ALASKA NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

Botanical name:	Lapsana communis L.
Common name:	nipplewort

Assessors: Timm Nawrocki Helen I. Klein **Research Technician Research Technician** Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, 707 A Street, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 257-2798 (907) 257-2798 Lindsey A. Flagstad Matthew L. Carlson, Ph.D. **Research Technician** Associate Professor Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, 707 A Street, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 257-2786 (907) 257-2790 Reviewers.

Gino Graziano	Jeff Conn, Ph. D.
Natural Resource Specialist	Research Agronomist
Plant Materials Center, Division of Agriculture, Department of	Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources, State of Alaska	319 O'Neil Building,
5310 S. Bodenburg Spur,	905 Koyukuk St. – UAF Campus,
Palmer, Alaska, 99645	Fairbanks, Alaska 99775
(907) 745-4469	(907) 474-7652
Robert L. DeVelice, Ph. D.	Whitney Rapp
Vegetation Ecologist	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning,
Vegetation Ecologist Chugach National Forest, Forest Service, U.S. Department of	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning, Research Permitting, GIS/GPS, and Invasive Species
Vegetation Ecologist Chugach National Forest, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning, Research Permitting, GIS/GPS, and Invasive Species National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior
Vegetation Ecologist Chugach National Forest, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture 3301 C Street, Suite 300	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning, Research Permitting, GIS/GPS, and Invasive Species National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior P.O. Box 7
Vegetation Ecologist Chugach National Forest, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture 3301 C Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99503	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning, Research Permitting, GIS/GPS, and Invasive Species National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior P.O. Box 7 King Salmon, Alaska, 99613
Vegetation Ecologist Chugach National Forest, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture 3301 C Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 743-9437	Katmai, Lake Clark, Alagnak, and Aniakchak Planning, Research Permitting, GIS/GPS, and Invasive Species National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior P.O. Box 7 King Salmon, Alaska, 99613 (907) 246-2145

Date: 3/3/2011 *Date of previous ranking, if any:* 4T

OUTCOME SCORE:

CLIMATIC COMPARISON

This species is present or may potentially establish in the following eco-geographic regions:

Pacific Maritime	Yes
Interior-Boreal	Yes
Arctic-Alpine	Yes

INVASIVENESS RANKING	Total (total answered points possible ¹)	Total
Ecological impact	40 (<u>40</u>)	<u>8</u>
Biological characteristics and dispersal ability	25 (<u>25</u>)	<u>4</u>
Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 (<u>25</u>)	<u>16</u>
Feasibility of control	10 (10)	5
Outcome score	$100 (\underline{100})^{b}$	<u>33</u> ^a
Relative maximum score ²		<u>33</u>

¹ For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value for the question in parentheses for "total answered points possible."

² Calculated as $a/b \times 100$

A. CLIMATIC COMPARISON

1.1. Has this species ever been collected or documented in Alaska?

 \boxtimes Yes - continue to 1.2

 \square No - continue to 2.1

1.2. From which eco-geographic region has it been collected or documented (see inset map)? *Proceed to* Section B. INVASIVNESS RANKING



Documentation: *Lapsana communis* has been documented from the Pacific Maritime ecogeographic region of Alaska (Hultén 1968, AKEPIC 2011, UAM 2011).



0

2.1. Is there a 40 percent or higher similarity (based on CLIMEX climate matching, see references) between climates where this species currently occurs and:

a. Juneau (Pacific Maritime region)?

Yes – record locations and percent similarity; proceed to Section B.

b. Fairbanks (Interior-Boreal region)?

 \boxtimes Yes – record locations and percent similarity; proceed to Section B. \square No

c. Nome (Arctic-Alpine region)?

Yes – record locations and percent similarity; proceed to Section B. \Box No

If "No" is answered for all regions; reject species from consideration

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* is known to grow in many locations in Finland, Estonia, Belarus, and Russia that have 40% or greater climatic similarities with Fairbanks and Nome (CLIMEX 1999, Kravchenko and Budrevskaya 2005, NatureGate 2011).

B. INVASIVENESS RANKING

1. Ecological Impact

- 1.1. Impact on Natural Ecosystem Processes
 - a. No perceivable impact on ecosystem processes
 - b. Has the potential to influence ecosystem processes to a minor degree (e.g., has a 3 perceivable but mild influence on soil nutrient availability)
 - c. Has the potential to cause significant alteration of ecosystem processes (e.g., 7 increases sedimentation rates along streams or coastlines, degrades habitat important to waterfowl)
 - d. Has the potential to cause major, possibly irreversible, alteration or disruption 10 of ecosystem processes (e.g., the species alters geomorphology, hydrology, or affects fire frequency thereby altering community composition; species fixes substantial levels of nitrogen in the soil making soil unlikely to support certain

native plants or more likely to favor non-native species)

e. Unknown

Score

U

1

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* likely has only minor impacts on ecosystem processes, as it is restricted to disturbed areas and has been noted for low aggressiveness in Alaska (AKEPIC 2011, UAM 2011).

