Emperor Goose Class: Aves

Anser canagicus

Note: Previously referred to as Chen canagica.

Review Status: Peer-reviewed **Version Date:** 27 February 2018

Conservation Status

NatureServe: Agency:

G Rank: G3G4 ADF&G: Species of Greatest Conservation Need IUCN: Near Threatened Audubon AK: Yellow

S Rank: S3S4 USFWS: BLM: Watch

Final Rank					
Conservation category: VII. Yellow low status and either high biological vulnerability or high action need					
	Category	Range	Score		
	Status	-20 to 20	-3		
	Biological	-50 to 50	2		
	Action	-40 to 40	-28		
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern					

Status	- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)		
	ation declined sharply in the 1970s and 1980s, but the population is now increasing (PFC a; Fischer et al. 2018). Counts from 2014 to 2016 were the highest recorded since 1983 (PFC a).	
Distrib	oution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-5
	own, but suspected stable (J. Schmutz, USGS, pers. comm.). Winter distribution has shifted ly eastward.	
	Status Total	: -3

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable).

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Order: Anseriformes

Statewide estimates are unavailable, but >25,000. Counts on spring staging grounds in southwest Alaska estimated a total population size of 85,795 birds (PFC 2016a), but this number likely includes individuals that breed in Russia. This population index was discontinued in 2017 in favour of the total indicated bird index from the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YKD) Coastal Zone Survey (USFWS 2018). The YKD supports 80-90% of the breeding population, and the 2018 index estimated 30,100 (26,600-33,600) breeding individuals (USFWS 2018).

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Distribution is restricted to the Bering Sea coastlines (PFC 2016a). More than 80% of the population breeds in a small, coastal area on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. The rest of the Alaskan population breeds on the northern Seward Peninsula and a few islands in Bering Sea (PFC 2016a). Overwinters on Russia's Commander Islands west along the Aleutian Chain to the Alaska Peninsula, coastlines of Bristol Bay, and Kodiak Island (PFC 2016a). In Alaska, breeding range is more restricted than wintering range and is estimated at ~15,011 sq. km, calculated in GIS and based on the range map from ACCS (2017a).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

10

-2

The vast majority of the population breeds along a narrow stretch of coastline on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. Also aggregates on staging grounds; less than a dozen areas have been identified as critical (Hupp et al. 2008a; PFC 2016a).

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)

1

Most females begin to breed when they are older than 3 years (Schmutz 2000).

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

1

Average clutch size is between 4 to 6 eggs (PFC 2016a; Daniels and Friendly 2018).

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

1

On breeding grounds, Emperor Geese are herbivorous, feeding on marsh graminoids and crowberries (Eisenhauer and Kirkpatrick 1977; Laing and Raveling 1993; Schmutz et al. 2011). Grazing lawns are critical forage for goslings (Lake et al. 2008). During migration and on wintering grounds, diet shifts to an intertidal and marine one that includes aquatic invertebrates (e.g. bivalves, polychaete worms), coastal plants, eelgrass, and algae (Petersen 1983; Schmutz 1994; Schmutz et al. 2011).

Habitat (-5 to 5)

1

Mostof the Alaskan population breeds on coastal, saline ponds, mudflats, and marshes on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (Laing and Raveling 1993; Schmutz 2001; Schmutz et al. 2011). These preferred habitats are considered to be uncommon relative to what is available on the landscape (Laing and Raveling 1993; Schmutz 2001). During migration and overwinter, found on volcanic islands and intertidal habitats such as lagoons and mudflats (Petersen and Gill 1982; Schmutz 1994; Schmutz et al. 2011).

Biological Total:

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs).

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Hunting was closed in Alaska in 1987 as a result of population declines (PFC 2016a). Sport and subsistence hunting reopened for the first time in 2017 with strong restrictions in place (AMBCC 2017; ADFG 2020c). A management plan is in place for this species (PFC 2016a).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Distribution is well understood during breeding, migration, and wintering, with knowledge of habitat associations (e.g. Petersen and Gill 1982; Hupp et al. 2007; Hupp et al. 2008a; PFC 2016a; Saalfeld et al. 2017). Habitat studies have been conducted on on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, where >80% of the population breeds (Eisenhauer and Kirkpatrick 1977; Petersen 1990; Laing and Raveling 1993; Schmutz 2001; Saalfeld et al. 2017). Little is known about the ecology of individuals that breed on the Seward Peninsula (Schmutz et al. 2011).

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

-10

Population size on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (YKD) is currently estimated using annual aerial surveys and a total indicated bird index; statistical models have been used to scale this index to total population size (PFC 2016a; USFWS 2018). This bird index is more precise than annual spring counts, which were used from 1981-2016 (PFC 2016a). It does not include birds breeding on the Seward Peninsula or on St. Lawrence or Nunivak Island, which represent only a small percentage of the Alaskan population (PFC 2016a). Several other surveys are conducted annually including nest counts on the YKD, and counts and age ratio surveys on fall staging grounds (reviewed in PFC 2016a).

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

2

Some knowledge of limiting factors, but the relative importance of each factor to survival and productivity is not well understood, especially on wintering grounds (PFC 2016a). Overhunting contributed to the historic declines of Emperor Geese that were observed in the mid- to late 20th century (PFC 2016a). Other factors include: predation (Petersen 1990; Schmutz et al. 2001; Bowman et al. 2004; Lake et al. 2008), inclement weather (Schmutz et al. 1994; Schmutz et al. 2001), winter food availability (Schmutz et al. 1994), and competition with Cackling Canada Geese (Schmutz 2001; Schmutz and Laing 2002; Lake et al. 2008). Emperor Geese may be sensitive to oil pollution because of their dependency on intertidal habitats (Schmutz et al. 2011).

Action Total: -28

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest: Substantial, regulations

Seasonal Occurrence: Year-round

Taxonomic Significance: Monotypic species

% Global Range in Alaska: >10% % Global Population in Alaska: ≥75% Peripheral: No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020c. 2020-2021 Migratory game bird hunting regulations summary. Anchorage, AK, USA.

Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council (AMBCC). 2017. Regulations for the 2017 Alaska Subsistence Spring/Summer Migratory Bird Harvest. Office of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Bowman, T. D., R. A. Stehn, and K. T. Scribner. 2004. Glaucous gull predation of goslings on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. The Condor 106(2):288-298. DOI: 10.1650/7326

Daniels, B. L., and R. Friendly. 2018. Monitoring of Nesting Emperor Geese on Kigigak Island, Alaska, 2017. Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bethel, AK, USA.

Eisenhauer, D. I., and C. M. Kirkpatrick. 1977. Ecology of the Emperor Goose in Alaska. Wildlife Monographs 57:3-62.

Fischer, J. B., R. A. Stehn, T. D. Bowman, R. M. Platte, W. D. Eldridge, J. I. Hodges, and W. I. Butler. 2018. Coordinated aerial and ground surveys document long-term recovery of geese and eiders on the Yukon–Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska, 1985–2014. Pages 148–160 in W. D. Shuford, R. E. Gill, and C. M. Handel, editors. Trends and traditions: Avifaunal change in western North America. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, USA. DOI: 10.21199/SWB3.7

Hupp, J. W., J. A. Schmutz, C. R. Ely, E. E. Syroechkovskiy, A. V. Kondratyev, W. D. Eldridge, and E. Lappo. 2007. Moult migration of Emperor Geese Chen canagica between Alaska and Russia. Journal of Avian Biology 38(4):462-470.

Hupp, J. W., J. A. Schmutz, and C. R. Ely. 2008a. The annual migration cycle of Emperor Geese in western Alaska. Arctic 61(1):23-34.

Laing, K. K., and D. G. Raveling. 1993. Habitat and food selection by Emperor Goose goslings. The Condor 95(4):879-888. DOI:10.2307/1369425.

Lake, B. C., J. A. Schmutz, M. S. Lindberg, C. R. Ely, W. D. Eldridge, and F. J. Broerman. 2008. Body mass of prefledging Emperor Geese Chen canagica: large-scale effects of interspecific densities and food availability. Ibis 150(3):527–540. DOI:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00814.x

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Petersen, M. R. 1983. Observations of Emperor Geese feeding at Nelson Lagoon, Alaska. The Condor 85(3):367-368. DOI: 10.2307/1367079.

Petersen, M. R. 1990. Nest-site selection by Emperor Geese and Cackling Canada Geese. The Wilson Bulletin 102(3):413-426.

Petersen, M. R., and R. E. Gill, Jr. 1982. Population and status of Emperor Geese along the north side of the Alaska Peninsula. Wildfowl 33:31-38.

Pacific Flyway Council (PFC). 2016a. Management plan for the Emperor Goose. Report prepared by the Emperor Goose Subcommittee, Portland, OR, USA.

Saalfeld, S. T., J. B. Fischer, R. A. Stehn, R. M. Platte, and S. C. Brown. 2017. Predicting waterbird nest distributions on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta of Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Management 81(8):1468-1481. DOI: 10.1002/jwmg.21322

Schmutz, J. A. 1994. Age, habitat and tide effects on feeding activity of Emperor Geese during autumn migration. The Condor 96(1):46-51. DOI:10.2307/1369062.

Schmutz, JA. 2000. Age-specific breeding in Emperor Geese. Wilson Bulletin 112(2):261-263. DOI: 10.1676/0043-5643(2000)112[0261:ASBIEG]2.0.CO;2

Schmutz, J. A. 2001. Selection of habitats by Emperor Geese during brood rearing. Waterbirds 24(3):394-401. DOI: 10.2307/1522070.

Schmutz, J. A., and K. K. Laing. 2002. Variation in foraging behavior and body mass in broods of Emperor Geese (Chen canagica): Evidence for interspecific density dependence. The Auk 119(4):996-1009. DOI: 10.2307/4090229

Schmutz, J. A., S. E. Cantor, and M. R. Petersen. 1994. Seasonal and annual survival of Emperor Geese. Journal of Wildlife Management 58(3):525-535. DOI:10.2307/3809325

Schmutz, J. A., B. F. J. Manly, and C. P. Dau. 2001. Effects of gull predation and weather on survival of Emperor Goose goslings. Journal of Wildlife Management 65(2):248-257.

Schmutz, J. A., M. R. Petersen, and R. F. Rockwell. 2011. Emperor Goose (Anser canagicus), version 2.0. In Rodewald, P. G., ed. Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bna.97

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2018. Waterfowl population status, 2018. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK