819–821. DOI: 10.1126/science.aad6351

Wauchope, H. S., J. D. Shaw, O. Varpe, E. G. Lappo, D. Boertmann, R. B. Lanctot, and R. A. Fuller. 2017. Rapid climatedriven loss of breeding habitat for Arctic migratory birds. Global Change Biology 23(3):1085–1094. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13404

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK