Greater White-fronted Goose, Midcontinent Pop.

Anser albifrons frontalis

Note: Two populations of A. a. frontalis are recognized in Alaska: the Pacific population, which nests on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, and the Midcontinent population, which nests in central, northwestern, and northern Alaska (USFWS 2018). This assessment focuses only on the Midcontinent population.

Review Status:	Peer-reviewed	Version Date: 28 February 2018		
Conservation S	tatus			
NatureServe:	Agency:			
G Rank:G5	ADF&G: Species of Gr	eatest Conservation Need	IUCN: Least Concern	Audubon AK:
S Rank: S5B	USFWS:		BLM:	
		Final Rank		

Final Rank				
Conservation category: IX. Blue low status and low biological vulnerability and action need				
Category Range S	Score			
Status -20 to 20	-11			
Biological -50 to 50	-22			
Action -40 to 40	-4			
Higher numerical scores denote greater concern				

- variables measure the trend in a taxon's population status or distribution. Higher status scores denote taxa with Status known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).

known declining trends. Status scores range from -20 (increasing) to 20 (decreasing).	Score
Population Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-6
Ten-year trends (2008-2017) based on fall survey counts, which includes breeders in northern Canada, indicate an increase of 4% per year; this increase is not statistically significant (USFWS 2018). This stable to increasing trend for the entire Midcontinent Population is consistent with trends over the last several decades (Kraai et al. 2015). In Alaska, the breeding population on the Arctic Coastal Plain peaked at the turn of the 21st century, but now appears to be decreasing (Amundson et al. 2019). It is unknown whether these declining trends will continue or stabilize. For the time being, we rank this question as D- Stable, but encourage readers to consult the most recent literature for updated trends.	
Distribution Trend in Alaska (-10 to 10)	-5
Suspected to be increasing on the Arctic Coastal Plain (J. B. Fischer, USFWS, pers. comm.). Nest densities on 40 plots near the CD5 drill site increased from 2013 to 2019 (Rozell et al. 2020). Distribution in interior Alaska is unknown.	
Status Total:	-11

Biological - variables measure aspects of a taxon's distribution, abundance and life history. Higher biological scores suggest greater vulnerability to extirpation. Biological scores range from -50 (least vulnerable) to 50 (most vulnerable). Score

Class: Aves Order: Anseriformes

1

Population Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Unknown, but >25,000. Stehn et al. (2013) estimated an average breeding bird index of 60,257 for the Arctic Coastal Plain. The most reliable estimate of population size comes from counts on fall staging grounds; however, these surveys include individuals that breed in northern Canada. In 2017, fall surveys counted 771,600 geese (USFWS 2018).

Range Size in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Summer resident only. In Alaska, the Midcontinent Population has a discontinuous breeding range that includes parts of the Arctic Coastal Plain, the Seward Peninsula, and interior Alaska (Fig. 1 in Kraai et al. 2015; ACCS 2017a). During fall and spring migration, stages in Alberta and Saskatchewan (Ely et al. 2013). Overwinters in the southcentral United States and Mexico (Ely et al. 2013). Breeding range is estimated to cover ~224,743 sq. km, calculated in GIS and based on range map from ACCS (2017a; modified to include Midcontinent breeding grounds only).

Population Concentration in Alaska (-10 to 10)

During migration, flies in large flocks and concentrates at molting sites in northwest and interior Alaska (Marks and Fischer 2015). Marks and Fischer (2015) identify 5 large molting areas, each encompassing >10,000 sq. km. Areas include the Koyukuk-Nowitna Complex, Innoko National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), and Kanuti NWR. Given population size and size of molting areas, we estimate that the number of discrete aggregation sites is between 25 and 250.

Reproductive Potential in Alaska

Age of First Reproduction (-5 to 5)	
First breeds at age 3 (Ely et al. 2020).	

Number of Young (-5 to 5)

Average clutch size is between 4 to 6 eggs; however, many females do not breed every year (Ely et al. 2020). The proportion of annual versus skipped breeders in Alaska is unknown. We have tentatively scored this question as B- 1-2 eggs/year until further information is available.

Ecological Specialization in Alaska

Dietary (-5 to 5)

During breeding, feeds on facultative and obligate wetland plants including sedges, horsetails, and willows (Ely et al. 2020). Diet is more specialized during the molting period, when they require highly nutritious, emerging sedges to support feather production (Flint and Meixell 2017). Carrière et al. (1999) also noted a more restricted diet following spring arrival on breeding grounds when most of their study area was still covered in snow.

Habitat (-5 to 5)

Typically nests near fresh or brackish water in wet habitats including lowland tundra, boreal wetlands, and deltas (Ely et al. 2020). Occasionally nests in upland or rocky areas (Ely et al. 2020). During migration, uses wetlands, riparian habitats, and agricultural lands (Ely et al. 2020; Marks and Fischer 2015). During the molting period, white-fronted geese in the Teshekpuk Lake Special Area used a variety of wet vegetation classes; they appeared to stay within 100 m of a wetland (Flint and Meixell 2017).

Biological Total: -22

Action - variables measure current state of knowledge or extent of conservation efforts directed toward a given taxon. Higher action scores denote greater information needs due of lack of knowledge or conservation action. Action scores range from -40 (lower needs) to 40 (greater needs). -10

-8

-6

-3

3

1

1

Score

Management Plans and Regulations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA 1918). Recreational and subsistence hunting are permitted and are subject to closed seasons and bag limits (ADFG 2020c; AMBCC 2020). A management plan is in place for this species (Kraai et al. 2015).

Knowledge of Distribution and Habitat in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Staging, wintering, and breeding distribution are relatively well-understood, with knowledge of migration routes (Anderson and Haukos 2003; Ely et al. 2013; Schock 2014; Vonbank 2020). Habitat associations on the Arctic Coastal Plain are known from aerial surveys, nest count surveys, and GPS transmitters (Flint and Meixell 2017; USFWS 2018; Rozell 2020). Additional studies are needed to investigate habitat associations of breeding populations in northwestern and interior Alaska.

Knowledge of Population Trends in Alaska (-10 to 10)

No single survey encompasses the entire range of the Mid-continent population in Alaska. Each survey contains important limitations (see Marks and Fischer 2015 for a review). Trend estimates are available for the Arctic Coastal Plain (USFWS 2018; Amundson et al. 2019), but not for northwestern Alaska, where surveys are local and sporadic (Marks and Fischer 2015). Surveys from interior Alaska are prone to high, interannual variation, which precludes a reliable assessment of trends. In light of these limitations, we score this question as B- Inadequate to detect statewide trends.

Knowledge of Factors Limiting Populations in Alaska (-10 to 10)

Some knowledge of factors that influence population dynamics. Hunting (Schmutz and Ely 1999; Ely et al. 2020) and food availability in winter (Ackerman et al. 2006; Askren 2016) are likely have a large effect on survival. Other potential factors include: predation, disease, and climate-driven changes to habitat and forage availability (Flint et al. 2008; Ely et al. 2020).

Action Total: -4

Supplemental Information - variables do not receive numerical scores. Instead, they are used to sort taxa to answer specific biological or management questions.

Harvest:	Substantial, regulations
Seasonal Occurrence:	Breeding
Taxonomic Significance:	Population
% Global Range in Alaska:	>10%
% Global Population in Alaska:	25-74%
Peripheral:	No

References

Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS). 2017a. Wildlife Data Portal. University of Alaska Anchorage. Available online: <u>http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/wildlife</u>

Ackerman, J. T., J. Y. Takekawa, D. L. Orthmeyer, J. P. Fleskes, J. L. Yee, and K. L. Kruse. 2006. Spatial Use by Wintering Greater White-Fronted Geese Relative to a Decade of Habitat Change in California's Central Valley. Journal of Wildlife Management 70(4):965–976. DOI: 10.2193/0022-541X(2006)70[965:SUBWGW]2.0.CO;2

Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG). 2020c. 2020-2021 Migratory game bird hunting regulations summary. Anchorage, AK, USA.

Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council (AMBCC). 2020. Regulations for the 2020 Alaska Subsistence Spring/Summer Migratory Bird Harvest. Office of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, USA.

-10

2

2

2

Amundson, C. L., P. L. Flint, R. A. Stehn, R. M. Platte, H. M. Wilson, W. W. Larned, and J. B. Fischer. 2019. Spatio-temporal population change of Arctic-breeding waterbirds on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. Avian Conservation and Ecology 14(1):art18. DOI: 10.5751/ACE-01383-140118

Anderson, J. T., and D. A. Haukos. 2003. Breeding ground affiliation and movements of Greater White-Fronted Geese staging in northwestern Texas. The Southwestern Naturalist 48(3):365-372.

Askren, R. J. 2016. Migration chronology, distribution, and winter habitat selection of the midcontinent population of greater white-fronted geese. M.S. thesis, University of Arkansas, Monticello, AR, USA.

Carrière, S., R. G. Bromley, and G. Gauthier. 1999. Comparative spring habitat and food use by two arctic nesting geese. Wilson Bulletin 111(2):166–180.

Ely, C. R., D. J. Nieman, R. T. Alisauskas, J. A. Schmutz, and J. E. Hines. 2013. Geographic variation in migration chronology and winter distribution of midcontinent Greater White-Fronted Geese. The Journal of Wildlife Management 77(6):1182-1191.

Ely, C. R., A. X. Dzubin, C. Carboneras, G. M. Kirwan, and E. F. J. Garcia. 2020. Greater White-fronted Goose (Anser albifrons), version 1.0. In Billerman, S. M., ed. Birds of the World. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. DOI: 10.2173/bow.gwfgoo.01

Flint, P. L., and B. W. Meixell. 2017. Movements and Habitat Use of White-Fronted Geese (Anser albifrons frontalis) During the Remigial Molt in Arctic Alaska, USA. Waterbirds 40(3):272–281. DOI: 10.1675/063.040.0308

Flint, P. L., E. J. Mallek, R. J. King, J. A. Schmutz, K. S. Bollinger, and D. V. Derksen. 2008. Changes in abundance and spatial distribution of geese molting near Teshekpuk Lake, Alaska: Interspecific competition or ecological change? Polar Biology 31(5):549–556. DOI: 10.1007/s00300-007-0386-8

Kraai, K., F. Baldwin, L. Naylor, R. Murano, M. Johnson, M. Vrtiska, ..., and R. Schultheis. 2015. Management Plan for Midcontinent Greater White-fronted Geese. Prepared for the Central Flyway Council, Mississippi Flyway Council, Pacific Flyway Council, Canadian Wildlife Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Marks, D. K., and J. B. Fischer. 2015. Midcontinent Greater White-fronted Geese in Alaska: annual summary of monitoring and research, 2014. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Waterfowl Survey Program, Anchorage, AK, USA.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). 1918. U.S. Code Title 16 §§ 703-712 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Rozell, K. B., C. B. Johnson, A. K. Prichard, and A. E. Gall. 2020. Greater White-Fronted Goose Study at CD-5, National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska: A Synthesis Report, 2013-2019. Prepared for ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc., by ABR Inc., Fairbanks, AK, USA.

Schmutz, J. A., and C. R. Ely. 1999. Survival of Greater White-Fronted Geese: Effects of Year, Season, Sex, and Body Condition. Journal of Wildlife Management 63(4):1239-1249. DOI: 10.2307/3802841

Schock, W. G. 2014. Migration dynamics of midcontinent Greater White-fronted geese (Anser albifrons frontalis) from interior and northwest Alaska. M.Sc. thesis, University of Alaska Anchorage, AK, USA.

Stehn, R. A, W. W. Larned, and R. M. Platte. 2013. Analysis of aerial survey indices monitoring waterbird populations of the Arctic Coastal Plain, Alaska, 1986-2012. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage and Soldotna, AK, USA.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2018. Waterfowl population status, 2018. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., USA.

Vonbank, J. A. 2020. Migration, movement, and winter ecology of midcontinent greater white-fronted geese. Ph.D. thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

Alaska Center for Conservation Science Alaska Natural Heritage Program University of Alaska Anchorage Anchorage, AK