Short-eared Owl

Asio flammeus

Review Status: Peer-reviewed

Version Date: 15 December 2017

Class: Aves Order: Strigiformes

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G5

S Rank: S4B

ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Least Concern Audubon AK:Watch **USFWS**: BLM: Watch

| Final Rank | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|------|
| Conservation high status and either high bi | 0. | | need |
| Category | Range | Score | |
| Status | -20 to 20 | 1 | |
| Biological | -50 to 50 | -34 | |
| Action | -40 to 40 | 4 | |
| Higher numerical scores denote greater concern | | | |

| - variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing). | Score |
|---|-------|
| Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10) | 6 |
| Reliable population trends are not available for Alaska. However, short-eared owls have been declining in North America across their range since the mid-1960s (Booms et al. 2014). We therefore rank this question as B- Suspected declines. | |
| Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -5 |
| Suspected stable (Booms et al. 2014). | U |
| Status Total: | 1 |
| | |

| Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). | Score |
|---|-------|
| Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -10 |
| PIF (2019) estimates that there are 130,000 individuals in Alaska (95% CI: 54,000 - 250,000). | |
| Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -10 |
| Widely distributed during breeding season, though less common in southeast and southcoastal (Pitelka et al. 1955a; Campbell 1969; ARCTOS 2016; Savage et al. 2018). Documented on oceanic islands including the Aleutian Islands and St. Lawrence Island (Winker et al. 2002; Gibson and Byrd | |

2007). Although a few individuals may overwinter in southern Alaska, this species is not considered a winter resident in Alaska (Wiggins et al. 2006; Armstrong 2008). Estimated range size during

2

| breeding is ~950,000 sq. | km calculated in GIS | and based on range man | from ACCS (2017a) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 01000 mg 15 950,000 sq. | Kin, culculated in Old | and bused on range map | 110111110000 (2017u). |

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Individuals may congregate in large flocks during the non-breeding season; during the breeding season, they may nest close together in areas of high prey densities (Wiggins et al. 2006). However, they do not concentrate at specific sites.

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

One year or less (Wiggins et al. 2006).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

In Utqiagʻvik (Barrow), Pitelka et al. (1955b) reported a mean clutch size of 6.3 eggs (range: 4 to 8, n = 22). Likely only one brood per season at high latitudes (Pitelka et al. 1955b). Clutch sizes are likely smaller in years when prey densities are low (Kessel 1989), but additional data are needed.

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

Specializes on rodents, especially microtine voles (Wiggins et al. 2006). In Wrangell, microtines accounted for 93% of prey items, and Microtus pennsylvanicus accounted for 71% (Hughes 1982). In Utqiaġvik, Pitelka et al. (1955b) observed short-eared owls preying exclusively on brown lemmings. Has been documented consuming small birds and insects (Wiggins et al. 2006).

Habitat (-5 to 5)

. . .

During the breeding season, inhabits broad expanses of open land with low vegetation. Habitat types include grasslands, low shrub, and drier sections of marshes (Pitelka et al. 1955b; Wiggins et al. 2006). Nests are often on ridges or mounds if these features are available (Pitelka et al. 1955b; Wiggins et al. 2006). Short-eared owls likely distribute themselves opportunistically based on prey densities (Kessel 1989; Johnson et al. 2017).

Biological Total: -34

| Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). | Score |
|--|-------|
| Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10) | -10 |
| Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Recreational and subsistence harvest is not permitted (AMBCC 2020). | |
| Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10) | 2 |
| Broad distribution is known from multi-species inventories and surveys (e.g. Isleib and Kessel 1973; Kessel 1989; Ruthrauff and Tibbits 2009; Liebezeit et al. 2011; Savage et al 2018) and more specific raptor surveys conducted on the Kenai Peninsula, southeast Alaska, and interior Alaska (McIntyre and Ambrose 1999; Kissling and Lewis 2009). Recent studies have investigated migratory movements of individuals breeding on the Seward Peninsula and in interior Alaska (Johnson et al. 2017). Little is known about habitat associations, but see Pitelka et al. (1955b) for northern Alaska. | |
| Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10) | 10 |
| Not currently monitored. Studying this species is difficult due to large annual variation in numbers, low site fidelity, and nocturnal habits (Alaska Raptor Management Program 2001; Booms et al. 2014). | |

<u>...</u>

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

-5

1

5

-10

-5

Population numbers fluctuate rapidly in response to prey densities (Pitelka et al. 1955b; Korpimäki and Norrdahl 1991; Johnson et al. 2017). Reproductive rates and territory sizes are also likely to be influenced by prey densities (Wiggins et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2017). Limited data on survival rates suggest high survival in summer, followed by increased mortality during the fall migration (Johnson et al. 2017). Predation might be an important cause of mortality for migratory birds (Johnson et al. 2017) and for juveniles (Pitelka et al. 1955b; Wiggins et al. 2006), but additional studies are needed. Habitat loss is believed to be a major factor contributing to this species' decline in North America, but this factor is likely less important in Alaska, where most of its habitat remains intact (Booms et al. 2014).