- 1.2. Impact on Natural Community Structure
 - a. No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its 0 structure
 - b. Has the potential to influence structure in one layer (e.g., changes the density of 3 one layer)
 - c. Has the potential to cause significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation 7 of a new layer or elimination of an existing layer)
 - d. Likely to cause major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eliminating 10 most or all lower layers)
 - e. Unknown U Score 3

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* occurs at up to 30% ground cover in Alaska (AKEPIC 2011) and may increase the density of vegetation in disturbed areas. However, most infestations recorded in Alaska are associated with fill importation and occur at low densities (AKEPIC 2011).

1.3. Impact on Natural Community Composition

a.	No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations	0
b.	Has the potential to influence community composition (e.g., reduces the	3
	population size of one or more native species in the community)	
c.	Has the potential to significantly alter community composition (e.g.,	7
	significantly reduces the population size of one or more native species in the community)	
d.	Likely to cause major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one or more native species, thereby reducing local biodiversity and/or shifting the community composition towards exotic species)	10
e.	Unknown	U
	Score	1

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* occurs at up to 30% ground cover in Alaska (AKEPIC 2011). However, because it is not highly aggressive in Alaska and is restricted to disturbed areas (AKEPIC 2011, UAM 2011), it likely causes only minor reductions in native plant populations.

1.4. Impact on associated trophic levels (cumulative impact of this species on the animals, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades)

- a. Negligible perceived impact
 b. Has the potential to cause minor alteration (e.g., causes a minor reduction in sting or foraging sites)
- c. Has the potential to cause moderate alteration (e.g., causes a moderate reduction 7 in habitat connectivity, interferes with native pollinators, or introduces injurious

components such as spines, toxins)

d. Likely to cause severe alteration of associated trophic populations (e.g., extirpation or endangerment of an existing native species or population, or significant reduction in nesting or foraging sites)

10

U

3

U

0

Score

Score

e. Unknown

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* is edible to domestic cattle (Kravchenko 2009) and may be consumed by wild animals as well. Flowers are pollinated by flies, bees, moths, and butterflies (Plants for a Future 2010), and the presence of this species may alter native plant-pollinator interactions.

	Total Possible	40
	Total	8
2. Biological $(2, 1, M_{0})$	Characteristics and Dispersal Ability	
2.1. <i>Mod</i> a.	Not aggressive (produces few seeds per plant $[0-10/m^2]$ and not able to reproduce vegetatively).	0
b.	Somewhat aggressive (reproduces by seed only [11-1,000/m ²])	1
с.	Moderately aggressive (reproduces vegetatively and/or by a moderate amount of seed [<1,000/m ²])	2
d.	Highly aggressive (extensive vegetative spread and/or many seeded [>1,000/m ²])	3
e.	Unknown	U
	Score	1
Docume seeds (C	entation: Lapsana communis reproduces by seeds. A single plant can produce 400 to owbrough 2005, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences 2011).	o 800
2.2. Inna	tte potential for long-distance dispersal (wind-, water- or animal-dispersal)	
a.	Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms)	0
b.	Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations)	2
с.	Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (species has adaptations	3

- c. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (species has adaptations such as pappus, hooked fruit coats, etc.)
- d. Unknown

Documentation: Seeds lack pappi (Hultén 1968) and have no specific adaptations for dispersal.

2.3. Potential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible mechanisms include: commercial sale of species, use as forage or for revegetation, dispersal along highways, transport on boats, common contaminant of landscape materials, etc.).

a.	Does not occur	0
b.	Low (human dispersal is infrequent or inefficient)	1
c.	Moderate (human dispersal occurs regularly)	2
d.	High (there are numerous opportunities for dispersal to new areas)	3

e. Unknown

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* occurs mainly along roadsides in southeast Alaska (AKEPIC 2011), suggesting that seeds are spread by human activities.

2.4. Alle	lopathic	
a.	No	0
b.	Yes	2
с.	Unknown	U
		Score 0

Documentation: No evidence suggests that Lapsana communis is allelopathic.

2.5. Com	<i>upetitive ability</i>		
a.	Poor competitor for limiting factors		0
b.	Moderately competitive for limiting factors		1
c.	Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or able to fix nitrogen		3
d.	Unknown		U
		Score	0

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* appears to have low aggressiveness in Alaska (AKEPIC 2011).

2.6. Forms dense thickets, has a climbing or smothering growth habit, or is otherwise taller than the surrounding vegetation.

a.	Does not grow densely or above surrounding vegetation		0
b.	Forms dense thickets		1
c.	Has a climbing or smothering growth habit, or is otherwise taller than the surrounding vegetation		2
d.	Unknown		U
		Score	0

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* does not form dense thickets or significantly overtop surrounding vegetation (Klinkenberg 2010).

2.7. Gern	nination requirements		
a.	Requires sparsely vegetated soil and disturbance to germinate		0
b.	Can germinate in vegetated areas, but in a narrow range of or in special		2
	conditions		
с.	Can germinate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions		3
d.	Unknown		U
		Score	0

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* grows in agricultural fields, stream banks, roadsides, and shady disturbed areas in North America (Bogler 2006, Klinkenberg 2010).

2.8. Other species in the genus invasive in Alaska or elsewhere

a. No

U

Score

2

b. c.	Yes Unknown	3 U Score 0
Docume	entation: The Lapsana genus is monotypic (Pak and Bremer 1995).	
2.9. Aqu	atic, wetland, or riparian species	
a.	Not invasive in wetland communities	0
b.	Invasive in riparian communities	1
с.	Invasive in wetland communities	3
d.	Unknown	U

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* is known to grow along stream banks in North America (Bogler 2006).

Total Possible	25
Total	4

1

0

2

Score

3. Ecological Amplitude and Distribution

3.1. Is the species highly domesticated or a weed of agriculture?

- a. Is not associated with agricultureb. Is occasionally an agricultural pest
- c. Has been grown deliberately, bred, or is known as a significant agricultural pest 4
- d. Unknown U Score 2

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* is a frequent but not abundant agricultural weed in Russia and Europe and is becoming more common in Ontario (Cowbrough 2005, Kravchenko 2009, NatureGate 2011).

3.2. Know	n level of ecological impact in natural areas	
a.	Not known to impact other natural areas	0
b.	Known to impact other natural areas, but in habitats and climate zones dissimilar to those in Alaska	1
c.	Known to cause low impact in natural areas in habitats and climate zones similar to those in Alaska	3
d.	Known to cause moderate impact in natural areas in habitat and climate zones similar to those in Alaska	4
e.	Known to cause high impact in natural areas in habitat and climate zones similar to those in Alaska	6
f.	Unknown	U
	Score	1

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* grows in natural areas on Mt. Haleakala, Maui (Kitayama and Mueller-Dombois 1995). It is known to grow along stream banks in North America (Bogler 2006).

3.3. Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance in establishment

a. b	Requires anthropogenic disturbance to establish May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas, readily establishes in naturally	03
0.	disturbed areas	5
c.	Can establish independently of natural or anthropogenic disturbances	5
e.	Unknown	U
	Score	3

Documentation: Most infestations recorded in Alaska are associated with fill importation (AKEPIC 2011); however, plants near Sitka were found growing on a rocky upper beach fringe (UAM 2011), suggesting that this species can establish in naturally disturbed areas as well.

3.4.	Curre	ent global distribution		
	a.	Occurs in one or two continents or regions (e.g., Mediterranean region)		0
	b.	Extends over three or more continents		3
	c.	Extends over three or more continents, including successful introductions in arctic or subarctic regions		5
	e.	Unknown		U
		Sco	ore	5

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* is native to Europe and southwest Asia (Bogler 2006). It has been introduced to North America, New Zealand, and East Asia (Bogler 2006, Kravchenko 2009, Landcare Research 2011). This species is known to grow in arctic regions in western Russia (Kravchenko and Budrevskaya 2005).

3.5. Extent of the species' U.S. range and/or occurrence of formal state or provincial listing Occurs in 0-5 percent of the states 0 a. Occurs in 6-20 percent of the states 2 b. Occurs in 21-50 percent of the states and/or listed as a problem weed (e.g., 4 c. "Noxious," or "Invasive") in one state or Canadian province Occurs in more than 50 percent of the states and/or listed as a problem weed in 5 d. two or more states or Canadian provinces Unknown e. U 5 Score

Documentation: *Lapsana communis* grows in 37 states of the U.S. and much of Canada (USDA 2011). It is not considered a noxious weed in any states of the U.S. or provinces of Canada.

		Total Possible Total	25 16
Feasibility	of Control		
4.1. Seec a.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for less than three years		0
b.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for three to five years		2
с.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for five years or longer		3
e.	Unknown	-	U
		Score	3

4.

Documentation: Seeds can remain viable for six years (Kravchenko 2009).

4.2.	Vege	etative regeneration	
	a.	No resprouting following removal of aboveground growth	0
	b.	Resprouting from ground-level meristems	1
	c.	Resprouting from extensive underground system	2
	d.	Any plant part is a viable propagule	3
	e.	Unknown	U
			Score 0

Documentation: As an annual plant, *Lapsana communis* does not resprout after the removal of the aboveground portion.

4.3.	Level	of effort required	
	a.	Management is not required (e.g., species does not persist in the absence of repeated anthropogenic disturbance)	0
	b.	Management is relatively easy and inexpensive; requires a minor investment of human and financial resources	2
	c.	Management requires a major short-term or moderate long-term investment of human and financial resources	3
	d.	Management requires a major, long-term investment of human and financial resources	4
	e.	Unknown	U
		Score	2

Documentation: Mowing before seed set can contain populations. Hand pulling effectively controls Lapsana communis (Kravchenko 2009). The following herbicides have proven effective in controlling this species in agricultural fields: dicamba, clopyralid, and atrazine and mixtures of dicamba and atrazine, bromoxynil and atrazine, diflufenzopyr and dicamba, and atrazine and 2, 4-D (Cowbrough 2005). Several years of monitoring may be necessary following treatment to control plants sprouting from the seed bank.

> Total Possible 10 Total

5

Total for four sections possible 100 33

Total for four sections

References:

AKEPIC database. Alaska Exotic Plant Information Clearinghouse Database. 2011. Available: http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/

- Bogler, D. 2006. Lapsana communis Linnaeus. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 12+ vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 19, p. 257.
- CLIMEX. 1999. CLIMEX for Windows, Predicting the effects of climate on plants and animals. Version 1.1a. CISRO Publishing. Collingwood, Australia.
- Cowbrough, M. 2005. Nipplewort (Lapsana communis L.). Weed Management (Field Crops) Program, Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Affairs. [3 March 2011] http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca
- Faculty of Agricultural Sciences. 2011. Planteværn Online, Aarhus University and Research Centre for Agriculture. Aarhus, Denmark. [3 March 2011] http://www.ipmdss.dk

Hultén, E. 1968. Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA. 1008 pp.

- Klinkenberg, B. (Editor) 2010. *Lapsana communis* L. In: E-Flora BC: Electronic Atlas of the Plants of British Columbia. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis, Department of Geography, University of British Columbia. Vancouver, BC. [3 March 2011] Available: <u>http://www.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/index.shtml</u>
- Kiteyama, K., and D. Mueller-Dombois. 1995. Biological invasion on an oceanic island mountain: Do alien plant species have wider ecological ranges than native species? Journal of Vegetation Science. 6(5). 667-674 p.
- Kravchenko, O. 2009. Weeds, Lapsana communis L. Common Nipplewort. AgroAtlas. Interactive agricultural ecological atlas of Russia and neighboring countries: Economic plants and their diseases, pests, and weeds. [3 March 2011] <u>http://www.agroatlas.ru/en/content/weeds/Lapsana_communis/</u>
- Kravchenko, O., and I. Budrevskaya. 2005. Weeds, Area of distribution and weediness of *Lapsana communis* L. AgroAtlas. Interactive agricultural ecological atlas of Russia and neighboring countries: Economic plants and their diseases, pests, and weeds. [3 March 2011] <u>http://www.agroatlas.ru/en/content/weeds/Lapsana_communis/map/</u>
- Landcare Research. 2011. Lapsana communis L. New Zealand Plants. Landcare Research. Lincoln, New Zealand. [3 March 2011] <u>http://nzflora.landcareresearch.co.nz/</u>
- NatureGate. 2011. Finland Nature and Species. Helsinki, Finland. [3 March 2011] Available: <u>http://www.luontoportti.com/suomi/en/</u>
- Pak, J., and K. Bremer. 1995. Phylogeny and reclassification of the genus *Lapsana* (Asteraceae: Lactuceae). Taxon. 44(1). 13-21 p.
- Plants for a Future. 2010. [3 March 2011] Available: http://www.pfaf.org/user/default.aspx
- UAM. 2011. University of Alaska Museum, University of Alaska Fairbanks. Available: http://arctos.database.museum/home.cfm
- USDA. 2011. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Baton Rouge, LA. <u>http://plants.usda.gov</u>