Action Total: 4

| biological of management questions. | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Harvest: | None or Prohibited |
| Seasonal Occurrence: | Breeding |
| Taxonomic Significance: | Monotypic species |
| % Global Range in Alaska: | <10% |
| % Global Population in Alaska: | <25% |
| Peripheral: | No |
| | |

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Alaska Raptor Management Program. 2001. Management plan for Alaska raptors: A plan covering all species of diurnal and nocturnal raptors that occur in Alaska. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau, AK, USA.

Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council (AMBCC). 2020. Regulations for the 2020 Alaska Subsistence Spring/Summer Migratory Bird Harvest. Office of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, USA.

ARCTOS. 2016. ARCTOS database: Fish, amphibian, mammal, bird and reptile collections. University of Alaska Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK, USA. Available online: <u>http://arctos.database.museum/</u>

Armstrong, R. H. 2008. Guide to the birds of Alaska, 5th edition. Alaska Northwest Books, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Booms, T. L., G. L. Holroyd, M. A. Gahbauer, H. E. Trefry, D. A. Wiggins, D. W. Holt, J. A. Johnson, S. B. Lewis, M. D. Larson, K. L. Keyes, and S. Swengel. 2014. Assessing the status and conservation priorities of the short-eared owl in North America. The Journal of Wildlife Management 78(5):772–778. DOI: 10.1002/jwmg.719

Campbell, J. M. 1969. The owls of the central Brooks Range, Alaska. The Auk 86(3):565–568. DOI: 10.2307/4083428

Gibson, D. D., and G. V. Byrd. 2007. Birds of the Aleutian Islands, Alaska. Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Hughes, J. H. 1982. Prey of short-eared owl in southeastern Alaska. The Murrelet 63(1):22-24. DOI: 10.2307/3535475

Isleib, M. E., and B. Kessel. 1973. Birds of the north Gulf Coast- Prince William Sound region, Alaska. Biological Papers of the University of Alaska no. 14. University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Johnson, J. A., T. L. Booms, L. H. DeCicco, and D. C. Douglas. 2017. Seasonal movements of the short-eared owl (Asio flammeus) in western North America as revealed by satellite telemetry. Journal of Raptor Research 51(2):115–128. DOI: 10.3356/JRR-15-81.1

Kessel, B. 1989. Birds of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: Their biogeography, seasonality, and natural history. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Kissling, M. L., and S. B. Lewis. 2009. Distribution, abundance, and ecology of forest owls in Southeast Alaska. Final report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau Field Office, AK, and Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Douglas, AK, USA.

Korpimäki, E., and K. Norrdahl. 1991. Numerical and functional responses of kestrels, short-eared owls, and long-eared owls to vole densities. Ecology 72(3):814–826. DOI: 10.2307/1940584

Liebezeit, J. R., G. C. White, and S. Zack. 2011. Breeding ecology of birds at Teshekpuk Lake: A key habitat site on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. Arctic 64(1):32–44. DOI: 10.14430/arctic4078

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

McIntyre, C. L., and R. E. Ambrose. 1999. Raptor migration in autumn through the upper Tanana River Valley, Alaska. Western Birds 30:33-38.

Partners in Flight (PIF). 2019. Population Estimates Database, version 3.0. Available online: <u>http://pif.birdconservancy.org/PopEstimates</u>. Accessed 09-April-2019.

Pitelka, F. A., P. Q. Tomich, and G. W. Treichel. 1955a. Breeding behavior of jaegers and owls near Barrow, Alaska. The Condor 57(1):3–18. DOI: 10.2307/1364693

Pitelka, F. A., P. Q. Tomich, and G. W. Treichel. 1955b. Ecological relations of jaegers and owls as lemming predators near Barrow, Alaska. Ecological Monographs 25(1):85–117. DOI: 10.2307/1943215

Ruthrauff, D. T., and T. L. Tibbitts. 2009. Inventory of breeding birds in Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve. Natural Resource Technical Report NPS/SWAN/NRTR-2009/186, U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Savage, S., T. L. Tibbitts, K. Sesser, and R. S. A. Kaler. 2018. Inventory of lowland-breeding birds on the Alaska Peninsula. Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management 9(2): 637-658. DOI: 10.3996/082017-JFWM-070

Wiggins, D. A., D. W. Holt, and S. M. Leasure. 2006. Short-eared Owl (Asio flammeus), version 1.0. In Billerman, S. M., ed. Birds of the World. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bow.sheowl.01

Winker, K., D. D. Gibson, A. L. Sowls, B. E. Lawhead, P. D. Martin, E. P. Hoberg, and D. Causey. 2002. The birds of St. Matthew Island, Bering Sea. The Wilson Bulletin 114(4):491–509. DOI: 10.1676/0043-5643(2002)114[0491:TBOSMI]2.0.CO;2

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK