A COMPARISON OF ABOVEGROUND AND BELOWGROUND COMMUNITY SUCCESSION ALONG A PROGLACIAL CHRONOSEQUENCE IN KENAI FJORDS, ALASKA

by

Lindsey A. Flagstad

RECOMENDED:

Dr. Matthew L. Carlson, Chair, Advisory Committee

Dr. Edward A.D. Mitchell

Dr. Kim M. Peterson

Keith W. Boggs

Dr. Jerry Kudenov, Chair, Department of Biological Sciences

ACCEPTED:

Dr. James Liszka, Dean, College of Arts and Sciences

Dr. Douglas Causey, Vice-Provost, Research and Graduate Studies

Date

A COMPARISON OF ABOVEGROUND AND BELOWGROUND COMMUNITY SUCCESSION ALONG A PROGLACIAL CHRONOSEQUENCE IN KENAI FJORDS, ALASKA

A

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the University of Alaska Anchorage in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

by

Lindsey A. Flagstad, B.A. Anchorage, Alaska December 2007

Abstract

The links among aboveground and belowground biotic communities are believed to be multiple, reciprocal, and specific, but the patterns of these interactions are not well-established in space and time. To better understand aboveground-belowground interactions, terrestrial plant and soil protozoan (testate amoeba) communities were surveyed along a proglacial chronosequence in Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Unlike many comparisons among functionally different groups, the diversity patterns and the communities' response to site variables shown in this study are remarkably similar for both plants and testate amoebae. Tight correlations between these groups suggest that, contrary to traditional successional theory, biotic interactions may influence ecosystem development more than changes in abiotic site conditions. The apparent importance of interactions between aboveground and belowground biota in this boreal-maritime ecosystem highlights the controlling role of soil organisms in ecosystem development and supports the correlation of diversity patterns for different groups across extended spatial and temporal gradients.

Table of Contents

	Page
Signature Page	i
Title Page	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Appendices	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Dedication Page	ix
1. AN INTRODUCTION TO COMMUNITY INTERACTIONS IN DEVELOPING ECOSYSTEMS AND THE KENAI FJORDS STUDY SYSTEM	1
Patterns of primary succession	1
Introduction to testate amoebae	4
Functional importance of testate amoebae	5
Study system	6
Climate	8
Geologic history	9
Glacial history	10
General methodology	10
Objectives, expectations and hypotheses tested	10
 HOW TIGHTLY ARE ABOVEGROUND AND BELOWGROUND COMMUNITIES LINKED? A COMPARISON OF PRIMARY SUCCESSIONAL PATTERNS ALONG PROGLACIAL CHRONOSEQUENCE IN KENAI FJORDS, ALASKA 	і А 12
Abstract	12
Introduction	12
Materials and methods	15
Results	21
Discussion	
Conclusions	53
REFERENCES	54
APPENDICES	63

List of Figures

Page

Figure 1.1:	Schematic drawing of the microbial loop	3
Figure 1.2:	Image of Quadrulella symmetrica (Order Arcellinida) taken under phase contrast using light microscopy	5
Figures 1.3a a	and 1.3b: Repeat photography of the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	6
Figure 1.4:	Map of the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	8
Figure 2.1:	Unconstrained cluster dendrogram delineating plant community types along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	22
Figure 2.2:	Unconstrained cluster dendrogram showing testate amoeba community types along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	25
Figure 2.3:	Diagonalized matrix of plant species occurrence and cover ordered by increasing distance from the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	29
Figure 2.4:	Diagonalized matrix of testate amoeba species occurrence and cover ordered by increasing distance from the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	31
Figure 2.5:	Comparison of plant and testate amoeba diversity along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	33
Figure 2.6:	Correlation between plant and testate amoeba diversity (Shannon Index), McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	34
Figure 2.7:	Comparison of plant and testate amoeba species richness along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.	35
Figure 2.8:	Comparison of plant and testate amoeba species evenness along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.	36
Figure 2.9:	Comparison of plant relative foliar cover and testate amoeba relative abundance along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	37
Figure 2.10:	Comparison of Sørenson quantitative index of similarity values for successive pairs of plant or testate amoeba sites along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	38
Figures 2.11a	and 2.11b: NMS biplots of plant (2.11a) and testate amoeba (2.11b) communities located along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska, ordinated by site variables	40
Figure 2.12:	Graphic representation of the relationships between plant and testate amoeba communities and site variables along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.	41
Figure 2.13:	Mantel moving window correlations between variable pairs along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.	42
Figure 2.14:	Schematic drawing of plant, testate amoeba, and site variable successional change along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	43
Figure AI.1:	Aperture and test morphologies used to describe testate amoeba species occurring in Kenai Fjords, Alaska	65
Figure AI.2:	Image of Nebela sp. 1 taken using light microscopy under phase contrast	70

List of Tables

Table 2.1:	Indicator species and indicator and <i>p</i> values for plant community types occurring along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.	23
Table 2.2:	Indicator species, and indicator and <i>p</i> values for testate amoeba community types occurring along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska	25
Table 2.3:	Plant and testate amoeba communities as delineated by chronologically constrained cluster analysis. Thick horizontal lines indicate a significant change in community continuity; thin horizontal lines indicate significant, yet unstable change in community continuity. Grey fill indicates an outlying site	27
Table AI.1:	Strength and significance of significant linear regressions of testate amoeba test morphology and taxonomic order to site variables on recently deglaciated McCarty Fjord sites.	67
Table AI.2:	Variable means for testate amoebae occurring at recently deglaciated and reference sites. <i>P</i> values indicating a significant difference of means are in bold	68
Table AI.3:	Testate amoeba species recorded from the McCarty Fjord study area representing new records for Alaska and science. *Indicates a species likely new to science.	69

List of Appendices

Appendix I:	Morphologic characters of testate amoebae and their relationship to successional gradients	. 64
Appendix II:	Positional Data for McCarty Fjord Sites	. 85
Appendix III:	Unvegetated Groundcover Data for McCarty Fjord Sites.	. 86
Appendix IV:	Soil Physical and Chemical Data for McCarty Fjord Sites	. 87
Appendix V:	Dendrochronological Data for Tall Shrub and Tree Species Cored at McCarty Fjord Sites.	. 88
Appendix VI:	Plant Community Data for McCarty Fjord Sites.	. 89
Appendix VII:	Percent Foliar Cover of Plant Species at McCarty Fjord Sites	. 90
Appendix VIII:	List of Plant Taxa and Life Forms Recorded at McCarty Fjord Sites	. 95
Appendix IX:	Testate Amoeba Community Data for McCarty Fjord Sites	100
Appendix X:	Abundance of Testate Amoeba Species at McCarty Fjord Sites	. 90
Appendix XI:	Testate Amoeba Taxonomic and Test Composition Data for McCarty Fjord Sites	. 92
Appendix XII:	Testate Amoeba Test and Aperture Morphology Data for McCarty Fjord Sites.	. 93
Appendix XIII:	List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded from the Kenai Fjords Region	. 94
Appendix XIV:	The Ecology of Select Testate Amoeba Genera and Species Recorded at McCarty Fjord Sites.	. 97
Appendix XV:	List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded in Alaska	105
Appendix XVI:	McCarty Fjord Site Photos.	110
Appendix XVII:	McCarty Fjord Site Forms.	118

Acknowledgements

Dr. Matt Carlson, my major advisor, Assistant Professor, University of Alaska Anchorage, Department of Biological Sciences, and Program Botanist for the Alaska Natural Heritage Program, was a constant motivator and greatly improved the conceptual basis and readability of this work. Thank you for the many hours you dedicated to this work.

Committee members: Dr. Edward Mitchell, Wetlands Research Group Leader, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne, and Affiliate Assistant Professor, University of Alaska Anchorage, Department of Biological Sciences, generously shared his protozoological expertise across ten time zones; Keith Boggs, Manager of and Ecologist for the Alaska Natural Heritage Program, was kind enough to invite me into his program and onto the Kenai Fjords Landcover Mapping Project; and Dr. Kim Peterson, Professor, University of Alaska Anchorage, Department of Biological Sciences, greatly helped in guiding the process and offering insights in the research.

Thanks are also due to the Alaska Natural Heritage Program for the wonderful classroom they provided. I greatly benefited from Tina Boucher's ecological expertise, Michelle Sturdy's botanical knowledge, and Susan Klein's landcover mapping abilities.

The National Park Service, Alaska Support Office provided funding and logistical help. I especially thank Jess Grunblatt, Landcover Mapping Program Manager, and Beth Koltun, Biologist, GIS Specialist, for their support.

The Alaska EPSCoR program funded travel to the International Conference on Alpine and Polar Microbiology in Innsbruck, Austria where this work was presented. The University of Alaska Fairbanks Soils Lab at the Palmer Research Center and Lab Manager, Laurie Wilson provided lab space and time for chemical soils analysis. The Alaska Natural Heritage Program with funds from the National Park Service helped support the fieldwork.

Dedication Page

Trond, the effort bound here would not have been possible without your support. Hjertelig takk.

1. An introduction to community interactions in developing ecosystems and the Kenai Fjords study system

Understanding how functionally different communities are linked within ecosystems presents a major challenge in ecology. Unraveling linkages between species groups is particularly germane to conservation efforts as the accurate and consistent prediction of the diversity patterns of a threatened or endangered species based on the distribution of a more easily-surveyed taxonomic group would facilitate the identification of biological hotspots and would thereby enable more efficient conservation. Intra-specific diversity correlations are thought to exist where the survey group directly controls the status of the species of concern, the species of concern directly controls the status of the survey group, or where both groups are dependent on the same control factor. Unfortunately, diversities of terrestrial groups have not proved to be consistently correlated (Vessby et. al. 2002, Prendergast et. al. 1993) and where groups are correlated, change in diversity relationships are difficult to predict with changes in space and time (Loreau et. al. 2001). Recent work (Wardle et. al. 2004a) suggests that biotic interactions between aboveground and belowground communities may have greater influence on the development of ecosystems than previously recognized and is thus an ecological linkage meriting further research. Because our knowledge of soil organism ecology is sparse and the effect of scale on their interactions with aboveground communities is not well-understood, the comparative analysis of aboveground and belowground biota across a landscape-scale gradient provides an excellent system in which to improve our understanding of this fundamental aspect of ecology. Towards this end, this thesis documents the primary successional patterns of terrestrial plants and a group of soil protists (testate amoebae) along a proglacial chronosequence in Southcentral Alaska.

General theories of primary succession and mechanisms of interaction between aboveground and belowground biotic communities are discussed, the study system is introduced, and research objectives and hypotheses are presented in Chapter 1. The findings of this study are presented and discussed in Chapter 2, entitled "How tightly are aboveground and belowground communities linked? A comparison of primary successional patterns along a proglacial chronosequence in Kenai Fjords, Alaska".

Patterns of primary succession

The concept of succession has long captivated ecologists as it provides a window through which interactions among individuals, species, and communities can be observed in a changing habitat.

Early theories of primary succession emphasizing a directional and predictable pathway produced by holistic interactions within an ecosystem (Clements 1916) gained considerable flexibility from challenges by proponents of probabilistic interactions between individuals, variable initial site conditions, stochastic events, and neutral models (Gleason 1926, Fastie 1995, Hubbell 2001, Whitfield 2002). Historically, successional research has focused on plant community dynamics and with this approach coarse-scale successional patterns repeated in most ecosystems have been identified. Examples of such trends with successional development include: increase in species cover and richness (Reiners et al. 1971); transition from r- to K-selected species (Chapin et al. 1994), increase in community complexity, and stability; and transition from abiotic to biotic structuring mechanisms (Odum 1969, Matthews 1992).

The reoccurrence of these patterns in geographically and compositionally disparate ecosystems suggest an underlying mechanism for their generation, although there is little agreement on what this mechanism might be (Tilman 1988). Proposed underlying mechanisms relate to the facilitating, inhibitory, or neutral effects of an established plant community by a colonizing species (Connell and Slatyer 1977); the balance between recruitment and mortality in plant populations (Peet and Christensen 1980); and the differential abilities of plant species to compete for limited resources (Tilman 1985). Failure to comprehensively explain community succession may relate to the taxonomically narrow and macroscopic focus of traditional successional studies. In an effort towards a more holistic approach to community succession, ecologists have begun to incorporate multiple taxonomic or functional biotic groups representing both aboveground and belowground communities.

In this study terrestrial plants and testate amoebae were chosen to represent the aboveground and belowground communities and were evaluated in terms of their shared habitat, ecological sensitivities and trophic linkages. Terrestrial plants and soil organisms are physically linked through the soil medium and functionally linked through their mutual and bidirectional effects on soil fertility. Through the "microbial loop" plant communities contribute resources to soil decomposers as litterfall, root exfoliates, and root exudates and in return, soil organisms promote plant growth by converting the minerals immobilized in plant biomass to forms readily assimilated by plant roots (Figure 1.1, Clarholm 1985). In forest soils, testate amoebae are the primary grazers of bacteria and are also the greatest contributors to the mineralization of N and C in the soil (Schröter et al. 2003).



Figure 1.1: Schematic drawing of the microbial loop

Both plants and testate amoebae can be used to indicate environmental character. The species composition of plant communities changes in response to local climate, aspect, exposure, drainage pattern, and soil development. In the context of primary succession, the species diversity, biomass, and structural and functional complexity of plant communities typically increase with time (Matthews 1992). In the boreal-maritime ecoregion, small, short-lived, r-selected, herbaceous species are associated with exposed, dry, and mineral substrates, whereas larger, long-lived, K-selected, woody species are associated with protected, moist, and organic substrates (Chapin et al. 1994).

Both the species composition of testate amoeba communities and the morphology of testate amoeba individuals respond to changes in environmental conditions, with community composition as the more sensitive indicator of environmental conditions (Booth 2001). The occurrence and activity of testate amoebae is ecologically sorted by soil pore space structure plus the nature and availability of water. Terrestrial testate amoeba species with morphologic traits similar to their aquatic ancestors are more common in wet habitats, whereas derived species with traits that retard desiccation or facilitate encystment are more common in dry habitats or habitats where the availability of water fluctuates (Foissner 1987). Because these traits are often taxon-specific, predictable assemblages of testate amoeba species form in accordance with the soil moisture and structural conditions of a given habitat. Short generation times (days) allow testate amoebae to respond guickly to changing conditions and their small size and sessile nature makes population-level responses highly location specific (Griffiths 2001). Empty tests degrade within weeks in aerated litter and soil, thereby eliminating the ecological noise created by the presence of residual tests that no longer reflect current site conditions (Lousier and Parkinson 1981). On this basis, community compositions can be used as a spatially and temporally sensitive indicator of belowground condition and in sequence can be used to reconstruct the paleoecology of a given site (Mitchell et al. 1999, Booth 2002). The phenotypic morphology of individual terrestrial testate amoebae is also influenced by soil condition; individuals generally increase the size of their tests in the presence of abundant soil moisture and larger pore spaces (Foissner 1987) and species-specific changes in phenotype morphology are influenced by the type and availability of food and raw materials for test construction (Wanner 1999).

Introduction to testate amoebae

Testate amoebae are small (10-500 µm), single-celled eukaryotes that produce a persistent shell (test) that is diagnostic to the species level (Figure 1.2). Terrestrial species are able to survive in any moist environment but are most common in wet soil and freshwater sediment with highest abundance in the top 5-10 cm of soil (Heal 1962, Mitchell et al. 2000a, Vincke et al. 2006). Most genera of terrestrial testate amoebae are able to survive temporary water stress by reducing their cytoplasm volume and closing their aperture (mouth opening) with a membrane to which detritus is added externally (Foissner 1987). Due to their ubiquitous and random dispersal, species smaller than 150 µm are thought to have a cosmopolitan distribution (Finlay et al. 2001, Wilkinson 2001). However, geographic distributions may be artificially enlarged by the lumping of taxa or restricted where poor habitat connectivity limits dispersal (Mitchell and Meisterfeld 2005). Collectively, testate amoebae are comprised of at least two divisions differentiated by pseudopod morphology. Order Euglyphida is characterized by filose pseudopodia, whereas order Arcellinida is characterized by lobose pseudopodia. The number and membership of these divisions is rooted in morphology; however, molecular analysis will continue to present phylogenic revisions (see Wylezich et al. 2002, Nikolaev et al. 2005, Lara et al. 2007 for recent developments).

The morphology and composition of the test provides the basis of species identification using light microscopy. Tests are typically single chambered with one opening (aperture) through which pseudopodia are extended for locomotion and feeding. Test composition can be agglutinate, proteinaceous, siliceous or calcareous. Agglutinate tests are constructed by the addition of organic or mineral material (xenosomes) to a protein matrix. Proteinaceous tests are constructed by the secretion of a continuous layer or discrete blocks of protein. Siliceous tests are composed of plates (idosomes) glued together with organic cement, that are either manufactured by the amoebae or recycled from ingested testate amoebae. Calcareous tests are uncommon and are composed of a thin outer organic layer underlain by a thick inner layer of amorphous calcium phosphate (Ogden and Hedley 1980).



Figure 1.2: Image of *Quadrulella symmetrica* (Order Arcellinida) taken under phase contrast using light microscopy

Functional importance of testate amoebae

Testate amoebae are predominately heterotrophic (some mixotrophic species host endosymbiotic algae) and represent the primary grazers of bacteria in terrestrial communities. They are the dominant protozoan group in coniferous forest soils, and among these groups, are the greatest

contributors to the mineralization of C and N (Schröter et al. 2003). Because grazing stimulates bacteria turnover, the feeding activity of testate amoebae results in greater mineralization of nutrients and consequently greater plant productivity. This soil microbe-plant interaction may be more specific than initially thought; recent evidence shows that protozoa selectively consume bacteria that produce root-growth hormones. In this way the feeding activity of testate amoebae may promote plant growth by increasing lateral root production which allows the plant to more efficiently harvest soil resources (Bonkowski and Brandt 2002).

Study system

Kenai Fjords is a dynamic glacial landscape located in the boreal-maritime ecoregion of Southcentral Alaska. The Harding Icefield mantels much of the region and feeds multiple distributary glaciers, many of which terminate at tidewater. In the period postdating the Little Ice Age (LIA) maxima (*ca.* 1850 A.D.) most tidewater glaciers in Kenai Fjords have receded (Wiles and Calkin 1994). The most impressive example of glacial recession is the 20 km retreat of the McCarty Glacier over the 55 year period following 1905 (Figure 1.3, Wiles and Calkin 1993).



Figures 1.3a and 1.3b: Repeat photography of the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Figure 1.3a: Terminus of the McCarty Glacier in 1909 (Grant and Higgins 1913) and Figure 1.3b: terminus of the McCarty Glacier in 2004 (Molnia 2004).

The McCarty Fjord chronosequence was selected as the location for this study for its consistent topography, well-established glacial history, and remote location that reduces the potential for anthropogenic disturbance. The linearity of the fjord minimizes variation in aspect, and steep

continuous sidewalls minimize the disturbance effects associated with side valley and hanging glaciers. The terrains exposed by the recession of the McCarty Glacier have been dated by a combination of bathymetry (Post 1980), historic aerial photography *ca.* 1950, 1984, 2000 (Crowell and Mann 1995, Environmental Systems Research Institute 1998, National Park Service 2002) and dendrochronology (this study, Appendix IV) and thus comprise a study system with good chronological control from which patterns of primary succession can be inferred.

The recessional history of the McCarty glacier and sampling locations used in this study are presented in Figure 1.4. Sites located outside the range of the LIA maxima (beyond the 1860 moraine) were considered to represent equivalent successional stages as these sites have had sufficient time to recover since the last glacial maximum, 10500 ybp (Harris et al. 1997) and thus intersite differences likely relate more to local environmental conditions than terrain age.

McCarty Fjord has two environmental gradients that parallel the path of glacial recession; maritime influence increases with distance from the glacier front and there is a change in bedrock type coincident with the terminal moraine formed between 1860 and 1905. These gradients complicate our ability to separate the influence of site age from local climate and parent material on the assembly of plant and testate amoeba communities. It is also worth mentioning that sites are located on either side of the fjord, possibly allowing aspect to confound analysis of community development. However, this study did not identify site aspect as a significant influence on plant or testate amoeba community composition when tested in non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS).



Figure 1.4: Map of the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska, showing sampling locations and historical glacier positions.

Climate

Kenai Fjords has a wet, maritime climate with cool, rainy summers and mild, snowy winters. Aleutian atmospheric low-pressure centers cause counter-clockwise air circulation over the Gulf of Alaska that imports voluminous amounts of precipitation as snow, rain and fog to the outer Kenai Fjords coast. The mean annual temperature in Seward is 4.6 °C, while the mean annual precipitation is 182.42 cm (average of monthly normals 1971-2000, National Climatic Data Center).

Geologic history

The geology of Kenai Fjords is principally structured by the subduction of the Pacific plate beneath the North American plate along the Aleutian Trench. Kenai Fjords is located on the exposed edge of the North American Plate, which has been deformed by the varied forces active at a consumptive plate margin. Compression has thrust the geologic backbone of Kenai Fjords, the coastal Kenai Mountains, approximately 1,800 m skyward while downwarping has produced the region's characteristic sunken coastline replete with drowned cirques and deep fjords (Hamilton and Nelson 1989). Subduction-induced subsidence has dropped the landscape an estimated 100 m since the Wisconsin glaciation (Wiles and Calkin 1990).

Tectonic releases along the Aleutian Trench produce earthquakes of moderate frequency and occasionally high intensity (Haeusser and Plafker 1995). The 9.2-magnitude Good Friday Earthquake dropped the Kenai Fjords region approximately 2 m in 1964 (Plafker 1969). Dead coastal forests killed by salt water inundation after this earthquake stand testament to the power of this tectonic event. Post-seismic tectonic uplift raised the Kenai Fjords coast between 20 and 40 cm between 1964 and 1995 (Cohen and Freymueller, 1997, 2001). This rate of uplift far exceeds the 1.8 mm/yr rate of the eustatic (global) sea-level rise (Pendelton et al. 2006) and results in a positive net rate of rise for the Kenai Fjords landmass.

The dominant lithologies present in Kenai Fjords are, listed from oldest to youngest: 1) the Mesozoic era McHugh Complex; 2) the Upper Cretaceous period Valdez group; and 3) the Tertiary period granitic intrusives (Tysdal and Case 1979, Bradley et al. 1999). The McHugh Complex is a mélange of siltstone, sandstone, conglomerate, tuff, pillow basalt, chert, limestone and argillite that has been deformed by subduction activity. This unit is exposed at the surface in small outcrops around Nuka Bay. The Valdez group forms the dominant lithology in Kenai Fjords and was created by the accretion of an exotic terrane to the North American Plate in the early Tertiary. This group is a flysch comprised of thinly-bedded marine sandstones, siltstones, mudstones and pebble conglomerates that have been intensely folded and regionally metamorphosed by the stresses produced by accretion, partial subduction and subsequent faulting of the terrane. Granitic intrusives were emplaced under the Harding Icefield as a large batholith and associated plutons and felsic dikes in the mid-Tertiary. The batholith is expressed at the surface as the Aialik and Harris Peninsulas and several near-shore islands in the northeastern Kenai Fjords region (Harris et al. 1997).

Glacial history

Kenai Fjords is a landscape sculpted by ice. The region has experienced multiple glaciations in both the Pleistocene and Holocene epochs. The last Pleistocene maximum (10500 ybp) is delineated by the modern 90 m depth contour on the ocean bottom off shore from the Kenai Fjords coast. This late Wisconsin ice was estimated to be 900 m thick and would have obscured much of the Kenai Fjords landscape (Harris et al. 1997). The Kenai Fjords region experienced three major intervals of glacial expansion in the late Holocene. Advances occurred 3600 ybp, in 600 A.D. and during the Little Ice Age from 1300 to 1850 A.D.

General methodology

Plant and testate amoeba communities were surveyed on terrains of known age along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. The plant community was quantified following a modification of the Braun-Blanquet technique of vegetation analysis (Braun-Blanquet 1932). The testate amoeba community was quantified from soil suspensions by the direct counting of morphospecies under light microscopy. Site variables were measured and soil physical and chemical character was quantified for each of the 16 sampling locations. Plant and testate amoeba communities were defined by cluster analysis and characterized using indicator species analysis. The assembly of plant and testate amoeba communities was compared using standard measures of diversity, correlation and similarity. The influence of site variables on community succession was estimated using multivariate techniques.

Objectives, expectations and hypotheses tested

In light of the unpredictability of diversity correlations among different functional groups, the overarching objective of this study was to determine if aboveground and belowground communities are linked during primary succession. As the first landscape-scale investigation of testate amoebae in a boreal-maritime ecosystem, a secondary objective was to extend our understanding of testate amoeba ecology and morphologic patterns across a variety of habitats. Successional change in plant and testate amoeba diversity and community composition and structure is described, the site variables that best predict this change are identified and a conceptual model of aboveground and belowground community succession from primary substrates is proposed in Chapter 2. New testate amoeba species records and changes in test morphology and test composition are presented in Appendix I. The bioindication potential of this group of protists is also evaluated in Appendix I.

With respect to aboveground-belowground successional patterns, it was expected that the diversities of plant and testate amoeba communities would increase along the chronosequence in response to greater structural complexity of the environment (Matthews 1992), and that on lateseral terrains, where presumably the frequency and specificity of species interactions are greater, successive plant or testate amoeba communities would be more similar in species composition and structure (Kowalchuck et al. 2002). It was also predicted that, due to the connection of plants and testate amoebae through the soil environment and the influence of abiotic site variables on community dynamics, succession of plant and testate amoeba communities would be best explained by site variables relating to soil development. Successional change in soil condition was also expected to select morphospecies of testate amoeba. Specific predictions were that small individuals with protected apertures would be replaced by larger individuals with exposed apertures as soil moisture and resource availability increased with successional time; and that siliceous tests would replace agglutinated mineral tests due to a successional increase in the availability of raw materials for test construction (Foissner 1987). Due to the length of the successional gradient investigated, it was also predicted that the assembly of plant and testate amoeba communities would predominantly occur by successive replacement of species (Hodkinson et al. 2004).

 How tightly are aboveground and belowground communities linked? A comparison of primary successional patterns along a proglacial chronosequence in Kenai Fjords, Alaska

Abstract

The links among aboveground and belowground biotic communities are well understood to be multiple, reciprocal and specific (Wardle et al. 2004a), but the patterns of community interaction are not well-established in space and time (van der Putten 2005). To gain a better understanding of the links between aboveground and belowground communities and to determine the consistency of community assembly patterns across scales and between functional groups, terrestrial plant and soil protozoan (testate amoeba) communities were surveyed along a proglacial chronosequence located in Kenai Fjords, Alaska. It was found that the assembly patterns of plant and testate amoeba communities are remarkably similar. The diversity of both communities increases rapidly on recently deglaciated terrains then stabilizes across older terrains. Terrain age, soil development, and mesotopography influence the composition and structure of both plant and testate amoeba communities across the chronosequence. However, the correlations between biotic (plant and testate amoeba) community structures are generally stronger and more significant than correlations between biotic communities and abiotic site variables. The tighter correlation between plant and testate amoeba community development relative to the couplings between either of these groups and measures of site condition suggest that interactions between aboveground and belowground biota are more important than change in site condition to ecosystem development in this system. If future studies in diverse ecosystems corroborate the present findings, it may force reconsideration of the importance of macro-scale, abiotic site complexity in traditional successional theory.

Introduction

Understanding interactions among communities is a major challenge in ecology. Diversity patterns of different terrestrial groups are often not correlated or tend to decouple when extended across broader gradients of space or time (Prendergast et al. 1993, Scheu and Schultz 1996, Vessby et al. 2002, de Deyn and van der Putten 2005). The lack of diversity correlations among functionally different communities at local scales is somewhat surprising considering that diversity patterns are well-established at global scales. At the global scale, species richness tends to decrease with increasing elevation and latitude (von Humboldt 1849), community diversity is

generally reduced by competitive interactions between species and by constraints of their environment (Diamond 1975, Keddy 1992), and may be variably influenced by facilitation, tolerance, and inhibition mechanisms (Connell and Slayter 1977) in the context of resource availability (Tilman 1985). The reoccurrence of these successional patterns in geographically and compositionally disparate ecosystems suggests that an underlying mechanism is present, yet there is little consensus on what this mechanism might be (Tilman 1988).

Traditional ecological theory proposes that abiotic conditions drive species diversity and in turn species diversity drives ecosystem function. However, recent work indicates that biotic interactions, specifically those linking aboveground and belowground communities, may have a greater influence on the development of ecosystems than previously recognized (Wardle et al. 2004a). Failure to comprehensively explain the generation of aboveground successional patterns has expanded the traditionally narrow and macroscopic focus of successional investigations to include belowground communities.

The difficulty of visualizing the in situ soil environment and of replicating its complexity under experimental conditions, coupled to our incomplete taxonomic knowledge of soil organisms (Atlas and Bartha 1998, Young and Crawford 2004), limits our understanding of the primary successional patterns of micro- and mesofaunal groups and the interactions of these groups with aboveground biota. Despite the general ambiguity of successional patterns of soil organisms, some trends have been recognized. For example, primary substrates are frequently colonized by a heterotrophic community that is delivered from remote locations, and survives on the allochthonous input of live and dead organic material. Such communities precede and facilitate the establishment of pioneer plant species (Edwards and Sugg 1993, Kaufmann 2001, Hodkinson et al. 2002). Following this initial heterotrophic phase, it is generally accepted that the abundance, activity, and diversity of pioneer communities increases with successional age and that this change is largely mediated by the functional diversity of the plant community (Jumpponen et al. 2002, Ledeganck et al. 2003, Zak et al. 2003, de Deyn et al. 2004). Correlations between terrestrial plants and soil organisms should be particularly tight as the two groups are intimately connected through the soil environment and because lower trophic groups are typically more responsive to change in environmental condition. The evidence for lower trophic responsiveness, however, is mixed (de Deyn et al. 2004, Bardgett 2005). Plants and soil organisms interact via direct and indirect pathways. The activity of root herbivores, root pathogens and symbionts directly affect plant communities, whereas members of the belowground decomposer system, including testate amoebae, indirectly affect plant communities through their influence on soil fertility, chemistry, and physical structure. How these interactions play out over time is largely dependant on the type and specificity of the interaction, the relative abundances of the organisms involved, and initial site conditions (Al-Mufti et al. 1977, Reynolds et al. 2003, van der Putten 2005).

Terrestrial plants are linked to decomposing soil organisms via the "microbial loop". Through this loop plant communities contribute resources to belowground organisms as litterfall and rhizodeposition (root exfoliates and exudates). In return, belowground organisms promote plant growth by converting the minerals immobilized in plant biomass and soil organic matter to chemical forms that plant roots are able to assimilate (Clarholm 1985). Plant diversity theoretically controls the diversity of decomposer organisms through biomass inputs that vary in quantity, quality and structure; whereas the diversity of the decomposer community controls plant diversity by providing a variety of mineralized nutrients that are differentially useful to and thus partitioned among the plant community (Hooper et al. 2000, Reynolds et al. 2003, Harrison et al. 2007). The magnitude of the influence soil decomposers have on plant community composition can be moderated by the initial site conditions. Mineralization of plant biomass in infertile soils tends to increase plant community richness, but depresses plant richness in fertile soils (van der Putten 2005).

Testate amoebae were chosen to represent the belowground soil community in this study on the basis of their functional importance, ecological sensitivity, ubiquity, and ease of sampling. Testate amoebae are the primary grazers of bacteria in terrestrial communities. In coniferous forest soils they are identified as the dominant protozoan group and the greatest contributors to the mineralization of N and C (Schröter et al. 2003). Through grazing, testate amoebae return nutrients to the plant community; depending on the specificity of grazing activity, they may stimulate the release of root-growth hormones (Bonkowski and Brandt 2002). The tight ecological niches of most testate amoeba species produce a well-partitioned sequence of communities across spatial and temporal gradients. Specifically, testate amoebae have proved useful indicators of habitat, soil type, disturbance (Foissner 1987), and paleoclimate (Mitchell et al. 1999, Booth 2002) since their occurrence and activity are chiefly governed by soil pore space structure and the nature and availability of water. Short generation times (days) allow testate amoebae to respond quickly to changing microsite conditions; their small size (10-500 µm) and sessile nature makes population-level responses highly location specific. Testate amoebae are able to survive in any periodically moist environment but are most abundant in wet soils and freshwater sediments. The persistent test produced by testate amoebae (Figure 1.2) is wellpreserved in dry soil or fossil peat and test morphology can be used to identify species in soil suspensions using light microscopy.

Considering the unpredictability of correlations between different functional groups across gradients of space and time, this investigation aims to describe how aboveground and belowground communities are linked in primary succession. Description of plant and testate amoeba community assembly patterns across a successional gradient provides insight to the mechanisms of aboveground-belowground interactions and the influence of these interactions on greater ecosystem condition. Successional change in terrestrial plant and testate amoeba diversity, and community structure and composition is described and the site variables that best predict this change are identified along a proglacial chronosequence in Southcentral Alaska. It was expected that the: 1) diversity of plant and testate amoeba communities would increase in concert in response to greater structural complexity of the environment along the chronosequence; 2) similarity in community structure between successive pairs of plant and testate amoeba communities would increase across late-seral terrains where presumably the frequency and specificity of species interactions are higher; and 3) successional change in plant and testate amoeba communities would be best explained by site variables relating to soil development.

Materials and methods

Study Area

Successional change can be described from the long-term monitoring of a single site or inferred from a sequence of variably-aged terrains. Because successional timescales typically exceed human longevity, a chronosequence approach is often adopted as an alternative to long-term monitoring. The chronosequence approach, as applied to proglacial systems, represents a space for time substitution wherein distance from the front of a receding glacier is correlated to time since deglaciation. Although spatial and temporal variations in local conditions along a proglacial chronosequence preclude the exact reconstruction or prediction of successional pathways, this technique provides the best short-term approximation (Matthews 1992).

This investigation uses the McCarty Fjord proglacial chronosequence in the Kenai Fjords region of Alaska to infer primary successional processes. Kenai Fjords is a dynamic glacial landscape located in the Northern Pacific maritime ecoregion of Southcentral Alaska (Figure 1.4). In the period postdating the LIA maximum (*ca.* 1850 A.D.) most tidewater glaciers in Kenai Fjords receded dramatically (Wiles and Calkin 1994). The most impressive example of this glacial recession is the 20 km retreat of the McCarty Glacier over the 55 year period following 1905 (Wiles and Calkin 1993). The terrains exposed by this recession have been dated by a combination of bathymetry (Post 1980), historic aerial photography *ca.* 1950, 1984, and 2000

(Crowell and Mann 1995, Environmental Systems Research Institute 1998, National Park Service 2002), and dendrochronology (see Appendix IV); all comprise a study system with good chronological control across which patterns of primary succession can be investigated.

Site Selection

Sites for vegetation and testate amoeba characterization were located to capture the variety of plant community types developing on differently aged terrains along the McCarty Fjord. Young terrains located close to the glacier are barren or sparsely vegetated. With increasing distance from the glacier the plant community transitions from *Alnus viridis* ssp. *sinuata* (Sitka alder) and *Alnus viridis* ssp. *sinuata-Salix sitchensis* (Sitka Alder-Sitka Willow) shrublands to *Picea sitchensis* (Sitka Spruce) and *Tsuga mertensiana* (Mountain Hemlock) forests, to peatlands dominated by sedge and *Sphagnum* species. A total of 16 sites were selected, 15 of which are located along the length of McCarty Fjord. An additional site was added from the adjacent Northwestern Fjord so that one of the oldest plant community types, a *Sphagnum*-dominated peatland, could be represented in the dataset. Distances from the glacier to sampling locations were measured using the digital measurement tool in ArcMap (Environmental Systems Research Institute 2006). The distance measurements for Sites 1-12 are taken from the year 2000 terminus of the McCarty Fjord glacier; Sites 13-16 have not been glaciated since recession of the Wisconsin advance (10000 ybp) and are included as reference sites without an associated distance.

Vegetation Survey

At each site all vascular and the dominant non-vascular plant species (defined as those species with foliar cover exceeding 10%) growing in a 10 by 10 m plot were identified. Percent covers for plant species and types of unvegetated groundcover (*e.g.* gravel, cobble) were estimated for each plot (See Appendix X for a complete list of site variables). Where multiple vegetation strata were present, total foliar cover often exceed 100%. The soil profile was described from a shallow pit (30 cm maximum depth) dug at each site. Soil samples were collected at each site for testate amoeba survey and for physical and chemical analysis. Site soil samples were aggregated from three subsample locations that were located within the dominant groundcover and at a minimum separation distance of 2 m at each site. At each subsample location the top 10 cm of soil was collected using a push hand auger. At sites with co-dominant groundcover types, three subsamples were collected from each groundcover type for a total of two aggregate samples per site. The fine fraction (<0.075 mm minimum diameter) of each soil sample was analyzed for pH from a 1:1 slurry preparation, total organic C and total N using combustion analysis, and available

nitrogen (NH_4^+ , NO_3^-), Na, Mg, P, K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn by inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy. Field work was conducted in July and August 2005; soil analysis and the testate amoeba survey was completed in 2006.

Testate Amoeba Survey

Samples were prepared for testate amoeba survey following a modification of the stepwise filtration method of Hendon and Charman (1997). Air dried samples were shaken with 40 ml of deionized water for one minute. The soil solution was then sequentially washed twice through a 300 µm nylon mesh filter, once each through 100 and 20 µm filters, and finally back-washed through a 10 µm filter. The 10-100 µm fraction was retained for analysis and allowed to settle for 24 h after which the surface water was decanted. Sediment was pipetted from the settling containers to 1 ml, capped eppendorf tubes. After a second settling period of approximately 0.5 h any remaining water was pipetted from the tube and a volume of anhydrous glycerol approximately equal to the volume of sediment was added both to prevent microbial growth and to act as mounting media for slide preparation. The tube contents were mixed and added dropwise to a glass microscope slide. The ratio of glycerol to sample was adjusted for samples that were especially dense or sparse with respect to soil particles.

Testate amoebae were enumerated by direct counting. Slides were surveyed for testate amoebae in grid fashion using light microscopy at 400x magnification under phase contrast. One or more slide(s) were systematically scanned for a standardized total of six hours of survey effort. Species were identified in accordance with keys developed by Thomas (1958), Odgen and Hedley (1980), Ogden (1983), Meisterfeld (2000a, 2000b), and Mitchell (2003a, 2003b, 2003c, 2003d, 2003e).

Numerical Analysis

Taxonomic ranks were assigned at the species level for vascular plants unless the subspecies was the only representative of the species or genus in the dataset. Non-vascular plant species were classified as peat mosses (genus *Sphagnum*), true mosses (all other bryophyte genera), lichen or fungi. Bedrock type was inferred from the Geologic Maps of the Seldovia, Seward, and Blying Sound Quadrangles (Tysdall and Case 1979, Bradley et al. 1999). The following plots, site variables and species abundances had values greater than two standard deviations from the sample mean were considered outliers: Site 1 (based on the absence of plant and testate amoeba species), Site 3 (based on site variable values), and Site 6 (based on the high abundance [148 individuals] and richness [21 species] of testate amoebae); the percent groundcover of sand and bedrock (based on anomalously high cover on young and old terrains,

respectively); and the plant species *Agrostis aequivalvis, Erigeron peregrinus, Geum calthifolium,* and *Gentiana douglasiana* (based on anomalously high cover on older terrains). Due to the paucity of species data, Site 1 was not included in cluster, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS), Mantel or moving window analyses. Similarly, the percent groundcover of sand and bedrock for all sites were not included in NMS analysis. Otherwise, outlying plots, site variables, and species abundances were included in analyses to capture the natural range of variation in the dataset.

Plant and testate amoeba community types were delineated by both unconstrained and chronologically constrained cluster analysis. Unconstrained cluster analysis groups sites on the basis of species type and abundance and was performed using a group average linkage method and Sørenson distance measure. The group average method is a hierarchical, agglomerative clustering algorithm that associates sites based on their mean abundance values for each species. The Sørenson distance (dissimilarity) measure is a proportional coefficient that expresses city block (orthogonal) distance as a proportion of the maximum distance possible between two sites. Because this distance measure is expressed as a proportion, it does not return zero values and in this way circumvents our inability to assess how unfavorable an environment may be for a species when the species is not present (McCune and Grace 2002). Unconstrained community types were delineated from the dendrograms produced at a cut level where approximately 30% information remained. Plant communities were named after structurally dominant species, whereas testate amoeba communities were named after the species that best indicated their cluster. Indicator values were calculated for species following the method of Dufrene and Legendre (1997). Indicator species were then selected for their significant p values (p < 0.05) and high indicator values. Unconstrained cluster and indicator species analyses were performed using the multivariate software application, PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999).

Chronologically constrained cluster analysis is used to identify disjunctions in community composition along an ecological gradient, such as the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. Probability describes the likelihood that a cluster represents a distinct community. Connectedness describes the level of similarity required for a site to be included in a sample. Both levels of probability and connectedness can the varied in the analysis. For present purposes, sites were held (constrained) in successional order and distinct communities were identified along the chronosequence based on species type and abundance. Significant breaks in community continuity are based on significant dissimilarity between chronologically adjacent sites. Chronologically constrained cluster analysis was performed using R Package (Casgrain and Legendre 2006).

Plant and testate amoeba species occurrences across the chronosequence are presented in diagonalized matrixes produced by the software program WellPlot (Zippi 2003). To consider a meaningful number of species (63), plant species occurring at a frequency less than 1 or at trace foliar cover (<1%) were not included in this output. All testate amoeba species (58) were included in diagonalized matrixes because their communities are comparatively species poor. Both plant and testate amoeba species are ordered by first appearance in the chronosequence and secondarily by their relative persistence across the chronosequence. For example, species occurring early in the chronosequence and across few sites would be listed before a species occurring over several sites late in the chronosequence.

Both plant and testate amoeba species diversity was quantified using Shannon's index. This index was selected for its ability to discriminate between similar sites, its low sensitivity to sample size, and its wide use in community ecology literature (Magurran 2004). The Shannon index is calculated by the following equation:

$H = -\sum p_i \ln p_i$

Where p_i is the abundance of the i^{th} species and In is the natural log. Beta diversities were calculated as the product of mean alpha diversity (the average number of species across all samples) and gamma diversity (the total number of species in the dataset). Species evenness was calculated as:

$E = H/\ln(S)$

Where *H* is Shannon's diversity and ln (*S*) is the natural log of species richness. Species richness is simply the number of species recorded for a given site. Plant species cover was recorded as the sum of foliar cover for each individual species at a site. Testate amoeba abundance was recorded as the total number of individuals observed in a sample over 6 hours of microscopic survey. Plant covers and testate amoeba abundances were relativized to a proportion of 1 to facilitate comparison by linear correlation analysis. Species diversity and evenness measures were calculated using PC-ORD. Plant and testate amoeba diversity, richness, evenness, cover/abundance and distance from the glacier were compared using the linear correlation function in SPSS (2004). Slopes of linear equations were compared by computing the test statistic by the following formula (Zar 1996):

 $t = (b_1 - b_2)/(s_{b1 - b2})$

where the regression coefficient is:

$$b = \sum xy / \sum x^2$$

with $\sum xy$ as the sum of cross products and $\sum x^2$ as the sum of squares. The difference between regression coefficients is:

$$s_{b1-b2} = \sqrt{\frac{(s^2_{Y^*X})p}{(\sum x^2)_1}} + \frac{(s^2_{Y^*X})p}{(\sum x^2)_2}$$

where $(s^2_{Y^*X})p$ is the pooled residual mean and is calculated as:

 $(s^{2}_{Y^{*}X})p = (residual sum of squares)_{1} + (residual sum of squares)_{2}$ (residual degrees of freedom)_{1} + (residual degrees of freedom)_{2}

The Sørenson quantitative index was used to evaluate the similarity of successive sites with respect to species presence and abundance along the chronosequence. This index was chosen because it accounts for species abundance, differentiates samples well, and is not affected by the use of different measurement units (Magurran 2004). The Sørenson quantitative index is calculated by the following formula:

 $C_N = 2jN / (N_a + N_b)$

Where N_a and N_b represent the number of individuals in communities *a* and *b*, respectively, and *jN* represents the sum of the lower of two abundances for a species present in both communities. For example, if Sitka alder was present in community *a* at 20% cover and at chronologically adjacent community *b* at 45%, then the 20% value would be included in the sum *jN*. Pairwise comparisons began with Sites 2 and 3 and ended with Sites 15 and 16. Because this index is a measure of similarity, higher values indicate greater similarity.

The structure in plant and testate amoeba communities was displayed using NMS. This analysis is particularly applicable to ecological questions since it does not assume that species are distributed normally along a gradient or that they respond linearly to change in environmental conditions (McCune and Grace 2002). NMS was run in autopilot mode in PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999). The number of axes presented in the final solution was determined by the reductions in stress that inclusion of each successive axis produced. Additional axes that only minimally reduced stress were not included in the final solution. Only site vectors with an r^2 value greater than 0.200 were displayed and considered in the evaluation of organizing gradients. Graphs were scaled in proportion to the longest axis (the axis with the greatest range in ordination scores); vector lengths were not scaled. Site position and vector orientation were

rotated in multivariate space as noted in the results section. Plant and testate amoeba communities, as defined by unconstrained cluster analysis, were used in ordination biplots to help visualize the successional relationships between sites and to site variables.

Simple Mantel tests were used to evaluate the structural relationships between the plant, testate amoeba and site variable matrixes. Due to the high number of zero values, plant and testate amoeba matrixes were transformed by Beals smoothing prior to Mantel test analysis. Beals smoothing replaces each species abundance value with a probability of the species occurring at a given site and in this way eliminates zero values that might otherwise create artificial similarity between two sparsely populated matrixes (McCune and Grace 2002). The site variable matrix did not contain many zeros and was therefore not transformed. Mantel test results are evaluated in terms of the standardized Mantel statistic r (Sokal and Rohlf 1995), which ranges from -1 to 1 and the p value generated using the Monte Carlo randomization test. Because the plant species cover, testate amoeba abundance, and site variables are not necessarily independent within and between matrixes, the p value cannot be assessed with respect to traditional certainty levels, but is instead used to indicate the relative reliabilities of the r value. Mantel tests were performed in PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999).

Moving window analysis was performed to evaluate change in the plant, testate amoeba and site variable comparisons over successional time. Moving window techniques allow the analysis of multivariate data across a gradient and are particularly useful for the detection of sharp transitions in species composition between independent sites (Kent et al. 1997). Moving window Mantel tests were calculated from a reduced sample of ten consecutive sites (Legendre and Legendre 1998). The ten-sample window was advanced across the chronosequence one sample at a time to quantify the change significance and strength of correlations over successional time. The sample window began with Sites 2-11 and was advanced six times to end with Sites 7-16.

Results

Plant and testate amoeba communities were classified on the basis of species associations documented along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. Both unconstrained and chronologically constrained communities are identified. Refer to Appendix VI for plant community data, Appendix VII for plant species list and cover values, Appendix IX for testate amoeba community data, and Appendix X for testate amoeba species list and abundances.

Plant Community Types

Five plant communities that generally increase in cover, stature and complexity with terrain age were identified by unconstrained cluster analysis of plant species occurrence and percent foliar cover (Figure 2.1). Plant community types are numbered in successional order and are named in general accordance with Level III of the vegetation classification developed for Alaska, by Viereck et al. (1992). Site 6 split out due to high moss cover but was regrouped with the open alder cluster (Plant Community 1) on the basis of structurally dominant vegetation. Site 9 split out due to an abundance of *Equisetum arvense* in the understory but was similarly regrouped with the alder-willow cluster (Plant Community 2) also on the basis of structurally dominant vegetation. Plant indicator species were selected for their low *p*-values, which express the probability of that species occurring within a community by chance ($p \le 0.05$), and high indicator values (Table 2.1).



Figure 2.1: Unconstrained cluster dendrogram delineating plant community types along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

Plant community type	Indicator species	Indicator value	p value
1) Open Alder	Chamerion latifolium	100.0	0.005
	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	50.2	0.015
2) Closed tall alder-willow	Salix sitchensis	87.9	0.001
	Calamagrostis canadensis	73.8	0.002
	Equisetum arvense	78.0	0.036
3) Closed spruce	Picea sitchensis	88.9	0.030
4) Open hemlock	Cornus canadensis	100.0	0.045
	Lycopodium annotinum	100.0	0.045
	Menziesia ferruginea	100.0	0.045
	Veratrum viride	100.0	0.045
	Tsuga mertensiana	95.8	0.050
5) Wetland	Lichen species	93.7	0.013
	Andromeda polifolia	100.0	0.030
	Carex stylosa	100.0	0.030
	Drosera rotundifolia	100.0	0.030
	Gentiana platypetala	100.0	0.030
	Loiseleuria procumbens	100.0	0.030
	Selaginella selaginoides	100.0	0.030
	Spiranthes romanzoffiana	100.0	0.030
	Trichophorum caespitosum	100.0	0.030

Table 2.1: Indicator species and indicator and *p* values for plant community types occurring along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

- 0. The **Depauperate** plant community is represented by a single site (Site 1) that was not included in cluster analysis due to its low vegetative cover. The proximity of this site to the glacier (0.2 km) creates an extreme local climate where trace covers of crustose lichen species are the only representatives of the biotic community. The substrate is comprised of cobble to boulder size material underlain by remnant ice.
- The **Open alder** community type, represented by Sites 2, 3, and 6, is characterized by 30-75% *Alnus viridis* ssp. *sinuata*, and is indicated by the presence of *Chamerion latifolium*. The plant species comprising this community typically establish in patches of organic matter that accumulate in protected sites between cobbles.
- 2. The **Closed tall alder-willow** community type, represented by Sites 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, and 10, is co-dominated by *Alnus viridis* ssp. *sinuata* (20-80% cover) and *Salix sitchensis* (2-30% cover), and is indicated by *Calamagrostis canadensis* and *Equisetum arvense*. *Picea*

sitchensis saplings are common on older sites of this type. A thin yet continuous organic horizon is typical.

- 3. The Closed spruce community type, represented by Sites 11 and 12, is indicated by the presence of *Picea sitchensis* (70-80% cover), and is characterized by a nearly continuous moss mat comprised of the forest mosses *Rhytidiadelphus loreus* and *Hylocomium splendens*. The depth of the organic horizon ranges from 13 to 15 cm.
- 4. The **Open hemlock** community type, represented by Sites 13 and 15, is characterized by *Tsuga mertensiana* (45-70% cover), and is indicated by the understory species *Lycopodium annotinum, Mensiesia ferruginea, Veratrum viride*, and *Cornus canadensis*. The thick organic horizon (25-33 cm) supports a nearly continuous moss carpet (80-90% cover) and a low shrub understory dominated by *Vaccinium ovalifolium*.
- 5. The Wetland community type is represented by the compositionally different Sites 14 and 16 established on terrains that differ in slope and depth to bedrock. Sedge fens with relatively thin organic horizons (18 cm) develop on steep (20 degree) slopes with shallow bedrock, whereas *Sphagnum* peatlands with relatively thick organic horizons (76 cm) develop on flat terrains with a greater depth to bedrock. Together these sites are characterized by over 60% cover of sedge species, 10-30% cover of *Sphagnum* species, and 15-20% cover of *Nephrophyllidium crista-galli*.

Testate Amoeba Community Types

Three testate amoeba communities were identified by unconstrained cluster analysis of species occurrence and abundance (Figure 2.2). Unlike the plant communities identified along the same chronosequence, testate amoeba communities are not successionally ordered. Community type 3 includes most (three of four) older sites. However, both Communities 1 and 2 include younger, recently deglaciated sites, indicating no correlation between community type and distance from the glacier or site age. Testate amoeba communities are named for their significant indicator species (Table 2.2). Site soil physical and chemical data are presented in Appendix IV, and a broad review of testate amoeba ecology is provided in Appendix XIV.



Figure 2.2: Unconstrained cluster dendrogram showing testate amoeba community types along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

Community		Indicator	
number	Community indicator species	value	p value
1	Corythion dubium	81.8	0.007
2	Centropyxis areophila var. aerophila	78.4	0.003
	Centropyxis areophila var. sylvatica	76.2	0.011
	Trinema complanatum	87.5	0.015
3	Quadrulella symmetrica	100.0	0.004

Table 2.2: Indicator species, and indicator and p values for testate amoeba community types occurring along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

- 0. The **Depauperate** testate amoeba community is represented by a single site (Site 1) and characterized by young mineral substrate comprised of cobble to boulder size material underlain by remnant ice. The local climate is extreme due to the sites' proximity to the glacier (0.2 km). This site was not included in cluster analysis as no testate amoebae were detected.
- The *Corythion dubium* community, represented by Sites 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, is associated with well-drained mineral soils and a diverse herbaceous understory. In addition to the presence of its indicator species, this community is characterized by high abundances of *Trinema* species (*T. lineare* and *T. complanatum*), *Phyrganella acropodia*,

Euglypha laevis, Schoenbornia species (S. viscicula and S. humicola), Centropyxis aerophila var. aerophila and Centropyxis aerophila var. sylvatica.

- 2. The *Centropyxis aerophila-Trinema complanatum* community is associated with mesic organo-mineral soils and dry and forest mosses. In addition to the presence of its indicator species, this community is characterized by high abundances of *Corythion dubium, Euglypha laevis,* and *Phyrganella acropodia.*
- The Quadrulella symmetrica community is associated with poorly-drained organic soils, Nephrophyllidium crista-galli understory, sedge fens, and Sphagnum peatlands. In addition to the presence of its indicator species, this community is characterized by high abundances of Nebela species (N. parvula, N. penardiana and N. tubulata, and Argynnia dentistoma).

Chronologically Constrained Community Types

When sites are constrained in chronological order, both plants and testate amoebae separate into two communities at all levels of connectedness and probability (Table 2.3). The break in plant community continuity occurs between Site 10, a recently deglaciated shrubland and Site 11, an older coniferous forest. The break in testate amoeba community continuity occurs between young, recently deglaciated Site 12, a Sitka spruce forest located just within the maximal extent of McCarty Glacier LIA advance and Site 13, a mountain hemlock forest located beyond the McCarty Glacier terminal moraine on unglaciated terrain. The break between Sites 12 and 13 is also seen for plants at higher levels of connectedness (0.7, 1.0). An additional break in testate amoeba community continuity is seen between coniferous forest Site 13 and sedge fen Site 14 at maximum connectedness (1.0). A weaker break, seen for testate amoebae at lower probability (0.05), shifts from Sites 3 and 4, to 4 and 5, to 5 and 6 with increasing connectedness. Site 1 is an outlier at all levels of connectedness and probability due to the paucity of plant species and the absence of testate amoeba species detected.



Table 2.3: Plant and testate amoeba communities as delineated by chronologically constrained cluster analysis. Thick horizontal lines indicate a significant change in community continuity; thin horizontal lines indicate significant, yet unstable change in community continuity. Grey fill indicates an outlying site.

The breaks in community continuity indicated by chronologically constrained cluster analysis are also apparent in diagonalized matrixes (Figures 2.3 and 2.4). For both plants and testate amoebae, compositional breaks are evident between young, recently deglaciated sites (located 0.2 - 22.3 km from the glacier) and older sites that predate the LIA (located at distances greater than 22.3 km from the glacier). For testate amoebae, this break also reflects greater evenness on older terrains. A depression in plant species richness is coincident with the forested community located at 21.8 and 22.3 km from the glacier.

Both plant and testate amoeba communities are assembled by the addition of species without a commensurate loss of species across recently deglaciated terrains, whereas communities established on older reference sites have been assembled with notable species loss and replacement.

Testate amoeba species are more widely distributed across the chronosequence than plant species. Of the vascular plant and testate amoeba species included in the diagonalized matrixes, 38% of testate amoeba species occur on both pre- and post-LIA terrains compared to 23% of plant species. There is an even division of plant species with distributions restricted to either pre- or post-LIA terrains; 39% of plant species occur on recently deglaciated terrains and 38% of plant
species occur on terrains that predate the LIA. Alternatively, a greater proportion of testate amoeba species are restricted to post-LIA terrains; 41% of testate amoeba species occur on recently deglaciated terrains, whereas the occurrence of only 21% of testate amoeba species are restricted to older, pre-LIA terrains.



Figure 2.3: Diagonalized matrix of plant species occurrence and cover ordered by increasing distance from the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Breaks in community continuity identified from chronologically constrained cluster analysis are indicated by a gap in the distance gradient. Plant species occurring along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence at a frequency less than one or at a cover less than 1% are not included in this figure.

Breaks in testate amoeba community continuity between Sites 3 and 4, 4 and 5, and 5 and 6, are coincident with fluctuations in testate amoeba abundance. Abundance is low at Sites 3 and 5, located 0.8 and 5.7 km from the glacier, respectively, but high at Sites 4 and 6, located 3.5 and 8.3 km from the glacier, respectively. The break in testate amoeba community continuity between Sites 14 and 15, located 30.5 and 30.6 km from the glacier, respectively, is coincident with a change in species composition.



Figure 2.4: Diagonalized matrix of testate amoeba species occurrence and cover ordered by increasing distance from the McCarty Glacier, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Breaks in community continuity identified from chronologically constrained cluster analysis are indicated by a gap in the distance gradient. All testate amoeba species detected along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence are included in this figure.

Diversity Trends

Changes in plant and testate amoeba community diversities are remarkably similar along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. Both communities quickly diversify within the first five years of deglaciation and stabilize on older terrains (Figure 2.5). Testate amoeba community diversity is generally higher than plant community diversity but regression of plant and testate amoeba diversity shows that they are strongly and positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.769$, p < 0.001) with testate amoeba diversity saturating more quickly (Figure 2.6). The greater strength and significance of the correlation between plant and testate amoeba species evenness ($r^2 = 0.566$, p = 0.001) relative to the correlation between plant and testate amoeba species richness ($r^2 = 0.303$, p =0.027) indicates the tight diversity relationship is likely driven by synchronous change in species occurrences as opposed to change in species number. There appear to be coincident, temporary depressions in plant and testate amoeba diversity on terrains deglaciated approximately 100 years ago (Figure 2.5). These lower diversity sites have the greatest percent coniferous canopy cover (70-80%), moss groundcover (85-100%) and the lowest soil pH (3.56-3.63) and soil nitrate concentration (0.9-1.2 ppm) of any site. Beta diversity values for plant and testate amoeba communities are similar; plant beta diversity is 0.172 and testate amoeba beta diversity is 0.190. Neither plant ($r^2 = 0.170$, p = 0.112) nor testate amoeba ($r^2 = 0.087$, p = 0.266) diversities are significantly correlated to distance from the glacier.



Figure 2.5: Comparison of plant (circles) and testate amoeba diversity (triangles) along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Two parameter power function best-fit lines are shown as the thin black line for plants and the thick red line for testate amoebae.



Figure 2.6: Correlation between plant and testate amoeba diversity (Shannon Index), McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. A logarithmic function best-fit line is shown.

Plant and testate amoeba community richness values are similar on early-seral terrains but appear to diverge across mid- and late-seral terrains (Figure 2.7). Average plant community richness (18.7 species) is higher than average testate amoeba community richness (10 species) and plant species richness is significantly correlated with distance from the glacier ($r^2 = 0.321$, p = 0.022). Testate amoeba species richness is not significantly correlated to distance from the glacier ($r^2 = 0.017$, p = 0.634).



Figure 2.7: Comparison of plant (circles) and testate amoeba species richness (triangles) along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Two parameter power function best-fit lines are shown as the thin black line for plants and the thick red line for testate amoebae.

Plant species evenness is generally lower than testate amoeba evenness across all terrain types (Figure 2.8). Neither plant ($r^2 = 0.130$, p = 0.170) nor testate amoeba ($r^2 = 0.123$, p = 0.183) evenness are significantly correlated to distance from the glacier.



Figure 2.8: Comparison of plant (circles) and testate amoeba species evenness (triangles) along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Two parameter power function best-fit lines are shown as the thin black line for plants and the thick red line for testate amoebae.

Plant relative foliar cover increases across the McCarty Fjord chronosequence compared to the generally lower and more stable relative abundance of testate amoebae (Figure 2.9). Plant foliar cover is significantly correlated to distance from the glacier ($r^2 = 0.315$, p = 0.024); however, testate amoeba abundance is not significantly correlated to distance from the glacier ($r^2 = 0.385$). Presumably due to the high variation in abundance values, the slopes describing the linear relation of plant and testate amoeba abundance to distance from the glacier are not statistically different (|t| = 0.468, $t_{0.05(2),28} = 2.048$). The average percent foliar cover of plants is 181%; the average number of testate amoebae per site is 39 individuals.



Figure 2.9: Comparison of plant relative foliar cover (circles) and testate amoeba relative abundance (triangles) along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Two parameter power function best-fit lines are shown as the thin black line for plants and the thick red line for testate amoebae.

The quantitative similarity for successive sites, calculated from abundance data, is not correlated between plant and testate amoeba communities (Figure 2.10, $r^2 = 0.184$, p < 0.126). Plant community similarity is variable yet stable across all terrain ages whereas testate amoeba community similarity appears to be low on early-seral sites, peaks on mid-seral sites and declines across late-seral sites.



Figure 2.10: Comparison of Sørenson quantitative index of similarity values for successive pairs of plant (circles) or testate amoeba (triangles) sites along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

Influence of Site Variables on Biotic Communities

The structure of plant and testate amoeba communities is influenced by a remarkably similar set of site variables (Figure 2.11). Both plant and testate amoeba communities are principally structured by variables relating to site successional status (axis 1) and secondarily by site mesotopography (axis 2). Site age and soil development best describe the successional status of sites and organize plant communities in rough chronological order. The organization of testate amoeba communities appears to be more tightly related to understory type and soil character. Regardless of successional status, testate amoeba communities associated with herbaceous understory and mineral soils are located to the upper left, communities associated with dry forest mosses and organo-mineral soils are located to the low center, and communities associated with peat mosses and organic soils are located to the upper right (Figure 2.11a). Physical soil development is indicated by greater retention of organic matter in the system and decreases in grain size for both plant and testate amoeba communities, and also by decreases in unvegetated and cobbled surface area for plant communities. Chemical soil development is characterized by a decrease in P for both communities and by an increase in Zn and decrease in Mn for plant communities.

Plant and testate amoeba communities are spread orthogonally along the successional axis by site elevation. Although an attempt was made to control for change in elevation by restricting sites to a topographic band ranging from 11.0 to 169.2 m in elevation, this variable still provides significant influence on the position of both plant and testate amoeba communities in multivariate space. In addition to elevation, plant communities are also influenced by pH and testate amoeba communities are also influenced by soil concentrations of K and Zn and the presence of surface gravel and cobble.



Legend:

Cobble	percent ground surface area comprised of mineral material >20 cm diameter
Elev	elevation (m above sea level)
% Fines	percent weight of fine soil material (<0.075 mm minimum dimension)
Gravel	percent ground surface area comprised of mineral material with diameter between 2 mm - 20 cm
Mn	concentration of Manganese in the soil (ppm)
Organics	thickness of the organic horizon (cm)
P	concentration of Phosphorus in the soil (ppm)
рН	soil pH measured from 1:1 slurry preparation
Site Age	for sites 2-12, Site Age corresponds to distance from the position of the glacier in 2000, for sites 13-16 Site Age corresponds to an equal yet arbitrary distance (30 km) which locates these reference sites outside the extent of LIA glaciation
Unveg	percent unvegetated ground surface area
Zn	concentration of Zinc in the soil (ppm)

Figures 2.11a and 2.11b: NMS biplots of plant (2.11a) and testate amoeba (2.11b) communities located along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska, ordinated by site variables. Community types are defined by unconstrained cluster analysis and are numbered in successional order. Figure 2.11a: axes 1 and 2 capture 55% and 35% of the variation in the plant community dataset, respectively. Figure 2.11b: axes 1 and 2 capture 60% and 16% of the variation in the testate amoeba dataset, respectively. Vector orientation and site locations were reflected horizontally and vertically in Figure 2.11a and were reflected vertically and rotated 90 degrees counterclockwise in Figure 2.11b to facilitate comparison.

Comparison of Community Structures

Contrary to expectations, plant and testate amoeba communities display a much tighter relationship to each other than they do to variables describing site condition. The similarity between testate amoeba communities and site variables is stronger than the similarity between plant communities and site variables; however, neither of the relationships are statistically significant (Figure 2.12).



Figure 2.12: Graphic representation of the relationships between plant and testate amoeba communities and site variables along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. Significant *p* values (p < 0.05) are in bold. Line length and thickness correspond to the strength and significance of the relationship where longer lines indicate a stronger relationship and thick lines indicate a significant relationship.

The Mantel moving window analysis allows comparison of variable correlations with increasing distance from the glacier front. This analysis shows that the relative strength and significance of the plant-testate amoeba relationship is maintained along the chronosequence. In contrast to the simple Mantel test, the testate amoeba-site variable relationship is stronger and more significant than the plant-site variable relationship when projected over a time-space gradient (Figure 2.13). Interestingly, the change in correlation strength and significance is not consistent for each variable pair. The average strength of the correlations increases and becomes more significant for comparisons between plants and testate amoebae and between plants and site variables, but remains relatively stable for comparisons between testate amoebae and site variables.



Figure 2.13: Mantel moving window correlations between variable pairs along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska. X-axis labels indicate the ten consecutive sites that were used to calculate the average Mantel test statistic. The plant-testate amoeba variable pair is represented by circles, the plant-site variable pair is represented by squares, and the testate amoeba-site variable pair is represented by triangles. Significant (p < 0.05) comparisons are shown as filled symbols.

Discussion

Despite differences in the resolution and successional ordering of plant and testate amoeba communities, the assembly of these functionally different communities appears to be highly related. The diversity patterns and responses of these groups to site variables are strikingly similar along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, but most interesting are the strong correlations between plant and testate amoeba communities relative to weaker correlations between these biotic communities and (abiotic) site variables.

Evaluation of Communities

Plant communities show greater resolution and are more responsive than testate amoeba communities to the successional gradients measured in this study. The greater number of plant

communities identified in the cluster analyses and their strong successional ordering relative to testate amoeba communities suggest that plants are better indicators of landscape-scale successional change. The five plant communities identified along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence show significant increases in foliar cover and species richness with increasing terrain age that are similar to patterns of plant community development along proglacial chronosequences worldwide (Matthews 1992). While the three testate amoeba communities identified correlate to variables as described earlier, they are not successionally ordered and diversity measures describing these communities do not correlate with terrain age (Figure 2.14).



Figure 2.14: Schematic drawing of plant, testate amoeba, and site variable successional change along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

It is presumed that the discrepancy in bioindication utility between these two functionally different groups may relate to the coarse scale of site variable measurements employed in this study. For example, change in terrain age was measured on a decadal scale and as a result, change in site variables was captured over scales ranging from hundreds of meters to kilometers. By nature of their larger lifeform and longer lifespan plants are more sensitive to broad scale and persistent change in environmental conditions; whereas small, short-lived microorganisms better indicate small-scale and transient environmental change (Sauberer et al. 2004).

Along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, breaks in plant community continuity coincide with the establishment of large coniferous tree species and to a lesser extent, with the diversification of the groundcover community. This suggests that the occurrence of plant species is responsive to large-scale successional change in landcover structure and species composition. Alternatively,

breaks in testate amoeba community continuity coincide with transitions in soil type and to a lesser extent with transitions in soil moisture and site elevation. A strong break in testate amoeba community continuity is observed across the McCarty Fjord terminal moraine where soils transition from thin organo-mineral layers to thick organic horizons. Weaker breaks are seen on older reference sites between mesic forest soils and saturated wetland soils and on variably-aged terrains between low and high elevations. The apparent response of testate amoeba communities to soil condition is consistent with numerous studies (Bonnet 1961, Coûteaux 1975, Chardez and Lambert 1981, Booth 2002) that have correlated testate amoeba occurrence and activity to the moisture and developmental status of soils.

Breaks in community continuity associated with elevation are thought to relate to change in soil condition in so far that high elevation sites may have experienced a longer ice-free period over which soils could develop. High elevation Sites 4 and 6 are proximal to the trimline formed by the McCarty glacier during the LIA (interpreted from field observations and satellite imagery captured in 2000). The rate of glacial thinning has been estimated at 1.2 m/yr between 1860 and 1909 as the glacier receded over its terminal moraine and at 27 m/yr between 1942 and 1960 as recession proceeded through deep water (Post 1980). If high elevations sites were deglaciated by lateral thinning before the glacier front receded upfjord of these locations, then these high elevation soils would be more developed and likely support a greater number and type of testate amoebae compared to chronologically adjacent, lower elevation Sites 3 and 5.

The coarseness of the testate amoeba communities defined in this study and the predominance of generalist species in community composition weakens their usefulness as bioindicators of site character on a landscape scale. The pattern of testate amoeba community assembly shows that species do transition into and out of the community and that no one species is dominant across the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. Generalist species such as *Phryganella acropodia*, *Centropyxis* species, and *Trinema* species that are associated with a variety of habitat types (Bobrov 2005) are common, and thus sites of differing abiotic or vegetative character often support similar assemblages of testate amoebae. The bioindication potential of testate amoebae has been largely demonstrated from peatland habitats because the ecological tolerance of most testate amoeba species are restricted to a narrow range of moisture and pH conditions (Mitchell et al. 1999, Mitchell et al. 2000b). To a lesser extent testate amoeba communities have been separated on the basis of marine, freshwater, and terrestrial habitat (Foissner 1987) and across finer divisions of soil development from acidic or basic mineral substrate (Bonnet 1964). It is suspected that the coarsening of testate amoeba communities outside of peatland habitats relates to the lower availability of water and is confounded by the generally larger scale of

investigations. In herbaceous meadows, the response of the soil microorganism community to change in plant diversity is restricted to the rhizosphere; little response is measured in the bulk soil (Kowalchuk et al. 2002). Thus, in drier soils, secondary parameters relating to rhizosphere condition may have greater influence on testate amoeba community composition. To determine if the lesser sensitivity of testate amoeba communities to site condition shown in this study is a result of insufficient sampling or a result of the generalist nature of testate amoeba species, future studies should test a nested sampling approach designed to capture site conditions at a range of scales.

As an aside, the average test length and test composition of testate amoebae documented along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence are significantly different for recently deglaciated (Sites 2-12) and older reference sites (Sites 13-16) with longer and siliceous tests more common on older reference sites (p = 0.015, p = 0.030 for length and test composition, respectively, independent samples t-tests, not controlled for phylogenetic effects, see Appendix I for further discussion). The greater correlation of testate amoeba size and composition to successional variables suggests that morphological parameters may better indicate landscape-scale environmental conditions than species presence (but see Booth 2001).

Comparison of Diversity Patterns

The patterns of plant and testate amoeba diversity shown in this study are consistent with general patterns of plant community development from primary substrates (Reiners et al. 1971, Matthews and Whittaker 1987, del Moral and Bliss 1993) and are generally supported for the primary succession of testate amoebae (Hodkinson et al. 2004, Wanner and Xylander 2005). Despite differences in survey methodology and inherent taxonomic richness between functional groups (the potential pool of vascular plant species is more than double that of testate amoeba species), plant and testate amoeba communities show similar trends of diversity, richness, evenness, and abundance. This similarity suggests that the assembly of their communities follow similar patterns. The stronger correlation between plant and testate amoeba evenness relative to species richness correlations indicates that highly-correlated diversity relationships are generally driven by synchronous change in species occurrence as opposed to change in species number, and suggests that the nature of the plant-testate amoeba link may be more functional than species-specific. The greater influence of plant function relative to plant identity on heterotrophic microbial populations has been shown in several field experiments (Tilman et al. 1997, Ledeganck et al. 2003, Zak et al. 2003, de Deyn et al. 2004) and may relate to underlying trends in biomass or carbon.

Interestingly, the strong correlation between plant and testate amoeba community diversity is maintained by low evenness in a rich plant community and high evenness in a species-poor testate amoeba community. Differences in species richness and evenness over successional time have been documented for diverse taxonomic groups (Bock et al. 2007) and may relate to different successional mechanisms operating for aboveground and belowground communities.

It is here proposed that the plant community may develop along divergent successional pathways towards a variety of rich community types, whereas testate amoeba communities may converge towards types characterized by an even distribution of species. Sub-alpine terrains not glaciated during the LIA support a variety of plant community types including *Picea sitchensis-Tsuga mertensiana* forests, Open *Tsuga mertensiana* wetlands, sedge fens, and *Sphagnum* peatlands. The larger species pools associated with mature terrains, combined with smaller patch size of late-seral landcover types, and ecoclinal effects produced by intergradation of these types, elevate plant richness on older terrains. Alternatively, increase in testate amoeba evenness with succession in an increasingly homogenous, late-seral belowground environment. The late-seral belowground environment along the Kenai Fjords chronosequence is composed of continuous, moist to saturated, heavily organic and acidic soils. The early-seral belowground environment, on the other hand, is significantly more heterogeneous, with smaller patches of thin organic soil in a matrix of coarse mineral substrates. A diverse assemblage of testate amoebae may disperse to and establish in these isolated soil microhabitats.

The change in soil heterogeneity along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence is approximately related to the character of testate amoeba communities collected from different groundcover types at the same site. Three pairs of such samples were collected from terrains of increasing successional status along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. The Bray-curtis distance (dissimilarity) measures for these pairs, listed in successional order are: 1.00, 0.76 and 0.69, where a value of 1.00 indicates complete dissimilarity and 0.00 indicates complete similarity. The 1.00 value describes the early-seral site because no testate amoeba were detected in one of the samples included in the sample pair. Although this is an extremely small sample size (n=3), the decreasing distance (i.e. increasing similarity) between testate amoeba communities with increasing successional age is suggestive that soil is more heterogeneous at younger sites and supports observations contained in this study. Thus, there is limited support for the idea that substrate heterogeneity drives the variability of testate amoeba abundance, richness, and diversity on recently deglaciated terrains.

Testate amoeba communities appear to converge towards a more even community type as the organic horizon coalesces and develops into a highly-decomposed, laterally continuous, and well-hydrated organic horizon. The existence of a truly late-seral testate amoeba community type provides additional support for a convergent successional pathway for belowground biota. Convergence of the testate amoeba communities has been shown to parallel the convergence of calcareous and siliceous soils to a brown forest soil (Bonnet 1961). Similar successional increases in evenness and corresponding decreases in diversity seen for soil invertebrates in related studies have been attributed to the more even use of resources in mature soils (Scheu and Schultz 1996). The operation of alternate successional mechanisms for aboveground and belowground communities may help explain why successional patterns for functionally compatible biotic groups tend to decouple when extended across temporal gradients.

While plant and testate amoeba diversity patterns are correlated, testate amoeba diversity saturates more rapidly across early-seral terrains. This more rapid addition of testate amoeba species is a likely consequence of their small size, short lifespan, and greater ability to disperse and survive adverse conditions. Moreover, a larger species pool of vascular plants with variable dispersal capabilities likely results in a more prolonged addition of new propagules and thus a less rapid saturation of plant species on early-seral terrains. Testate amoebae are able to disperse passively (predominantly by wind) or actively (by direct migration from adjacent soils) to primary substrates where they can establish founding populations in small pockets of organic material (Wanner and Dunger 2001). Such founders are exposed to a reduced range of environmental conditions during their short lives and are able to encyst when conditions exceed their biophysical tolerances (Foissner 1987). The early arrival and proliferation of testate amoebae on newly exposed terrain shown in this study provides limited support for the presence of a pioneer heterotrophic phase that is thought to facilitate plant establishment through the retention of nutrients (Edwards and Sugg 1993, Kaufmann 2001, Hodkinson et al. 2002).

The generally greater and more stable plant community similarity along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence compared to the lower and more variable testate amoeba community similarity may also relate to differences in size and life span in combination with sampling design. Study sites were located and characterized at scales to capture the gradual change in landcover type along the McCarty Fjord. It is likely that the testate amoebae community makes large compositional jumps between sampling locations due to the comparatively small size and short life span of its members and the large distance between sites. On early-seral terrains, different testate amoeba communities could develop from the random colonization of isolated and heterogeneous pockets of organic matter, which would contribute to the low community similarity

found at such sites (Fastie 1995, Jumpponen et al. 1999, Treves et al. 2003). The weak peak in testate amoeba community similarity on mid-seral terrains may be due to the abundance of generalist species that are able to survive over a greater range of environmental conditions. In addition, the late-seral decline in testate amoeba community similarity may relate to the development of even, yet compositionally different communities.

Temporary depressions in plant and testate amoeba diversity at coniferous forest Sites 11 and 12 (located 21.8 and 22.3 km from the glacier, respectively) may be related to shading and acidic litter inputs from the *Picea sitchensis* overstory, acidic exudates from the moss understory, and soil nutrient leaching. Canopy cover reaches a maximum (70-80%) at these two sites. Soil pH (3.56, 3.63) and nitrate (NO_3^- , a highly soluble form of nitrogen (1.2, 0.9 ppm)) levels reach minimum values also at these two sites. Plant diversity is likely depressed by shading and low nutrient availability due to the general recalcitrance of phenol-rich coniferous litter (Clarholm 2002), whereas depressions in testate amoeba diversity are thought to relate to the relatively greater presence of mycorrhizae in coniferous forests. Testate amoeba communities from coniferous forest soils have been shown to be less diverse than communities sampled in deciduous forest soils (Balík 1996). Moreover, mycorrhizae on *Picea* roots are known to reduce the presence of both bacteria and protozoa due to lower rates of root exudation (Bonkowski et al. 2001), which altogether compromise the quality of bacterial food for testate amoebae (Timonen et al. 2004).

Patterns of Community Assembly

The similarity of diversity patterns observed for both plant and testate amoeba communities along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence suggests that these communities are assembled in a similar manner. For example, pant and testate amoeba species rapidly colonize recently deglaciated terrain, after which, the addition of species is balanced by commensurate species losses. The transition from addition to replacement of species with successional time is particularly clear for the plant community where very few early-seral species are present in late-seral communities. This early-seral addition of species followed by the replacement and loss of species is a well-documented pattern in the primary succession of plant communities and is related to abiotic, dispersal, and competition limitations (Anderson 2007). The persistence of generalist testate amoeba species *Phryganella acropodia*, *Centropyxis* species, and *Trinema* species across the chronosequence weakens a similar transition assembly pattern for the testate amoeba community.

In addition to the likelihood of some species being limited by their poor dispersal capabilities, harsh abiotic conditions of recently deglaciated terrains certainly limit the early-seral addition of both plant and testate amoeba species along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. After colonization of the early terrains, plant species are added in accordance with life history traits that are associated with an increasingly resource-limited and thus, competitive environment (Tilman 1985, Chapin et al. 1994). Due to the proximity of mature terrains along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, dispersal is not considered a significant limitation to the development testate amoeba communities. However, species of vascular plants comprising mature communities are expected to vary considerably in their ability to disperse propagules to recently deglaciated terrains.

At first appearance, the few studies addressing the primary succession of testate amoebae present a contradictory picture of community assembly. Testate amoeba communities have been shown to assemble by the addition of species without commensurate species losses along a temperate microchronosequence (Wanner and Xylander 2005). Whereas those along a high arctic, proglacial chronosequence (Hodkinson et al. 2004) are purported to be assembled by successive replacement and loss of species. It is here suggested that this discrepancy can be explained by the length of the successional gradient investigated. The assembly of any community begins with the addition of species. Therefore, if focus is limited to the time interval or spatial sequence representing early colonization (e.g. Wanner and Xylander 2005) the pattern of assembly appears additive, while extended time-space gradients shows an eventual pattern of replacement and possibly species loss (e.g. Hodkinson et al. 2004). Although late-seral declines in diversity were not observed along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, declines have been observed for testate amoeba and microbial communities across long-term chronosequences in a variety of ecosystems (Hodkinson et al. 2004, Wardle et al. 2004b). Similar to plant community patterns, these late-seral declines are attributed to nutrient limitation.

Influence of Site Variables on Biotic Communities

The composition and structure of plant and testate amoeba communities are influenced by a remarkably similar set of site variables. Both plant and testate amoeba communities are principally structured by successional variables and secondarily by variables related to site mesotopography. Variables relating to terrain age and soil development organize both plant and testate amoeba sites in rough successional order across multivariate space. Because this and other chronosequence studies substitute distance from the glacier for time since deglaciation, the relative influences of time and soil development are not easily separated. Similar to biotic

communities developing along most proglacial chronosequences (Matthews 1992), site age (approximated as distance from the glacier) is the strongest single organizing variable for both plant and testate amoeba communities. The McCarty Fjord chronosequence site age is also paralleled by a suite of biological, physical, and chemical soil development vectors describing the thickness of the organic horizon, the percent weight of fine soil material and the concentration of soil phosphorous.

Soil Organo-mineral Character

Organic horizon thickness generally increases across primary chronosequences as the plant community increases biomass and subsequently the addition of litter to the soil environment (Crocker and Major 1955). Along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence, the increasing presence of coniferous, ericaceous, and Sphagnum species may accelerate the rate of organic matter accumulation on late-seral terrains through the addition of recalcitrant litter and the creation of acidic and anoxic soil conditions that retard decomposition (van Breemen 1995, Clarhom 2002, Mallik 2003). The increase in percent weight fine mineral material that organizes both plant and testate amoeba communities and the simultaneous decrease in percent cobbled surface area that organizes plant communities only, are measures of physical soil development. Weathering processes reduce the integrity and grain size of parent material over time, thereby increasing the presence of smaller grain sizes at the expense of larger material such as cobbles. In contrast to plants, the percent surface area of cobble and gravel organizes testate amoebae along the secondary axis that is related to mesotopography. It appears that, regardless of their age, both low elevation and rocky sites encourage the establishment of a testate amoeba community dominated by Centropyxis and Trinema species whereas, irrespective of their elevation, young and rocky sites encourage the establishment of Open alder and Closed Tall alder-willow plant communities. This discrepancy again highlights the greater sensitivity of the plant community to landscape-scale successional gradients and of the testate amoeba community to smaller-scale soil conditions. The decreasing prevalence of unvegetated surfaces in the succession of plant communities is simply a reflection of increasing plant biomass along the chronosequence.

Soil Phosphorous

Because phosphorus (P) is not biologically renewable yet is integral to life processes, declines in soil P concentration over time is common across primary successional gradients where biomass increases with substrate age (Crews et al. 1995). Across the nearby Exit Glacier chronosequence, foliar concentration of P and K increased in *Populus balsamifera* ssp. *trichocarpa* and Mn increased in *Anlus viridis* ssp. *sinuata* (Cusick 2001) presumably at the

expense of soil concentrations. The P gradient along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence is likely strengthened by a change in parent material from P-rich, marine-derived metasediments (Budnik 1974) to P-poor granitics that are spatially coincident with the McCarty Fjord terminal moraine (glacial position labeled "1860", Figure 1.4). The importance of Mn to the location of early-seral plant communities in multivariate space suggests that P may precipitate out of soil solution with bivalent Mn⁺² in young soils and that this occlusion of P may limit growth in early-seral plant communities (Atlas and Bartha 1998). Unlike autotrophic plants, heterotrophic testate amoeba communities are not significantly affected by high soil concentrations of Mn, likely because testate amoebae are able to metabolize organic P from a variety of sources including dead organic material, bacteria, micro-algae, ciliates, and other testate amoebae (Gilbert et al. 1998).

Soil Zinc

The different influence of Zn on plant and testate amoeba communities may relate to a combination of Zn harvest by plant roots, the changing vertical position of the rhizosphere relative to the zone of testate amoeba activity, and the soil sampling design employed in this study. Zinc is immobile and therefore uptake by plants depletes reserves around the root zone (Larcher 1995). In young soils with shallow organic layers the zone of Zn depletion and testate amoeba activity coincide in surface soils. However, on older terrains with thicker organic horizons, plant roots establish at greater depths causing the zone of Zn depletion to shift below the surface zone of testate amoeba activity. For this reason, the concentration of Zn in soils sampled may increase with plant community succession as the zone of Zn depletion becomes increasingly distanced from the soil sampling interval. Because testate amoeba activity is restricted to surface soils, the downward shifting zone of Zn depletion may not affect the succession of their communities. The variability of Zn concentration in surface soils may instead stem from change in mesotopography as the greater concentration of Zn in surface soils at low elevation could relate to the continual erosion and redeposition of upgradient, Zn-rich materials. It is also worth noting that Zn availability is inhibited by both high pH (>6.5) and phosphate presence (Barrow 1987) and is therefore oriented away from these vectors in multivariate space. The influence of K on testate amoeba communities in the process of becoming established on low-elevation, mineral sites is not known but may be an indirect measure of high pH at low elevations.

It is important to note that the soil nutrient values presented in this study are measured as relative abundances (ppm) and therefore cannot be interpreted as volumetric concentrations. Since soil bulk density decreases along the chronosequence as soils become more organic, the increases in soil nutrient abundance with terrain age for Zn might be diminished (or reversed) if values were analyzed as volumetric concentrations. Alternatively, the relationship between nutrients and terrain age would be strengthened for nutrients that decrease (P, K and Mn) in abundance along the chronosequence. Therefore, soil nutrient values should be used as a general index of nutrient availability only, in an approach similar to that of Potts et al. (2002), McDonald and Urban (2004), and Macel et al. (2007).

Site Elevation

Elevation is presumed to influence plant community composition primarily through change in exposure and local climate. Change in plant litter types and root exudates with increasing elevation has been shown to influence microbial biomass, composition, and activity and likely produces commensurate changes in the testate amoeba community (Zak et al. 2003, de Deyn et al. 2004). Mesotopography is thought to affect soil pH through its influence on drainage pattern and soil moisture. Due to the broadly u-shaped profile of the McCarty Fjord sidewalls, higher elevation communities are typically located on steeper, well-drained slopes where higher pH values would be expected. Lower elevation communities are located close to a break in slope where runoff has a greater residence time and anoxic and acidic soil conditions may be more frequent and persistent and would contribute to lower pH. Soil pH influences the composition of plant communities by changing the type and form of nutrients available in soil solution (Larcher 1995) and although the mechanism by which pH influences testate amoebae is not fully understood, numerous studies have separated testate amoeba communities on the basis of soil acidity (Bonnet 1961, Mitchell et al. 2000b, Tolonen et al. 1994).

Comparison of Community Structures

The increasingly tight coupling of plant and testate amoeba community structures over successional time may relate to a greater frequency and specificity of plant-testate amoeba interactions at high diversities (Kowalchuk et al. 2002) and a transition from allogenic to autogenic succession (Matthews 1992). Assuming that the sites included in the present McCarty Fjord chronosequence represent a true primary succession and that pertinent site variables were measured, the strong plant-testate amoeba covariation suggests that interactions between aboveground and belowground biota exert more influence on ecosystem development than broad-scale site condition. Site variables are secondarily important to aboveground-belowground community succession in so far that the breakdown of mineral material and the accumulation of organic matter over time produce the environment through which plants, microbes, and testate amoebae interact.

Experiments testing the links between plant and protozoan communities suggest that the interaction between the groups is reciprocal as the manipulation of one group produces a response in the other, and that this interaction is mediated by microbial heterotrophic primary producers (Clarholm 1985, Jentschke et al. 1995, Ledeganck et al. 2003). Increase in plant functional diversity has been shown to promote a proportional increase in testate amoeba species number and abundance, presumably initiated by the higher biomass and/or diversity of bacteria (Ledeganck et al. 2003). Complementary studies show that increases in microbial functional diversity promote plant uptake of N (Clarholm 1985) and increase root growth and branching (Jentschke et al. 1995) through the grazing activity of protozoa on bacteria and the subsequent release of mineralized N to plants. Microbial diversity patterns along proglacial chronosequences that are similar to those documented for plants and testate amoebae in this study provide additional support for a relationship between plants, microbes and testate amoebae (Tscherko et al. 2003, Nemergut et al. 2007). For these reasons, it is here proposed that the succession of plant and testate amoeba communities along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence is influenced by both an increasing presence of microbial heterotrophic primary producers and frequency of interactions between plant roots, microbes and testate amoebae. The reciprocal influence of plant roots, microbes, and testate amoebae is invoked over the influence of site variables on the basis of the greater strength of biotic correlations demonstrated in this study. Microbial heterotrophic primary producers are identified as the "missing link" because they are present at all stages of primary succession (Sigler and Zeyer 2002) and connect plants and protozoa directly via the microbial loop (Clarholm 1985). Plus, their community composition and structure change predictably across successional gradients (Ohtonen et al. 1999) and provides mutual influences on the diversity of both plant and protozoan communities (Ledeganck 2003).

Conclusions

The strong correlations between plant and testate amoeba communities shown in this study provide additional support for the importance of interactions between aboveground and belowground biota to ecosystem condition and development. As this study is descriptive in nature, the mechanism of the aboveground-belowground linkage cannot be determined absolutely, but is inferred to be driven by the quantity and quality of plant carbon inputs and the variety of mineralized nutrients made available by heterotrophic soil organisms. The secondary influence of abiotic site variables to biotic community structuring is an important finding that, if corroborated by experimental and descriptive studies conducted in ecologically and geographically disparate locations, may force reconsideration of the importance of abiotic site variables to complexity in traditional successional theory.

References

Al-Mufti, M.M., Sydes, C.L., Furness, S.B., Grime J.P. and Band, S.R. (1977) A quantitative analysis of shoot phenology and dominance in herbaceous vegetation. *Journal of Ecology*, **65**, 759-791.

Anderson, K.J. (2007) Temporal patterns in rates of community change during succession. *The American Naturalist*, **169:6**, 780-793.

Armbruster, W.S., Mulder, C.P.H., Baldwin, B.G., Kalisz ,S., Wessa, B, and Nute, H. (2002) Comparative analysis of late floral development and mating-system evolution in tribe *Collinsieae* (*Scrophulariaceae s.l.*). *American Journal of Botany*, **89**, 37-49.

Atlas, R.M. and Bartha, R. (1998) *Microbial Ecology: Fundamentals and Applications*, 4th Edition, Pearson Professional, 704 pp.

Balík, V. (1996) Testate amoeba communities (Protozoa, Rhizopoda) in two moss-soil microecotones. *Biologia, Bratislava*, **51:2**, 125-133.

Bardgett, R.D. (2005) *The Biology of Soil: a community and ecosystem approach*. Oxford University Press, New York. 242 pp.

Barrow, N.J. (1987) The effects of phosphate on zinc sorption by a soil. *Journal of Soil Science*, *38*, 453-459.

Beyens, L. and Chardez, D (1995) An annotated list of testate amoebae observed in the Arctic between the longitudes 27°E and 168°W. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, **146:2**, 219-233.

Bobrov, A.A. (2005) Testate amoebas and the regularities of their distribution in soil. *Eurasian Soil Science*, **38:9**, 1001-1008.

Bock, C.E., Jones, Z.F. and Bock J.H. (2007) Relationships between species richness, evenness, and abundance in a southwestern savanna. *Ecology*, **88:5**, 1322-1327.

Bonkowski, M., Jentschke, G. and Scheu, S. (2001) Contrasting effects of microbial partners in the rhizosphere: interactions between Norway Spruce seedlings (*Picea aibes* Karst.), mycorrhiza (*Paxillus involutus* (Batsch) Fr.) and naked amoebae (protozoa). *Applied Soil Ecology*, **18**, 193-204.

Bonkowski, M. and Brandt, F. (2002) Do soil protozoa enhance plant growth by hormonal effects? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **34**, 1709-1715.

Bonnet, L. (1961) Les thécamoebiens, indicateurs pédologiques, et la notion de climax. *Extrait du Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse*, **96:1-2**, 80-86.

Bonnet, L. (1964) Le peuplement thécamoebien des sols: *Revue d'Écologie et de Biologie du Sol*, **1:2**, 123-408.

Bonnet, L. and Thomas, R. (1960) Étude sur les thécamoebiens du sol (II). Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse, **95:3-4**, 339-349.

Booth, R.K. (2001) Ecology of testate amoebae (Protozoa) in two Lake Superior coastal wetlands; Implications for Paleoecology and Environmental Monitoring. *Wetlands*, **21:4**, 564-576.

Booth, R.K. (2002) Testate Amoebae as paleoindicators of surface-moisture changes on Michigan peatlands: modern ecology and hydrological calibration. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **28**, 329-348.

Bradley, D.C., Kusky, T.M., Haeussler, P.J., Karl, S.M. and Donley, D.T. (1999) Geologic map of the Seldovia quadrangle, south-central Alaska: USGS Open-File Report OFR 99-18B.

Braun-Blanquet, J. (1932) In: *Plant Sociology, the study of plant communities*. Authorized English translation of Pflanzensoziologic (eds G.D. Fuller and H.S. Conard), McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc. Ney York, 439 pp.

Budnik, R.T. (1974) *The Geologic History of the Valdez Group, Kenai Peninsula, Alaska: Deposition and Deformation at a Late Cretaceous Consumptive Plate Margin*, PhD Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 139 pp.

Casgrain, P. and Legendre, P. (2006) R Package 4.0 for multivariate and spatial analysis.

Chapin, F.S., Walker, L.R., Fastie C.L. and Sharman, L.C. (1994) Mechanisms of primary succession following deglaciation at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecological Monographs*, **64:2**, 149-175.

Chardez, D. (1967) Histoire naturelle des Protozoaires thécamoebiens. *Les Naturalistes Belges*, **48:10**, 484-576.

Chardez, D. (1968) Étude statistique sur l'écologie et la morphologie des thécamoebiens. *Hydrobiologia*, **32**, 271-287.

Chardez, D. and Lambert, J. (1981) Thécamoebiens indicateurs biologiques (Protozoa Rhizopoda testacea). *Bulletin de l'Institut Agronomique et des Stations de Recherches de Gembloux*, **16**, 181-204.

Clarholm, M. (1985) Interactions of bacteria, protozoa and plants leading to mineralization of soil nitrogen. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **17:2**, 181-187.

Clarholm, M. (2002) Bacterial and protozoa as integral components of the forest ecosystem – their role in creating a naturally varied soil fertility. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, **81**, 309-318.

Clements, F.E. (1916) *Plant succession: an analysis of the development of vegetation.* Carnegie Institution Publication, 242. Washington, D.C. 512 pp.

Cohen, S.C. and Freymueller, J.T. (1997) Deformation on the Kenai Peninsula, Alaska, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**, 20479-20487.

Cohen, S.C. and Freymueller, J. T. (2001) Crustal uplift in the southcentral Alaska Subduction zone: A new analysis and interpretation of tide gauge observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **106**, 11259-11270.

Connell, J.H. and Slatyer, R.O. (1977) Mechanisms of succession in natural communities and their roles in community stability and organization. *The American Naturalist*, **111**, 1119-1144.

Coûteaux, M.M. (1975) Estimation quantitative des thécamoebiens édaphiques par rapport à la surface du sol: *Comptes rendus des Séances de l'Academie des Sciences, Paris*, Sér. D, **281**, 739-741.

Crews, T.E., Kitayama, K., Fownes, J.H., Riley, R.H., Herbert, D.A., Muller-Dombois D. and Vitousek P.M. (1995) Changes in soil phosphorus fractions and ecosystem dynamics across a long chronosequence in Hawaii. *Ecology*, **76:5**, 1407-1424.

Crocker, R.L. and Major, J. (1955) Soil development in relation to vegetation and surface age at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *The Journal of Ecology*, **43:2**, 427-448.

Crowell, A. L. and Mann, D.H. (1995) *Glacier Extent and Margins - 19th Century - Kenai Fjords National Park*, vector digital data, 1:63,360, created for the Alaska U.S. Geological Survey, Geologic Division, accessed online Fall 2006 at <u>http://nrdata.nps.gov/kefj/kefjdata/maxglac.zip</u>. Cusick, J.A. (2001) Foliar nutrients in Black Cottonwood and Sitka Alder along a soil chronosequence at Exit Glacier, Kenai Fjords National Park, Alaska. Masters Thesis. University of Alaska Anchorage. 183 pp.

de Deyn, G., Raaijmakers, C.E., van Ruijven, J., Berendse, F. and van der Putten, W.H. (2004) Plant species identity and diversity effects on different trophic levels of nematodes in the soil food web. *Oikos*, **106**, 576-586.

de Deyn, G. and van der Putten, W.H. (2005) Linking aboveground and belowground diversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **20:11**, 625-633.

Deflandre, G. (1928) Le genre Arecella Ehrenbeg. Archiv für Protistenkunde. 64, 152-287.

Deflandre, G. (1929) Le genre Centropyxis Stein, Archiv für Protistenkunde. 67, 322-375.

Deflandre, G. (1936) Etude monographique sur le genre *Nebela* <u>Leidy</u> (Rhizopoda – Testacea). *Annales de Protistologie*, **5**, 201-286.

del Moral, R. and Bliss, L.C. (1993) Mechanisms of primary succession: insights resulting from the eruption of Mount St. Helens. *Advances in Ecological Research*, **24**, 1-66.

Diamond, J.M. (1975) Assembly of species communities. *Ecology and evolution of communities* (eds M.L. Cody and J.M. Diamond), Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA. 506 pp.

Dufrene, M. and Legendre, P. (1997) Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs*, **67:3**, 345-366.

Edwards, J.S. and Sugg, P. (1993) Arthropod fallout as a resource in the recolonization of Mount St. Helens. *Ecology*, **74:3**, 954-958.

Eisner, W.R., Bockheim, J.G., Hinkel, K.M., Brown, T.A., Nelson, F.E., Peterson, K.M. and Jones, B.M. (2005) Paleoenvironmental analyses of an organic deposit from an erosional landscape remnant, Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **217:3-4**; 187-204.

Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc. (1998) *Glaciers, 1 to 1,000,000*, vector digital data, created for the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Land Records Information Section, accessed online, Fall 2006 at <u>ftp://ftp.dnr.state.ak.us/asgdc/adnr/glcr1mil.zip</u>.

Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc. (2006) *ArcGIS 9, ArcMap version 9.2*, a software program for the spatial analysis of geographic data.

Fastie, C.L. (1995) Causes and ecosystem consequences of multiple pathways of primary succession at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecology*, **76:6**, 1899-1916.

Felsenstein, J. (1985) Phylogenies and the comparative method. American Naturalist, 125, 1-15.

Finlay, B.J., Esteban, G.F., Clarke, K.J., and Olmo, J.L. (2001) Biodiversity of terrestrial protozoa appears homogenous across local and global spatial scales. *Protist*, **152**, 355-366.

Foissner, W. (1987) Soil protozoa: fundamental problems, ecological significance, adaptations in ciliates and testaceans, bioindicators, and guide to the literature. *Progress in Protistology*, **2**, 69-212.

Gilbert, D., Amblard, C., Bourdier, G. and Francez, A.-J. (1998) The microbial loop at the surface of a peatland: structure, function, and impact of nutrient input. *Microbial Ecology*, **35**, 83-93.

Gilbert, D., Mitchell, E.A.D., Amblard, C., Bourdier, G. and Francez, A-J. (2003) Population dynamics and food preferences of the testate amoeba *Nebela tincta major-bohemica-collaris* complex (Protozoa) in a *Sphagnum* peatland. *Acta Protozoologica*, **42**, 99-104.

Gleason, H.A. (1926) The individualistic concept of the plant association. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club*, **53**, 7-26.

Grant, U.S. and Higgins, D.F. (1913) Coast glaciers of Prince William Sound and Kenai Peninsula, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 526, 75 pp.

Griffiths, B.S. (2001) Spatial distribution of soil protozoa in an upland grassland. *European Journal of Protistology*, **37**, 371-373.

Grospietsch, T. (1958) *Wechseltierchen (Rhizopoden)*, Kosmos-Gesellschaft der Naturfreunde/Franckh'sche Verlagshandlung; Sammlung "Einführung in die Kleinlebewelt", 1-82 pp.

Hamilton, T.D. and Nelson, S.W. (1989) Introduction, Guide to the Geology of the Resurrection Bay-Eastern Kenai Fjords Area. *Alaska Geological Society, Anchorage, Alaska*, 1-4.

Harris, A.G., Tuttle, E. and Tuttle, S.D. (1997) *Geology of National Parks*, Fifth edition. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, USA. 758 pp.

Harrison, K.A., Bol, R. and Bardgett, R.D. (2007) Preferences for different nitrogen forms by coexisting plant species and soil microbes. *Ecology*, **88:4**, 989-999.

Haeusser, P.J. and Plafker, G. (1995) Earthquakes in Alaska. USGS Open File Report 95-624. 1 map.

Heal, O.W. (1962) The abundance and micro-distribution of testate amoebae (Rhizopoda:Testacea) in *Sphagnum*. *Oikos*, **13:1**, 35-47.

Hendon, D. and Charman, D.J. (1997) The preparation of testate amoebae (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) samples from peat. *The Holocene*, **7**, 199-205.

Hodkinson, I.D., Webb, N.B. and Coulson, S.J. (2002) Primary community assembly on land – the missing stages: why are the heterotrophic organisms always there first? *Journal of Ecology*, **90**, 569-577.

Hodkinson, I.D., Coulson, S.J. and Webb, N.R. (2004) Invertebrate community assembly along proglacial chronosequences in the high Arctic. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **73**, 556-568.

Hooper, D.U., Bignell, D.E., Brown, V.K., Brussaard, L., Dangerfield, J.M., Wall, D.H., Wardle, D.A., Coleman, D.C., Giller, K.E., Lavelle, P., van der Putten, W.H., de Ruiter, P.C., Rusek, J., Silver, W.L., Tiedje J.T. and Wolters V. (2000) Interactions between aboveground and belowground biodiversity in terrestrial ecosystems: patterns, mechanisms and feedbacks. *Bioscience*, **50:12**, 1049-1061.

Hubble, S.P. (2001) *The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey.

Integrated Taxonomic Information System, available at <u>www.itis.gov</u>, accessed Fall 2006, a database of plant taxonomy.

Jauhiainen, S. (2002) Testacean amoebae in different types of mire following drainage and subsequent restoration. *European Journal of Protistology*, **38**, 59-72.

Jentschke, G., Bonkowski, M., Godbold, D.L. and Scheu, S. (1995) Soil protozoa and forest tree growth: non-nutritional effects and interaction with mycorrhizae. *Journal Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **20:4**, 263-269.

Jumpponen, A., Väre, H., Mattson, K.G., Ohttonen, R. and Trappe, J.M. (1999) Characterization of 'safe sites' for pioneers in primary succession on recently deglaciated terrain. *Journal of Ecology*, **87**, 98-105.

Jumpponen, A., Trappe, J.M. and Cázares, E. (2002) Occurrence of ectomycorrhizal fungi on the forefront of retreating Lyman Glacier (Washington, USA) in relation to time since deglaciation. *Mycorrhiza*, **12**, 43-49.

Kaufmann, R. (2001) Invertebrate succession on an alpine glacier foreland. *Ecology*, **82:2**, 2261-2278.

Keddy, P.A. (1992) Assembly and response rules: two goals for predictive community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **3**, 157-164.

Kendrick, K.J. and Graham, R.C. (2004) Pedogenic silica accumulation in chronosequence soils, Southern California. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **68**, 1295-1303.

Kent, M., Gill, W.J., Weaver, R.E. and Armitage, R.P. (1997) Landscape and plant community boundaries in biogeography. *Progress in Physical Geography*, **21:3**, 315-353.

Kowalchuk, G.A., Buma, D.S., de Boer, W., Klinkhamer, P.G.L. and van Veen, J.A. (2002) Effects of above-ground plant species composition and diversity on the diversity of soil-borne microorganisms, *Antonie van Leewenhoek*, **81**, 509-520.

Lara, E, Heger, T.J., Mitchell, E., Meisterfeld, R. and Ekelund, F. (2007) SSU rRNA reveals a sequential increase in shell complexity among the Euglyphid testate amoebae (Rhizaria: Euglyphida). Accepted in *Protist*

Larcher, W. (1995) Physiological Plant Ecology, Third Edition. Springer, Berlin, 506 pp.

Ledeganck, P., Nijs, I. and Beyens, L. (2003) Plant functional group diversity promotes soil protist diversity. *Protist*, **154:2**, 239-249.

Legendre, P. and Legendre, L. (1998) *Numerical Ecology*, Second English Edition, Developments in Environmental Modeling 20. Elsevier, New York, 853 pp.

Levene H. 1960. Robust tests for equality of variances. In: *Contributions to probability and statistics*, (eds. I. Olkin, S. G. Ghurye, W. Hoeffding, W. G. Madow, and H. B. Mann) Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J.P., Hector, A., Hooper, D.U., Huston, M.A., Raffwelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D. and Wardle, D.A. (2001) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, **249**, 804-808.

Lousier, J.D. and Parkinson, D. (1981) The disappearance of the empty tests of litter- and soil-testate amoebae (Testacea Rhizopoda Protozoa): *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, **124**, 312-336.

Macel, M., Lawson, C.S., Mortimer, S.R., Šmilauerova, M., Bischoff, A., Crémieux, L., Doležal, J., Edwards, A.R., Lanta, V., Bezemer, T.M., van der Putten, W.H., Igual, J.M., Rodriguez-Barrueco, C., Müller-Schärer, H. and Steinger, T. (2007) Climate vs. soil factors in local adaptation of two common plant species. *Ecology*, **88:2**, 424-433.

Mallik A.U. (1993) Conifer regeneration problems in boreal and temperate forests with ericaceous understory: Role of disturbance, seedbed limitation, and keystone species change. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, **22:3-4**, 341-366.

Magurran, A.E. (2004) Measuring Biological Diversity. Blackwell Publishing, 257 pp.

Matthews, J.A. (1992) *The Ecology of Recently Deglaciated Terrain*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 406 pp.

Matthews, J.A. and Whittaker, R.J. (1987) Vegetation succession on the Storbreen Glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, Norway: A review. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, **19:4**, 385-395.

McCune, B. and Mefford, M.J. (1999) *PC-ORD: multivariate analysis of ecological data, version* 4.40. MJM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, OR.

McCune, B and Grace, J.B. (2002) *Analysis of Ecological Communities*. MjM software design, Gleneden Beach, Oregon, 300 pp.

McDonald, R.I. and Urban, D.L. (2004) Forest edges and tree growth rates in the North Carolina piedmont. *Ecology*, **85:8**, 2258-2266.

Meisterfeld, R. (2000a) Order Arcellinida Kent, 1880. *The illustrated guide to the protozoa* (eds J.J. Lee, G.F. Leedale and P. Bradbury) Society of protozoologists, Lawrence, Kansas, USA, 2, 827-860.

Meisterfeld, R. (2000b) Testate amoebae with filopodia. *The illustrated guide to the protozoa* (eds J.J. Lee, G.F. Leedale and P. Bradbury) Society of protozoologists, Lawrence, Kansas, USA, 2, 1054-1084.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2003a) The identification of Centropyxis, *Cyclopyxis, Trigonopyxis* and similar *Phryganella* species living in *Sphagnum*. unpublished material.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2003b) Identification key to the *Euglypha* species living in *Sphagnum.* unpublished material.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2003c) The identification of *Heleopera* species living in *Sphagnum*. unpublished material.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2003d) The identification of *Trinema* species living in *Sphagnum* and soils. unpublished material.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2003e) The identification of *Nebela* and similar species with indications on their ecology and distribution. unpublished material.

Mitchell, E.A.D. (2004) Response of testate amoebae (Protozoa) to N and P fertilization in a arctic wet sedge tundra. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, **36:1**, 77-82.

Mitchell, E.A.D and Meisterfeld, R. (2005) Taxonomic confusion blurs the debate on cosmopolitanism versus local endemism of free-living protists. *Protist*, **156:3**, 263-267.

Mitchell, E.A.D., Buttler, A.J., Warner, B.G. and Gobat, J. (1999) Ecology of testate amoebae (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) in *Sphagnum* peatlands in the Jura Mountains, Switzerland and France. *Ecoscience*, **6:4**, 565-576.

Mitchell, E.A.D., Borcard, D., Buttler, A.J., Grosvernier, P., Gilbert, D. and Gobat, J.M. (2000a) Horizontal distribution patterns of testate amoebae (Protozoa) in a *Sphagnum magellanicum* carpet. *Microbial Ecology*, **39**, 290-300.

Mitchell, E.A.D., Buttler, A., Grosvernier, Ph., Rydin, H., Albinsson, C., Greenup, A.L., Heijmans, M.M.P.D., Hoosveek, M.R., and Saarinen, T. (2000b) Relationships among testate amoebae (Protozoa), vegetation and water chemistry in five *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands in Europe. *New Phytologist*, **145**, 95-106.

Mitchell, E.A.D., Bragazza, L. and Gerdol, R. (2004) Testate amoebae (Protista) communities in *Hylocomium splendens* (Hedw.) B.S.G. (Bryophyta): relationships with altitude, and moss elemental chemistry. *Protist*, **155**, 423-436.

Molina, B.F. (2004) *McCarty Glacier:* from the online glacier photograph database. Boulder, Colorado USA: National Snow and Ice Data Center, World Data Center for Glaciology. Digital media.

National Climatic Data Center (2000) *Monthly Surface Data*. Available at http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html.

National Park Service (2002) Compressed Kenai Fjords National Park Satellite Imagery Mosaic bands 4,3,2, raster digital data, created for National Park Service, Alaska Regional Office, accessed online Fall 2006 at http://nrdata.nps.gov/kefj/kefjdata/kefj432.zip.

Nemergut, D.R., Anderson, S.P., Cleveland, C.C., Martin, A.P., Miller, A.E., Seimon A. and Schmidt, S.K. (2007) Microbial community succession in an unvegetated, recently deglaciated soil. *Microbial Ecology*, **53**, 110-122.

Nikolaev, S.I., Mitchell, E., Petrov, N., Berney, C., Fahrni, J. and Pawlowski, J. (2005) The testate lobose amoebae (order Arcellinida Kent, 1880) finally find their home within Amoebozoa. *Protist* **156:2**, 191-202.

Odum, E.P. (1969) The Strategy of Ecosystem Development. Science, 164, 262-270.

Ogden, C.G. (1983) Observations on the systematics of the genus *Difflugia* in Britain (Rhizopoda, Protozoa): *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Zoology)*, **44:1**, 1-73.

Ogden, C.G. and Hedley, R.H. (1980) *An atlas of freshwater testate amoebae*, Museum (Natural History) and Oxford University Press (London and Oxford). 222 pp.

Ohtonen, R., Fritze, H., Pennanen, T., Jumpponen, A. and Trappe, J. (1999) Ecosystem properties and microbial community changes in primary succession on a glacier forefront. *Oecologia*, **119**, 239-246.

Payne, R., Kishaba, K., Blackford, J., and Mitchell, E.A.D. (2006) The ecology of testate amoebae (Protists) in South-central Alaska peatlands: building transfer function models for paleoenvironmental studies. *The Holocene*, **16:3**, 403-414.

Peet, R.K. and Christensen, N.L., (1980) Succession: A population process. *Plant Ecology*, **43:1-2**, 131-140.

Pendleton, E.A., Thieler, E.R. and Williams, S.J. (2006) Relative Coastal Change-Potential Assessment of Kenai Fjords National Park. USGS Open-File Report 2004-1373.

Plafker, G. (1969) Tectonics of the March 27, 1964, Alaska earthquake. USGS Professional Paper, 543-I, 74 pp.

Post, A. (1980) Preliminary bathymetry of McCarty Fjord and neoglacial changes of McCarty Glacier, Alaska. *USGS Open-file Report* 80-424.

Potts, M.D., Ashton, P.S., Kaufman, L.S. and Plotkin, J.B. (2002) Habitat patterns in tropical rain forests: a comparison of 105 plots in northwest Borneo. *Ecology*, **83:10**, 2781-2797.

Prendergast, J.R., Quinn, R.M., Lawton, J.H., Eversham, B.C. and Gibbons, D.W. (1993) Rare species, the coincidence of diversity hotspots and conservation strategies. *Nature*, **365**, 335-337.

Reynolds, H.L., Packer, A., Bever, J.D. and Clay, K. (2003) Grassroots ecology: plant-microbesoil interactions as drivers of plant community structure and dynamics. *Ecology*, **84**, 2281-2291.

Reiners, W.A., Worley, I.A. and Lawrence, D.B. (1971) Plant diversity in a chronosequence at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecology*, **52:1**, 55-69.

Sauberer, N., Zulkab, K. P., Abensperg-Traunb, M., Bergc, H-M., Bieringerd, G., Milasowszkyb, N., Mosera, D., Plutzara, C., Pollheimere, M., Storcha, C., Tröstla, R., Zechmeistera, H., and Grabherra, G. (2004) Surrogate taxa for biodiversity in agricultural landscapes of eastern Austria, *Biological Conservation*, **117:2**, 181-190.

Scheu, S. and Schultz, S. (1996) Secondary succession, soil formation and development of a diverse community of oribatids and saprophagous soil macro-invertebrates. *Biological Conservation*, **5**, 235-250.

Schöenborn, W. (1966) *Beschalte Amöben (Testacea)* (ed. A. Ziemsen), Wittenberg Lutherstadt. 112 pp.

Schöenborn, W., Foissner, W. and Meisterfeld, R. (1983) Licht- und rasterelektronenmikroskopiche untersúchungen zur schalenmorphologie und rassenbildung bodendewohnender testaceen (Protozoa: Rhisopoda) sowie vorchläge zur biometrischen charakterisierung von testaceen-schalen. *Protistologica*, **19**, 553-566.

Schröter, D., Wolters, V. and de Ruiter, P.C. (2003) C and N mineralization in the decomposer food webs of a European forest transect. *Oikos*, **102**, 294-308.

Sigler, W.V. and Zeyer, J. (2002) Microbial diversity and activity along forefields of two receding glaciers. *Microbial Ecology*, **43**, 397-407.

Soil Survey Staff. (2006) Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 10th edition. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J. (1995) *Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*. 3rd edition. W. H. Freeman and Co., New York. 887 pp.

SPSS for Windows, Rel. 13.0. 2004. Chicago: SPSS Inc.

Thomas, R. (1958) Le genre Plagiopyxis Penard: Hydrobiologia, 10, 198-214.

Tilman, D. (1985) The resource-ratio hypothesis of plant succession. *The American Naturalist*. **125:6**, 827-852.

Tilman, D. (1988) *Plant Strategies and the Dynamics and Structure of Plant Communities*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, NJ. 360 pp.

Tilman, D., Lehman, C.L. and Thompson, K.T. (1997) Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity: Theoretical considerations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **94**, 1857-1861.

Timonen, S., Christensen, S. and Ekelund, F. (2004) Distribution of protozoa in Scots Pine mycorrhizospheres, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **36:7**, 1087-1093.

Todorov, M. (2002) Morphology, biometry and ecology of *Nebela bigibbosa* Penard, 1890 (Protozoa:Rhizopoda). *Acta Protozoologica*, **41**, 239-244.

Tolonen, K., Warner, B.G. and Vasander, H. (1994) Ecology of testaceans (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) in mires in Southern Finland: II. Multivariate analysis. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, **144**, 97-112.

Treves, D.S., Xia, B., Zhou, J. and Tiedje, J.M. (2003) A two-species test of the hypothesis that spatial isolation influences microbial diversity in soil. *Microbial Ecology*, **45**, 20-28.

Tscherko, D., Rustemeier, J., Richter, A., Wanek, W. and Kandeler, E. (2003) Functional diversity of the soil microflora in primary succession across two glacier forelands in the Central Alps. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **54**, 685-696.

Tysdal, R.G., and Case, J.E. (1979) Geologic Map of the Seward and Blying Sound quadrangles, southern Alaska: *USGS Miscellaneous Investigation Series* Map I-1150, scale 1:250,000.

van Breemen, N. (1995) How Sphagnum bogs down other plants. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, **10:7**, 270-275.

van der Putten, W.H. (2005) Plant-soil feedback and soil biodiversity affect the composition of plant communities. *Biological Diversity and Function in Soil* (eds., R.D. Bardgett, M.B. Usher and D.W. Hopkins), pp 251-272. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 428 pp.

Vessby, K., Söderström, B., Glimskär, A. and Svensson, B. (2002) Species-richness correlations of six different taxa in Swedish seminatural grasslands. *Conservation Biology*, **16:2**, 430-439.

Viereck, L.A., Dyrness, C.T., Batten, A.R. and Wenzlick, K.J. (1992) The Alaska Vegetation Classification. *General Technical Report*, PNW-GTR-286. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. 278 pp.

Vincke, S., van de Vijver, B., Nijs, I. and Beyens, L. (2006) Changes in the testacean community structure along small soil profiles. *Acta Protozoologica*, **45**, 395-406.

von Humboldt, A. (1849) Aspects of Nature in Different Lands and Different Climates; with Scientific Elucidations. Lea and Blanchard, Philadelphia, 475 pp.

Wanner, M. (1999) A review on the variability of testate amoebae: Methodological approaches, environmental influences and taxonomic implications. *Acta Protozoologica*, **38**, 15-29.

Wanner, M. and Dunger, W. (2001) Biological activity of soils from reclaimed open-clast coal mining areas in Upper Lusatia using testate amoebae (protists) as indicators. *Ecological Engineering*, **17**, 323-330.

Wanner, M. and Xylander, W.E.R. (2005) Biodiversity development of terrestrial testate amoebae: is there any succession at all? *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **41**, 428-438.

Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W.H. and Wall, D.H. (2004a) Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science*, **304**, 1629-1633.

Wardle, D.A., Walker, L.R. and Bardgett, R.D. (2004b) Ecosystem properties and forest decline in contrasting long-term chronosequences. *Science*, **305**, 509-513.

Wiles, G.C. and Calkin, P.E. (1990) Neoglaciation in the southern Kenai Mountains, Alaska. *Annals of Glaciology*, **12**, 319–322.

Wiles, G.C. and Calkin, P.E. (1993) Neoglacial fluctuations and sedimentation of an icebergcalving glacier resolved with tree-rings (Kenai Fjords National Park, Alaska). *Quaternary International*, **18**, 35-42.

Wiles, G.C. and Calkin, P.E. (1994) Late Holocene, high-resolution glacial chronologies and climate, Kenai Mountains, Alaska. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **106**, 281-303.

Wilkinson, D.M. (2001) What is the upper size limit for cosmopolitan distribution in free-living microorganisms? *Journal of Biogeography*, **28**, 285-291.

Whitfield, J. (2002) Neutrality versus the niche. Nature, 417, 480-481.

Wylezich, C., Meisterfeld, R., Meisterfeld, S. and Schlegel, M. (2002) Phylogenetic analyses of small subunit ribosomal RNA coding regions reveal a monophyletic lineage of euglyphid testate amoebae (Order Euglyphida). *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, **49:2**, 108-18.

Young, I.M. and Crawford, J.W. (2004) Interactions and self-organization in the soil-microbe complex. *Science*, **304**, 1634-1637.

Zak, R., Holms, W.E., White, D.C., Peacock, A.D. and Tilman, D. (2003) Plant diversity, soil microbial communities, and ecosystem function: are there any links? *Ecology*, **84:8**, 2042-2050.

Zar, J.H. (1996) Biostatistical Analysis, Third Edition. Prentice Hall International Editions, New Jersey, 662 pp.

Zippi, P.A. (2003) WellPlot 3.5 for Macintosh OS, PAZ Software Inc.

Appendices
Appendix I: Morphologic characters of testate amoebae and their relationship to successional gradients

Testate amoebae are small (10-500 µm), single-celled protozoa that produce a persistent shell (test). Because the tests of terrestrial testate amoebae are morphologically unique at the species level and species are sensitive to soil conditions, the occurrence of individuals in a given habitat can be used to indicate environmental condition (Foissner 1987). On this basis, the species compositions of testate amoeba communities have been successfully used to quantify landscape-scale soil gradients (Bonnet 1961) and to reconstruct peatland paleoecology (Booth 2002). Because soil conditions also influence the morphology of terrestrial testate amoebae, change in morphology at the species or individual level can also be used to indicate environmental condition (Wanner 1999).

The morphology of individual species of testate amoebae is influenced by water conditions, habitat structure, food resources (Wanner 1999), as well as phylogenetic ancestry. The early migration of testate amoebae from aquatic to terrestrial environments is thought to have paralleled evolutionary adaptation to lower water availability in a soil environment (Schöenborn 1966). To promote survival during low water availability, specific adaptations include decrease in overall size, reduction and protection of the aperture, and adoption of a more globular shape, all of which act to retard the rate of cytoplasm desiccation and facilitate encystment (Coûteaux 1975). Across shorter time scales, the phenotypic morphology of terrestrial testate amoebae is also influenced by soil conditions. Abundant soil moisture and larger pore spaces are shown to increase test size (Foissner 1987) and the type and availability of food and raw materials for test construction influences testate amoeba phenotypes on a species-specific basis (Wanner 1999).

Because the McCarty Fjord chronosequence terrains represent a gradient of increasing soil organics and depth, it was expected that the test morphologies and test compositions of testate amoeba species would demonstrate predictable transitions. This appendix describes the variations of test morphology and test composition with change in site condition and presents new testate amoeba species records for the Kenai Fjords region. A general introduction to testate amoebae and description of the McCarty Fjord chronosequence are presented in Chapter 1 "An introduction to community interactions in developing ecosystems". Sample preparation and site variable measurement methods are presented in Chapter 2, "How tightly are aboveground and belowground communities linked? A comparison of primary successional patterns along a proglacial chronosequence in Kenai Fjords, Alaska".

Test length, shape, test composition, aperture morphology, and taxonomic order were measured or assigned by the following methods. To calculate an average test length for each site, the longest dimension of the first individual of each species observed in a sample was measured using a digital micrometer. Additional measurements were taken as necessary, for example, to confirm the identity of an individual or to better capture the size range of a particularly abundant species. All measurements recorded for a given site were averaged to obtain a mean test length. This average was not weighted to account for species abundances. Average test lengths were regressed against site variables to evaluate the influence of site condition on test size. Site variables tested are listed in Appendices II, III and IV.

To evaluate the response of test shape to changes in site conditions, a ratio of the proportion of compressed test shapes to the proportion of terete (non-compressed) test shapes (Figure A.1) were compared to site variables. A proportional ratio comparing the two dominant test compositions, agglutinate and siliceous, was used to evaluate the response of test composition to changes in site conditions. Although proteinaceous and proteinaceous-agglutinate test compositions are represented in this dataset, 98% of all recorded species have either agglutinate or siliceous test composition. Similarly, a ratio of the most common aperture morphologies, acrostomic and plagiostomic, was used to evaluate the response of aperture morphology to changes in site conditions. Aperture morphologies were classified as axial, cryptostomic, plagiostomic, cotylostomic, or acrostomic (Figure A.1) following a simplification of the types presented by Chardez and Lambert (1981). Although axial, cryptostomic and cotylostomic or plagiostomic types.



Figure AI.1: Aperture and test morphologies used to describe testate amoeba species occurring in Kenai Fjords, Alaska.

Transition in taxonomic order was assessed by the ratio of species representing the Order Arcellinida to species representing the Order Euglyphida at a given site (See Appendix XIII for species membership). This ratio was compared to site variables to determine if site condition produced a phylogenetic signal. Test shapes, test compositions, and taxonomic designations were taken from the taxa descriptions prepared by Ogden and Hedley (1980) and Meisterfeld (2000a, 2000b).

Change in average test length, test shape, test composition, aperture morphology, and taxonomic representation was compared to change in site variables for only those terrains glaciated during the Little Ice Age (Sites 1-12, hereafter referred to as recently deglaciated sites). The validity of these comparisons was determined using linear regression. Differences between recently deglaciated sites and sites that have not been glaciated since the Wisconsin (10,000 ybp, Sites 13-16, hereafter referred to as reference sites) were assessed by comparing the mean test length, test shape (assessed as the mean ratio of compressed to terete forms), test composition (assessed as the mean ratio of siliceous to agglutinate compositions), aperture morphology (assessed as the mean ratio of acrostomic to plagiostomic aperture types), and taxonomic membership (assessed as the ratio of Arcellinida to Euglyphida members) for each age group. Equality of means was determined using an independent t-test. Equal or unequal variances were assumed depending on the significance of the Levene's test for equality of variances (Levene 1960). Normal distribution of the variable values, an assumption of the Levene's test, was determined using the D'Agostino-Pearson K^2 test (Zar 1996) which evaluates the normality of variance in terms of the kurtosis statistic. Significance of the kurtosis statistic is evaluated by comparison to the chi-squared distribution where the distribution is assumed to be normal if K² is greater than the chi-squared critical values.

Response of Test Morphology and Composition to Environmental Conditions

The test length, test shape and aperture morphology of testate amoebae occurring on recently deglaciated McCarty Fjord sites are best predicted by site variables related to soil nutrients, and variables describing the mineral soil fraction or general classes of plant cover (Table A.1). The average length of tests at a given site increases with total organic N, total organic C, the availability of Ca, NH₄, Fe, and Na and the percent weight of the fine soil fraction (listed in decreasing order of significance). Average test length decreases with greater availability of K. Terete tests become more common as the foliar cover of tall shrubs (with height greater than 1.5 m) and forbs increases, whereas compressed tests become more common with increase in the percent area of the ground surface occupied by cobbles. Less protected acrostomic apertures

become more common with increasing availability of Mn. The representation of species belonging to the Order Euglyphida increases with the availability of K and P, and the percent of the ground surface not vegetated; those belonging to the Order Arcellinida increases with elevation and the availability of Mn. Proportional change in test composition across recently deglaciated sites was not significantly related to any of the site variables measured.

Response variable	Predictor variable	Direction	r ²	p value
Average test length	total organic N	+	0.711	0.001
	total organic C	+	0.579	0.007
	Ca concentration	+	0.552	0.009
	NH ₄ concentration	+	0.546	0.009
	Fe concentration	+	0.493	0.016
	Na concentration	+	0.386	0.041
	percent weight fine soil fraction	+	0.378	0.044
	K concentration	-	0.374	0.045
Test shape	cobble ground cover	+	0.651	0.003
(compressed:terete)	tall shrub ground cover	-	0.436	0.027
	forb species ground cover	-	0.433	0.028
Aperture morphology				
(acrostomic:plagiostomic)	Mn concentration	+	0.552	0.009
Taxonomic order	K concentration	-	0.598	0.005
(Arcellinida:Euglyphida)	unvegetated ground cover	-	0.471	0.020
	elevation	+	0.416	0.032
	Mn concentration	+	0.370	0.047
	P concentration	-	0.368	0.048

Table AI.1: Strength and significance of significant linear regressions of testate amoeba test morphology and taxonomic order to site variables on recently deglaciated McCarty Fjord sites.

Mean test length and test composition are significantly different between recently deglaciated and older reference sites. No significant differences were found for test shape, aperture morphology or taxonomic order between recently deglaciated and reference sites. Tests are longer, and siliceous tests are more common on older reference sites (Table A.2).

Variable	Means for recently deglaciated Sites 2- 12	Means for reference Sites 13-16	Kurtosis statistic	Equality of variances <i>p</i> value	Equality of means p value
Average test length (um)	56.6	79.3	-0.141	0.448	0.015
Test composition					
(siliceous:agglutinate)	1.716	3.418	2.432	0.180	0.030
Test shape					
(compressed:terete)	1.366	3.268	2.151	0.000	0.208
Aperture morphology					
(acrostomic:plagiostomic)	1.912	4.085	2.199	0.026	0.166
Taxonomic order					
(Arcellinida:Euglyphida)	1.774	4.313	0.610	0.006	0.292

Table AI.2: Variable means for testate amoebae occurring at recently deglaciated and reference sites. *P* values indicating a significant difference of means are in bold.

New Testate Amoeba Species for Alaska

Twenty-three (40%) of the 58 species recorded in the 16 soil samples are new records for Alaska (Table A.3). Four additional taxa, (*Argynnia vitraea* var. *sphagni, Centropyxis constricta, Plagiopyxis callida* var. *grandis,* and *Playfairina valkanoivi*) were collected from within the Kenai Fjords region and are also new records but were not included in analyses since they were collected outside of the McCarty Fjord chronosequence. *Phyrganella acropodia, Trinema complanatum, Euglypha laevis, Centropyxis aerophila* and *Schoenbornia viscicula*, listed in order of decreasing dominance, comprise 48% of the total community membership.

Taxon
Centropyxis aerophila var. sylvatica
Centropyxis minuta
Difflugia ampulla
Difflugia gassowskii
Difflugia lithophila
Difflugia parva
Difflugia paulii
Difflugia stoutii
Difflugia tenuis
Edaphonobiotus campascoides
Nebela bohemica
Nebela collaris var. retorta
Nebela gracilis
Nebela parvula
Nebela sp. 1*
Nebela tincta var. rotunda
Nebela tubulata
Plagiopyxis callidia
Plagiopyxis declivis
Plagiopyxis declivis var. major
Plagiopyxis minuta
Porosia bigibbosa
Schoenbornia visicicula

Table AI.3: Testate amoeba species recorded from the McCarty Fjord study area representing new records for Alaska and science. *Indicates a species likely new to science.

One testate amoeba, *Nebela* sp. 1, may also be new to science (Figure A.2). However, confirmation of this individual as a new species is subject to detailed morphologic and molecular analysis. The single individual of *Nebela* sp. 1 observed was 161 µm long and 62 µm wide. The test is oblanceolate in shape and terete. The aperture is oval with an irregular margin, possibly due to the agglutination of particles or plates. The test margins and base are rounded. No spines were observed. This individual was collected from the highly organic soils of an *Eriophorum angustifolium-Sphagnum papillosum* peatland (Site 16). See Chapter 2 for description of Site 16 and Appendixes II, III, and IV for pertinent site variables.



Figure AI.2: Image of Nebela sp. 1 taken using light microscopy under phase contrast.

Including previous studies performed in Alaska, 117 testate amoeba taxa are now known from Alaska (Beyens and Chardez 1995, Mitchell 2004, Eisner et al. 2005, Nikolaev et al. 2005, Payne et al. 2006, n.b. this total does not include subspecific taxa, see Appendix XV for a list of species records and citations). The record of at least one species, *Edaphonobiotus campascoides*, represents a significant range extension. *Edaphonobiotus campascoides* was previously thought to have a distribution restricted to Gondwana but was subsequently recorded in the Laurasian continents of Europe (Schöenborn et al. 1983), Russia (Bobrov 2005), and now North America (this study).

Discussion of Morphological Trends

The influence of soil chemical and physical character and vegetation on the test size, test shape, aperture morphology and taxonomic occurrence of testate amoeba species suggest that the nutritional and structural quality of the soil habitat are important to the occurrence of testate amoeba morphospecies across recently deglaciated sites.

Greater nutrient availability is thought to allow larger testate amoeba species to establish and for all species to increase their test length or volume within their natural range of variation. Nitrogen and C are macronutrients essential to the production of biomass, whereas, the micronutrients Ca, Fe, and Na are essential to life processes in significantly smaller quantities. Nutrient availability has been related to testate amoeba community structure in several studies, although the mechanics of these relationships are largely unknown (Mitchell 2004). The response of testate amoeba species to several macro- and micronutrients, including C, Ca, Fe and Na concentrations in conspecific moss tissue along elevational gradients has been tentatively attributed to an effect on prey availability (Mitchell et al. 2004). Whereas change in species occurrence in relation to concentration of AI-Fe complexes, P, Ca, Mg, and pH level is attributed to the release of nutrients in bogs following anthropogenic draining (Jauhiainen 2002). Testate amoeba communities were shown to be closely related to water chemistry, specifically Ca, NO₃ and SO₄ in bogs (Mitchell et al. 2000b). The C/N ratio and N content of peat and the dissolved organic C and Ca concentration of water were important influences on testate amoeba community composition in mires (Tolonen et al. 1994). The reason for increasing test length with decreasing K availability shown in this study is not known. Potassium is essential to processes such as the regulation of cellular osmotic pressure and was therefore expected to be directly related to measures of testate amoeba productivity, such as test length; however, the present findings indicate an opposite relationship. Increase in the percent weight of the fine soil fraction may indirectly relate to soil nutrient condition and promote larger tests as smaller particles provide a greater surface area over which ions may be exchanged. Future studies targeting the mechanism through which nutrients affect testate amoeba morphology and occurrence will hopefully grant insight to the relationships documented here.

It is important to note that the soil nutrient values presented in this study are measured as relative abundances (ppm) and therefore cannot be interpreted as volumetric concentrations. Because soil bulk density decreases along the chronosequence as soils become more organic, the increases in soil nutrient abundance with terrain age for total organic C, Cu and Zn might be diminished (or reversed) if values were analyzed as volumetric concentrations. Alternatively, the relationship between nutrients and terrain age would be strengthened for nutrients that decrease (P, K and Mn) in abundance along the chronosequence. Due to this limitation, soil nutrient values should be used as a general index of nutrient availability only (e.g. see Potts et al. 2002).

The structure of soil pore spaces appears to influence the establishment of testate amoeba species in a given habitat on the basis of test shape. Sites with a high presence of cobbles are typically well-drained due to the high connectivity of large pore spaces. The greater frequency of species with compressed tests at these sites suggests that water is present in pore spaces as a water film that is most efficiently used by species with low-profile tests (Foissner 1987). The greater frequency of terete tests at sites with high foliar cover of tall shrub and forb species may also relate to pore space structure. Litter input from deciduous species amends the ability of soil to absorb and retain water and thus increases the pooling of soil water in pore spaces. Under conditions of abundant and pooled soil water, a compressed test provides no distinct advantage.

The reason that less protected acrostomic apertures become more common with increasing availability of Mn is not clear. This correlation may be purely coincidental or may relate to an effect of general nutrient availability on prey species that are preferred by testate amoeba species with acrostomic apertures. Response to increase in Mn is also reflected in the transition in taxonomic order from Euglyphida (dominated by species with filose pseudopodia and siliceous tests) to Arcellinida (dominated by species with lobose pseudopodia and agglutinate tests). Species belonging to Order Euglyphida become more common in communities associated with greater availability of K and P and low vegetation cover. Similarly, the reasons for this correlation are not obvious but may relate to a trophic or compositional division between orders. For example, species may have different nutrient requirements, pseudopod morphology, and test composition. These characters are used to define orders and together may influence where species can feed and construct their tests most efficiently. Additional research describing the requirements of testate amoeba taxonomic orders is necessary to answer these questions.

The transition in test composition from agglutinate to siliceous types with increase in distance from the glacier may relate to the relative availability of raw materials for test construction. Agglutinated mineral tests likely require less energy to manufacture in young soils where the appropriate sized mineral grains are common. Similarily, the silica necessary to manufacture siliceous plates becomes more available on late-seral terrains as quartz-rich soils age and weather (Kendrick and Graham 2004) and in organic soils where biogenic silica accumulates more rapidly, due in part to the death of siliceous testate amoebae (Bobrov 2005). For carnivorous testate amoeba species that integrate recycled plates in the construction of their siliceous tests, more frequent interactions with prey species in well-hydrated, organic soils would facilitate predation. The successional transition from filose to lobose testate amoebae observed by Wanner and Xylander (2005) was not supported by the results of this study, thus the change in test composition along McCarty Fjord is not phylogenetic in nature.

Conclusions

Although this dataset has not been phylogenetically corrected (see Felsenstein 1985, Armbruster et al. 2002 for discussions of phylogenetic comparative methods), the occurrence of testate amoeba morphospecies and phenotype morphology along the McCarty Fjord chronosequence does not respond significantly to change in terrain age. Variation in species morphology and taxonomy is instead related to measurements of soil nutrient availability and soil pore space structure. Stronger correlations to small-scale variables such as these suggest that testate amoebae may be better indicators of mesoscale soil gradients opposed to macroscale landscape gradients.

Appendix II: Positional Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Distances from the glacier were measured using the digital measurement tool in ArcMap (Environmental Systems Research Institute 2006). The distance measurements for Sites 1-15 are taken from the year 2000 terminus of the McCarty Fjord glacier. The distance measurement for Site 16 is not applicable since the site is located outside of the McCarty Fjord and the range of Little Ice Age glaciation. Latitude, longitude and elevation were recorded for each site with a handheld Garmin 76SMap GPS unit. Longitude references the North American Datum 1927. Terrain slope was calculated using a Suunuto clinometer. Aspect was recorded in degrees from magnetic north using a compass.

	Distance from glacier	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Slope	Aspect
Site number	(km)	(decimal degrees)	(decimal degrees)	(m)	(degrees)	(degrees from magnetic North)
1	0.2	59.74090543	-150.21324595	19.2	11	268
2	0.6	59.73825088	-150.21060959	42.7	15	270
3	0.8	59.73571267	-150.21535585	11.0	10	227
4	3.5	59.71675582	-150.25594329	81.4	23	80
5	5.7	59.69492926	-150.24284480	27.4	35	260
6	8.3	59.67801148	-150.29331802	144.5	40	100
7	8.4	59.67557880	-150.28769611	17.4	12.5	140
8	11.0	59.64999614	-150.27411726	23.5	37	310
9	11.2	59.64817710	-150.27305528	110.0	5	320
10	12.9	59.62988787	-150.25950233	19.8	30	310
11	21.8	59.56984260	-150.39088639	53.6	50	200
12	22.3	59.56627141	-150.39993868	16.5	5	135
13	30.4	59.47810946	-150.34305741	36.6	23	208
14	30.5	59.47643702	-150.33965092	131.7	20	283
15	30.6	59.47775440	-150.34162075	61.9	20	275
16	not applicable	59.53368005	-150.25583616	169.2	0	not avalible

Appendix III: Unvegetated Groundcover Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Cobbles are rocks with diameters greater than 20 cm. Gravel are rocks with diameters between 20 cm and 2 mm. Sand is material between 2 mm and 0.02 mm in diameter. Silt is material less than 0.02 mm in diameter. Wood is defined as material greater than 1 cm in diameter. Litter is poorly decomposed organic material less than 1 cm in diameter.

	Unvegetated	Bedrock	Cobble cover	Gravel cover	Sand cover		Wood cover	Litter cover
Site Number	cover (%)	cover (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Silt cover (%)	(%)	(%)
1	100	0.0	65.0	30.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	70	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.6
3	95	0.0	52.3	38.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.8
4	60	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.4
5	30	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	15.0
6	15	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	8.3
7	40	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	24.0
8	3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
9	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
10	50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	47.5
11	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	60	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.2
15	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.0
16	3	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Appendix IV: Soil Physical and Chemical Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Bedrock type was assigned based on the Geologic Maps of the Seldovia, Seward and Blying Sound Quadrangles (Bradley et al. 1999, Tysdall and Case 1979). Organic horizons were characterized in accordance with the USDA Keys to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff 2006). Fine material was separated using a <0.075 mm diameter hand sieve. The fine fraction of each soil sample was analyzed for pH from a 1:1 slurry preparation, total organic C, total N using combustion analysis, and available nitrogen (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻), Na, Mg, P, K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu and Zn by inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy.

		Organic	Percent														
		horizon	weight		Total												
Site		thickness	fine		organic	Total	NH4+	NO3-			Са	Mg	Na	Cu	Zn	Mn	Fe
number	Bedrock type	(cm)	material	pН	С	orgainc N	(ppm)	(ppm)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	(ppm)						
1	Metasediment	0.0	37	4.71	0.2	0.01	0.8	2.2	250	452	12	24	110	37	8	1.5	0.7
2	Metasediment	2.5	36	3.96	6.18	0.38	8.1	28.1	117	399	39	60	671	152	8	1.5	7.7
3	Metasediment	0.0	13	4.78	0.31	0.01	0.6	2.1	106	928	9	16	79	46	7	1.7	0.7
4	Metasediment	5.1	27	4.3	7.06	0.49	4.7	43.5	180	490	20	61	1400	155	11	2.1	3.9
5	Metasediment	5.1	30	4.96	39.36	2.19	52.3	4.5	99	321	106	928	3840	1390	54	2.8	35
6	Metasediment	7.6	25	4.87	19.92	1.26	13.9	58.6	56	19	117	399	3618	589	32	2.1	18.5
7	Metasediment	10.2	62	4.13	40.32	2.86	259	423	44	39	250	452	4322	650	34	2.4	34.2
8	Metasediment	2.5	35	4.13	2.37	0.15	4.8	4.1	45	50	11	100	380	138	17	1.4	2
9	Metasediment	5.1	27	4.17	9.23	0.57	7.3	11.5	12	24	20	79	727	158	16	8.7	7.5
10	Metasediment	2.5	57	3.83	45.57	3.04	248	215	9	16	180	490	3256	820	42	2.6	36.2
11	Metasediment	12.7	55	3.56	24.05	1.43	35.1	1.2	11	100	31	130	534	316	74	0.6	2.1
12	Metasediment	15.2	73	3.63	39.82	1.15	71.7	0.9	20	61	54	588	1572	802	113	1.4	9.3
13	Granodiorite	33.0	84	4.82	17.36	1.05	312	12.6	1	124	19	173	179	139	58	0.1	0.9
14	Granodiorite	17.8	79	4.49	21.26	1.39	65.6	1.9	4	83	1	124	106	65	40	0.6	0.7
15	Granodiorite	25.4	33	3.72	38.05	0.71	58.3	1.7	5	73	46	566	780	746	212	0.2	5.2
16	Granodiorite	53.3	60	4.76	39.83	0.91	50.8	11.2	18	104	4	348	2372	844	156	0.1	7.6

Appendix V: Dendrochronological Data for Tall Shrub and Tree Species Cored at McCarty Fjord Sites. The number of annual rings counted indicates the minimum time since deglaciation in years.

		Diameter (cm)		
Site Number	Species	measured at breast height (1.4 m)	Height (m)	Number of rings
2	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	6.35	3.7	20
3	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	2.54	1.2	3
4	Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa	20.574	10.7	26
4	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	9.398	4.6	24
7	Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa	not recorded	9.1	31
8	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	6.858	5.5	23
8	Salix sitchensis	10.16	4.6	12
9	Picea sitchensis	19.812	9.1	23
9	Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa	11.684	9.1	21
10	Picea sitchensis	17.78	6.1	37
11	Picea sitchensis	55.88	24.4	92
12	Picea sitchensis	32.131	18.3	70
12	Picea sitchensis	13.716	18.3	59
13	Tsuga mertensiana	35.306	10.7	390
14	Tsuga mertensiana	22.606	6.1	246
15	Tsuga mertensiana	60.452	18.3	334

Appendix VI: Plant Community Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Plant communities were defined by cluster analysis using PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999). Moisture classes were determined from the type and percent cover of plant species present at a site in accordance with the classification developed by Viereck et al. (1992). Percent foliar cover was summed from the percent covers of individual species recorded at a site. Percent foliar cover may exceed 100% when multiple strata of vegetation are present.

Sile number	Community type	Moisture class		Opecies ficilitess	Shannon's diversity
1	Depauperate	Dry	0	1	0.00
2	Open Alder	Dry	136	16	1.66
3	Open Alder	Dry	66	6	0.34
4	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Mesic	248	21	2.26
5	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Mesic	147	20	2.23
6	Open Alder	Mesic	109	23	2.36
7	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Mesic	236	19	2.01
8	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Mesic	247	26	2.18
9	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Wet	177	35	2.72
10	Closed Tall Alder-Willow	Mesic	221	15	1.94
11	Closed Spruce	Mesic	239	17	2.03
12	Closed Spruce	Mesic	185	7	1.06
13	Open Hemlock	Wet	326	38	2.59
14	Wetland	Wet	164	34	2.29
15	Open Hemlock	Mesic	274	19	1.92
16	Wetland	Wet	184	35	2.50

Cito numbor Community type Moisture class Percent foliar cover Species richness Shannon's diversity Appendix VII: Percent Foliar Cover of Plant Species at McCarty Fjord Sites. Taxonomic ranks are assigned at the species level for vascular plants. Vascular plant taxa not identifiable to the specific or generic level are identified by genus or life form, respectively. Non-vascular species were classified as moss, *Sphagnum*, lichen or fungi.

	Site number															
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Achillea millefolium var. borealis					3	10	1	0.01								
Agrostis aequivalvis													3	3		2
Agrostis alaskana		3							1							
Agrostis exarata					1		0.01	1								
Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata		75	60	65	30	30	80	40	20	80			1	1		2
Anaphalis margaritacea						4										
Andromeda polifolia														1		3
Anemone narcissiflora														1		
Arabis lyrata						0.01	0.01									
Aruncus dioicus											2					
Athyrium filix-femina		1		7	1	6	35	30	3	40	20					
Calamagrostis canadensis		7	0.01	3	7		10	10	15	3				1		0.01
Calamagrostis nutkaensis													5			
Campanula rotundifolia						2										
Cardamine oligosperma var.																
kamtschatica				1												
Carex anthoxanthea													6			
Carex macrochaeta									0.01		0.01		2			0.01
Carex mertensii		2		5	2	2	1	0.01	5							
Carex spp.										0.01						
Carex stylosa														1		1
Castilleja unalaschcensis						0.01										
Chamerion angustifolium ssp.																
angustifolium		2														
Chamerion latifolium		1	1			1										

Appendix VII: Plant Percent Foliar Cover of Plants at McCarty Fjord Sites (continued).

								Site n	umber							
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Conioselinum chinense																0.01
Coptis trifolia													1	0.01		0.01
Corallorrhiza trifida									0.01							
Cornus canadensis													3		3	
Cryptogramma sitchensis						1										
Deschampsia beringensis			0.01											2		
Deschampsia cespitosa ssp.																
cespitosa													2			
Dodecatheon jeffreyi																3
Dodecatheon spp.														0.01		
Drosera rotundifolia														0.01		4
Dryopteris expansa		1						10	5	20	20		1		3	
Elliottia pyroliflorus																5
Empetrum nigrum													40	5		10
Epilobium ciliatum				15	1.5	4	1	2								
Epilobium hornemannii		2			1.5	0.01		8	2							
Epilobium spp.					1											
Equisetum arvense				20	3	2		2	30	3						
Equisetum variegatum				0.01												
Erigeron peregrinus													2	2		2
Eriophorum angustifolium																55
FORB						0.01										
FUNGI								0.01								
Galium triflorum				2			3									

Appendix vii. Flant Fercent Fundi Cover of Flants at McCarty Fjord Sites (continued

	Site number															
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Gentiana douglasiana													3	3		2
Gentiana platypetala														1		1
Geum calthifolium													5	3		6
Geum macrophyllum				0.01					0.01							
GRASS					0.01											
Gymnocarpium dryopteris											20	0.01			5	
Harrimanella stelleriana													1			4
Heracleum maximum						10	5	0.01								
Heuchera glabra				2	2	10	3	20	1							
Huperzia selago														0.01		
Iris setosa														0.01		
LICHEN	0.01	1							0.02					5		5
Listera cordata												5			0.01	
Loiseleuria procumbens														1		2
Lycopodium annotinum													3		1	
Lycopodium clavatum													1	1		
Malus fusca													1			
Menziesia ferruginea													3		3	
Moneses uniflora												0.01			1	
MOSS		30	5	47	70	15	60	86	25	36	85	100	85	33	94	6
Nephrophyllidium crista-galli													70	20	1	15
Oplopanax horridus								1	0.01		5					
Orthilia secunda					1				2							

Appendix VII: Plant Percent Foliar Cover of Plants at McCarty Fjord Sites (continued).

								Site n	umber							
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Pedicularis parviflora														0.01		
Phegopteris connectilis										3			1			
Phleum alpinum				1												
Picea sitchensis									7	1	70	80	5	1	10	
Pinguicula vulgaris													0.01	0.01		0.01
Platanthera hyperborea													1			
Poa glauca		3		3	5	2	1	5								
Populus balsamifera ssp.																
trichocarpa				9			5		3							
Pyrola asarifolia									2							
Pyrola minor									4							
Rubus pedatus											3		3		5	
Rubus spectabilis				1				0.01	2	20	7		1		0.01	
Salix barclayi									15							
Salix sitchensis		2	0.01	20	15	5	5	30	30	2						
Sambucus racemosa				4			20	0.01	1	4	5					
Sanguisorba canadensis																0.01
Saxifraga nelsoniana								1								
Selaginella selaginoides														0.01		0.01
Senecio lugens						1										
Sorbus sitchensis var. sitchensis													1			
Sphagnum spp.	ſ										0.01		5	10	5	30

								Site n	umber							
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Spiranthes romanzoffiana														0.01		0.01
Stellaria borealis ssp. sitchana		2		1			3		1							
Stellaria crassifolia					1.5	0.01										
Stellaria crispa							0.01	1	1							
Stellaria longipes				30												
Stellaria sitchana								1								
Stellaria spp.						3										
Streptopus amplexifolius									1	1	2					
Thelypteris quelpaertensis													0.01			
Tiarella trifoliata										4	2					0.01
Trichophorum caespitosum														60		10
Trisetum spicatum		2			2	0.01										
Tsuga mertensiana													45	3	70	2
Vaccinium ovalifolium											3	0.01	5		75	
Vaccinium oxycoccos																1
Vaccinium uliginosum													5	5		12
Vaccinium vitis-idaea													2			
Vahlodea atropurpurea																0.01
Veratrum viride													1		1	

Appendix VII: Plant Percent Foliar Cover of Plants at McCarty Fjord Sites (continued).

Appendix VIII: List of Plant Taxa and Life Forms Recorded at McCarty Fjord Sites. Species names and authority are in accordance with the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (www.itis.gov, accessed Fall 2006).

Species Name	Species Cod	e Life Form
Achillea millefolium var. borealis (Bong.) Farw.	ACMI	forb
Agrostis aequivalvis (Trin.) Trin.	AGAE	grass
Agrostis alaskana Hulten	AGAL2	grass
Agrostis exarata Trin.	AGEX	grass
Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata (Regel) A.& D. Love	ALVIS	shrub
Anaphalis margaritacea (L.) Benth. & Hook. f.	ANMA	forb
Andromeda polifolia L.	ANPO	dwarf shrub
Anemone narcissiflora L.	ANNA	forb
Arabis lyrata L.	ARLY2	forb
Aruncus dioicus var. vulgaris (Maxim.) Hara	ARDI8	forb
Athyrium filix-femina (L.) Roth	ATFI	forb
Calamagrostis canadensis (Michx.) Beauv.	CACA4	grass
Calamagrostis nutkaensis (J. Presl) J. Presl ex Steud.	CANU	grass
Campanula rotundifolia L.	CARO2	forb
Cardamine oligosperma var. kamtschatica (Regel) Detling	CAOLK	forb
Carex anthoxanthea J.& K. Presl	CAAN10	sedge
Carex L.	CAREX	sedge
Carex macrochaeta C.A. Mey.	CAMA11	sedge
Carex mertensii Prescott ex Bong.	CAME6	sedge
Carex stylosa C.A. Mey.	CAST10	sedge
Castilleja unalaschcensis (Cham. & Schlecht.) Malte	CAUN4	forb
Chamerion angustifolium ssp. angustifolium (L.) Holub	CHANA2	forb
Chamerion latifolium (L.) Holub	CHLA13	forb
Cladina (Nyl.) Nyl.	LICHEN	lichen
Cladina rangiferina (L.) Nyl.	LICHEN	lichen
Cladonia P. Browne	LICHEN	lichen
Conioselinum chinense (L.) B.S.P.	COCH2	forb
Coptis trifolia (L.) Salisb.	COTR2	forb
Corallorrhiza trifida Chatelain	COTR3	forb

Species name	Species Code	Life form
Cornus canadensis L.	COCA13	dwarf shrub
Cryptogramma sitchensis (Rupr.) T. Moore	CRSI7	forb
Deschampsia beringensis Hulten	DEBE2	grass
Deschampsia cespitosa ssp. cespitosa (L.) Beauv.	DECA18	grass
Dicranum Hedw.	MOSS	moss
Dodecatheon L.	DODEC	forb
Dodecatheon jeffreyi Van Houtte	DOJE	forb
Drosera rotundifolia L.	DRRO	forb
Dryopteris expansa (K. Presl) Fraser-Jenkins & Jermy	DREX2	forb
Elliottia pyroliflorus (Bong.) S.W. Brim & P.F. Stevens	ELPY	shrub
Empetrum nigrum L.	EMNI	dwarf shrub
Epilobium L.	EPILO	forb
Epilobium ciliatum ssp. ciliatum Raf.	EPCI	forb
Epilobium ciliatum ssp. glandulosum (Lehm.) Hoch & Raven	EPCI	forb
Epilobium hornemannii Reichenb.	EPHO	forb
Epilobium hornemannii ssp. behringianum (Hausskn.) Hoch & Raven	EPHO	forb
Equisetum arvense L.	EQAR	forb
Equisetum variegatum Schleich. ex F. Weber & D.M.H. Mohr	EQVA	forb
Erigeron peregrinus (Banks ex Pursh) Greene	ERPE3	forb
Eriophorum angustifolium Honckeny	ERAN6	sedge
Forb species	FORB	forb
Fungi species	FUNGI	fungi
Galium triflorum Michx.	GATR3	forb
Gentiana douglasiana Bong.	GEDO	forb
Gentiana platypetala Griseb.	GEPL	forb
Geum calthifolium Menzies ex Sm.	GECA6	forb
Geum macrophyllum Willd.	GEMA4	forb
Gramminoid species	GRASS	grass
Gymnocarpium dryopteris (L.) Newman	GYDR	forb
Harrimanella stelleriana (Pallas) Coville	HAST3	dwarf shrub
Heracleum maximum Bartr.	HEMA80	forb

Species name	Species Code	Life form
Heuchera glabra Willd. ex Roemer & J.A. Schultes	HEGL5	forb
Huperzia selago (L.) Bernh.	HUSE	forb
Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) Schimp. in B.S.G.	MOSS	moss
Iris setosa Pallas ex Link	IRSE	forb
Lichen species	LICHEN	lichen
Listera cordata (L.) R. Br. ex Ait. f.	LICO6	forb
Loiseleuria procumbens (L.) Desv.	LOPR	dwarf shrub
Lycopodium annotinum L.	LYAN2	forb
Lycopodium clavatum L.	LYCL	forb
Malus fusca (Raf.) Schneid.	MAFU	tree
<i>Menziesia ferruginea</i> Sm.	MEFE	shrub
Moneses uniflora (L.) Gray	MOUN2	forb
Bryophyte species	MOSS	moss
Nephrophyllidium crista-galli (Menzies ex Hook.) Gilg	NECR2	forb
Oplopanax horridus Miq.	OPHO	shrub
Orthilia secunda (L.) House	ORSE	forb
Pedicularis parviflora Sm. ex Rees	PEPA4	forb
Peltigera Willd.	LICHEN	lichen
Phegopteris connectilis (Michx.) Watt	PHCO24	forb
Phleum alpinum L.	PHAL2	grass
Picea sitchensis (Bong.) Carr.	PISI	tree
Pinguicula vulgaris L.	PIVU	forb
Plagiomnium insigne (Mitt.) T. Kop.	MOSS	moss
Platanthera hyperborea var. gracilis (Lindl.) Luer	PLHY2	forb
Pleurozium schreberi (Brid.) Mitt.	MOSS	moss
Poa glauca Vahl	POGL	grass
Polytrichum Hedw.	MOSS	moss
Polytrichum juniperinum Hedw.	MOSS	moss
Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa (Torr. & Gray ex Hook.) Brayshaw	POBAT	tree
Ptilium crista-castrensis (Hedw.) De Not.	MOSS	moss

Species name	Species Code	Life form
Pyrola asarifolia Michx.	PYAS	forb
Pyrola minor L.	PYMI	forb
Racomitrium canescens (Hedw.) Brid.	MOSS	moss
Racomitrium lanuginosum (Hedw.) Brid.	MOSS	moss
Rhizomnium glabrescens (Kindb.) T. Kop.	MOSS	moss
Rhytidiadelphus (Lindb. ex Limpr.) Warnst.	MOSS	moss
Rhytidiadelphus loreus (Hedw.) Warnst.	MOSS	moss
Rubus pedatus Sm.	RUPE	dwarf shrub
Rubus spectabilis Pursh	RUSP	shrub
Salix barclayi Anderss.	SABA3	shrub
Salix sitchensis Sanson ex Bong.	SASI2	shrub
Sambucus racemosa L.	SARA2	shrub
Sanguisorba canadensis L.	SACA14	forb
Saxifraga nelsoniana ssp. nelsoniana D. Don	SANE3	forb
Selaginella selaginoides (L.) Beauv. ex Mart. & Schrank	SESE	forb
Senecio lugens Richards.	SELU	forb
Sorbus sitchensis M. Roemer	SOSI2	shrub
Sphagnum L.	SPHAG2	moss
Sphagnum papillosum Lindb.	SPHAG2	moss
Spiranthes romanzoffiana Cham.	SPRO	forb
Stellaria L.	STELL	forb
Stellaria borealis ssp. sitchana (Steud.) Piper	STBOS	forb
Stellaria crassifolia Ehrh.	STCR	forb
Stellaria crispa Cham. & Schlecht.	STCR2	forb
Stellaria longipes Goldie	STLO2	forb
Stellaria sitchana Steud.	STSI3	forb
Stereocaulon Hoffm.	LICHEN	lichen
Streptopus amplexifolius (L.) DC.	STAM2	forb

Species name	Species Code	Life form
Thelypteris quelpaertensis (Christ) Ching	THQU2	forb
Tiarella trifoliata L.	TITR	forb
Trichophorum caespitosum (L.) Hartman	TRCE3	sedge
Trisetum spicatum (L.) Richter	TRSP2	grass
Trisetum spicatum ssp. alaskanum (Nash) Hulten	TRSP2	grass
Tsuga mertensiana (Bong.) Carr.	TSME	tree
Vaccinium ovalifolium Sm.	VAOV	shrub
Vaccinium oxycoccos L.	VAOX	dwarf shrub
Vaccinium uliginosum L.	VAUL	dwarf shrub
Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.	VAVI	dwarf shrub
Vahlodea atropurpurea (Wahlenb.) Fries ex Hartman	VAAT2	grass
Veratrum viride Ait.	VEVI	forb

Appendix IX: Testate Amoeba Community Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Testate amoeba communities were defined by cluster analysis using PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999).

Site		Abundance	(number	Species	Shannon's
number	Community type	of individu	als)	richness	diversity
1	Depauperate		0	0	0.00
2	Corythion dubium		66	14	2.11
3	Centropyxis aerophila - Trinema complanatum		13	4	1.20
4	Corythion dubium		78	13	2.21
5	Centropyxis aerophila - Trinema complanatum		18	9	2.03
6	Corythion dubium		148	21	2.55
7	Corythion dubium		36	14	2.49
8	Corythion dubium		33	10	2.09
9	Corythion dubium		33	13	2.33
10	Corythion dubium		44	16	2.29
11	Corythion dubium		30	10	1.91
12	Centropyxis aerophila - Trinema complanatum		28	8	1.69
13	Quadrulella symmetrica		11	8	1.97
14	Quadrulella symmetrica		21	11	2.07
15	Centropyxis aerophila - Trinema complanatum		14	7	1.81
16	Quadrulella symmetrica		44	18	2.56

Appendix X: Abundance of Testate	Amoeba Species at McCarty Fjord Sites.
----------------------------------	--

							Sit	e n	umb	ber						
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Arcella arenaria var. sphagnicola		1								1						
Argynnia dentistoma											2			1	1	7
Assulina muscorum					1	1								2		2
Assulina scandinavica															1	
Centropyxis aerophila var. aerophila		5		5	1	13	2	5	6	4				1		
Centropyxis aerophila var. sphagnicola		1				4										
Centropyxis aerophila var. sylvatica				5		1	3	1	2	3	3					1
Centropyxis minuta						3										
Centropyxis orbicularis							4							1		
Centropyxis platystoma						2		2					2	1		
Corvthion dubium		7	6		3					1		7			2	
Cvclopvxis arcelloides			-		1											
Difflugia ampulla																2
Difflugia gassowskii				1												
Difflugia glans				1												
Difflugia lithophila									1							
Difflugia lucida				1		9	2		-							
Difflugia parva	1					-										3
Difflugia paulii																1
Difflugia pristis		4							3							
Difflugia pulex									-				1			
Difflugia stoutii										1						
Difflugia tenuis					1											
Edaphonobiotus campascoides								1								
Euglypha ciliata		4	2			10	2		1						1	1
Euglypha laevis		4	4	10	4	10	1	6	3		3	3				5
Heleopera rosea									1					1		1
Heleopera sylvatica					1	3					1					
Nebela bohemica										1	1			1		1
Nebela collaris var. collaris				1												
Nebela collaris var. retorta														1		1
Nebela gracilis													1			
Nebela lageniformis		1								2						
Nebela parvula												2	3			
Nebela penardiana var. major																8
Nebela sp. 1																1
Nebela tincta var. tincta															3	
Nebela tincta var. major		1														1
Nebela tincta var. rotunda												2				
Nebela tubulata											1			7		
Nebela walesii						3	4									
Phryganella acropodia				12	3	22	6	5	2	15	12	11			4	1
Phryganella paradoxa			1						1			1				
Placocista spinosa															2	

Appendix IX: Abundance of	Testate Amoeba	Species at	t McCarty	Fjord Sites	(continued).

	Site number															
Species name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Plagiopyxis callidia						2				1						
Plagiopyxis declivis var. declivis		1				2	1		2							1
Plagiopyxis declivis var. major						1										
Plagiopyxis minuta												1				
Porosia bigibbosa						1	1			2						
Pseudodifflugia gracillis		1														
Quadrulella symmetrica													1	4		5
Schoenbornia humicola				7		10	2	7	3		4		1	1		1
Schoenbornia visicicula						34		1	7	1						1
Tracheleuglypha dentata		2		3	3	10	4		1	2						
Trigonopyxis arcula										1						
Trinema complanatum		22		19		4	3	3		6	2					
Trinema enchelys				4		3				1	1		1			2
Trinema lineare		12		9			1	2		2		1	1			

Appendix XI: Testate Amoeba Taxonomic and Test Composition Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Order membership and test composition type were taken from the taxa descriptions prepared by Ogden and Hedley (1980) and Meisterfeld (2000a, 2000b).

- --

	Тахог	nomy		Те	Test Composition			
			Proportional		Proportional			
	Proportional	Proportional	representation	Proportional	representation			
	representation	representation	of agglutinate	representation of	of siliceous	Proportional representation of		
Site	of order	of order	test	proteinaceous	test	proteinaceous/agglutinate		
Number	Arcellinida	Euglyphida	compositions	test compositions	compositions	test compositions		
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
2	0.50	0.50	0.29	0.07	0.57	0.07		
3	0.25	0.75	0.25	0.00	0.75	0.00		
4	0.62	0.38	0.46	0.00	0.54	0.00		
5	0.56	0.44	0.44	0.00	0.56	0.00		
6	0.71	0.29	0.48	0.00	0.52	0.00		
7	0.64	0.36	0.43	0.00	0.57	0.00		
8	0.60	0.40	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00		
9	0.77	0.23	0.54	0.00	0.46	0.00		
10	0.69	0.31	0.38	0.06	0.56	0.00		
11	0.70	0.30	0.20	0.00	0.80	0.00		
12	0.63	0.38	0.38	0.00	0.63	0.00		
13	0.75	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.75	0.00		
14	0.91	0.09	0.27	0.00	0.73	0.00		
15	0.43	0.57	0.14	0.00	0.86	0.00		
16	0.78	0.22	0.33	0.00	0.67	0.00		

Appendix XII: Testate Amoeba Test and Aperture Morphology Data for McCarty Fjord Sites. Aperture morphologies were classified following a simplification of the types presented by Chardez and Lambert (1981). Test shapes were taken from the taxa descriptions prepared by Ogden and Hedley (1980) and Meisterfeld (2000a, 2000b).

			Aperture Morphology				Test S	Shape	
			Proportional	Proportional	Proportional representation	Proportional representation	Proportional representation	Proportional representation	
	Average		representation	representation	of	of	of	of	Proportional
	test	Number of	of acrostomic	of axial	cotylostomic	cryptostomic	plagiostomic	compressed	representation
Site	length	tests	aperture	aperture	aperture	aperture	aperture	test	of terete test
Number	(um)	measured	morphologies	morphologies	morphologies	morphologies	morphologies	morphologies	morphologies
1	na	0	na	na	na	na	na	0.00	0.00
2	59.30	16	0.43	0.14	0.00	0.07	0.36	0.57	0.43
3	36.27	23	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.75	0.25
4	45.43	33	0.54	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.38	0.62
5	56.80	17	0.56	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.56	0.44
6	60.94	11	0.48	0.05	0.00	0.14	0.33	0.67	0.33
7	69.43	22	0.50	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.36	0.57	0.43
8	39.00	18	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.50	0.40	0.60
9	56.35	10	0.69	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.15	0.46	0.54
10	79.05	6	0.38	0.19	0.00	0.06	0.38	0.44	0.56
11	64.67	9	0.60	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.60	0.40
12	55.68	10	0.50	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.25	0.63	0.38
13	62.00	12	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.50	0.50
14	82.38	8	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.82	0.18
15	70.65	14	0.71	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.86	0.14
16	102.31	28	0.78	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.11	0.61	0.39

Appendix XIII: List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded from the Kenai Fjords Region. Taxonomy, test compositions and test morphologies and were taken from the taxon descriptions prepared by Ogden and Hedley (1980) and Meisterfeld (2000a, 2000b). Hemispherical and cylindrical test shapes were treated as "terete" test morphologies in this study. Aperture morphologies were classified following a simplification of the types presented by Chardez and Lambert (1981).

		Taxonomic		Aperture	Test
Scientific name	Species Code	order	Test composition	morphology	morphology
Arcella arenaria var. sphagnicola	ARCARESPH	Arcellinida	proteinaceous	axial	hemispherical
Argynnia dentistoma	ARGDEN	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Argynnia vitraea var. sphagni	ARGVITSP	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Assulina muscorum	ASSMUS	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Assulina scandinavica	ASSSCA	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Centropyxis aerophila	CENAER	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	compressed
Centropyxis aerophila var. sphagnicola	CENAERSPH	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	compressed
Centropyxis aerophila var. sylvatica	CENAERSYL	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	compressed
Centropyxis constricta	CENCON	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	compressed
Centropyxis minuta	CENMIN	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	hemispherical
Centropyxis orbicularis	CENORB	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	hemispherical
Centropyxis platystoma	CENPLA	Arcellinida	agglutinate	plagiostomy	compressed
Corythion dubium	CORDUB	Euglyphida	siliceous	plagiostomy	compressed
Cyclopyxis arcelloides	CYCARC	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Cyclopyxis eurystoma	CYCEUR	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Difflugia ampulla	DIFAMP	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia angulostoma	DIFANG	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Difflugia gassowskii	DIFGAS	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia glans	DIFGLA	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia globulosa	DIFGLO	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Difflugia lithophila	DIFLIT	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia lucida	DIFLUC	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	compressed
Difflugia parva	DIFPAR	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia paulii	DIFPAU	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia pristis	DIFPRI	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia pulex	DIFPUL	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia stoutii	DIFSTO	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Difflugia tenuis	DIFTEN	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical

		Taxonomic		Aperture	Test
Scientific name	Species Code	order	Test composition	morphology	morphology
Edaphonobiotus campascoides	EDACAM	Euglyphida	agglutinate	cotylostomy	cylindrical
Euglypha ciliata	EUGCIL	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Euglypha laevis	EUGLAE	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Euglypha rotunda	EUGROT	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Euglypha tuberculata	EUGTUB	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	cylindrical
Heleopera rosea	HELROS	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Heleopera sylvatica	HELSYL	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela bohemica	NEBBOH	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela collaris	NEBCOL	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela collaris var. retorta	NEBCOLRET	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela gracilis	NEBGRA	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela lageniformis	NEBLAG	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela militaris	NEBMIL	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela parvula	NEBPAR	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela penardiana var. major	NEBPENMAJ	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela sp. 1	NEBSP1	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela tincta	NEBTIN	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela tincta var. major	NEBTINMAJ	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela tincta var. rotunda	NEBTINROT	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela tubulata	NEBTUB	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Nebela walesii	NEBWAL	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Phryganella acropodia	PHRACR	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Phryganella paradoxa	PHRPAR	Arcellinida	agglutinate	acrostomy	cylindrical
Placocista spinosa	PLASPI	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Plagiopyxis callidia	PLACAL	Arcellinida	agglutinate	cryptostomy	compressed
Plagiopyxis callidia var. grandis	PLACALGRA	Arcellinida	agglutinate	cryptostomy	compressed
Plagiopyxis declivis	PLADEC	Arcellinida	agglutinate	cryptostomy	compressed
Plagiopyxis declivis var. major	PLADECMAJ	Arcellinida	agglutinate	cryptostomy	compressed
Plagiopyxis minuta	PLAMIN	Arcellinida	agglutinate	cryptostomy	compressed

- -

Appendix XIII: List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded from the Kenai Fjords Region (continued).

		Taxonomic		Aperture	Test
Scientific name	Species Code	order	Test composition	morphology	morphology
Playfairina valkanoivi	PLAVAL	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	cylindrical
Porosia bigibbosa	PORBIG	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Pseudodifflugia gracillis	PSEGRA	Euglyphida	proteinaceous/agglutinate	axial	cylindrical
Quadrulella symmetrica	QUASYM	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	compressed
Schoenbornia humicola	SCHHUM	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	cylindrical
Schoenbornia visicicula	SCHVIS	Arcellinida	siliceous	acrostomy	cylindrical
Tracheleuglypha dentata	TRADEN	Euglyphida	siliceous	acrostomy	cylindrical
Trigonopyxis arcula	TRIARC	Arcellinida	agglutinate	axial	hemispherical
Trinema complanatum	TRICOM	Euglyphida	siliceous	plagiostomy	cylindrical
Trinema enchelys	TRIENC	Euglyphida	siliceous	plagiostomy	cylindrical
Trinema lineare	TRILIN	Euglyphida	siliceous	plagiostomy	cylindrical

Appendix XIII: List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded from the Kenai Fjords Region (continued).

Appendix XIV: The Ecology of Select Testate Amoeba Genera and Species Recorded at McCarty Fjord Sites. Synonyms are listed parenthetically.

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Arcella spp.	water, Sphagnum and mosses	Chardez 1968
	all species found in freshwater biotypes, wet and dry mosses,	Meisterfeld 2000a
	a few species in soils	
Arcella arenaria	aerated moss and lichen	Grospietsch 1958
Arcella arenaria var. sphagnicola	skeletal soils	Coûteaux 1975
	aerated conditions, moss and soil, carbonates,	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	psammophytes, pH optimum 7	
	sub-aerated, Sphagnum lake mosses	Deflandre 1928
Argynnia spp.	Sphagnum mosses, herbivorous	Meisterfeld 2000a
Argynnia dentistoma (Nebela dentistoma)	wet and moist Sphagnum and other mosses in peatland and	Mitchell 2003e
	siliceous (acidic?) ponds with an accumulation of organic	
	matter	
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Argynnia vitraea var. sphagni	wet Sphagnum mosses in raised bogs	Mitchell 2003e
	Sphagnum and wet mosses of raised bogs	Deflandre 1936
Assulina spp.	Sphagnum and mosses	Chardez 1968
	mosses, soil, herbivorous	Meisterfeld 2000b
Assulina muscorum	mosses	Chardez 1968
	common in mosses, rare in soils, found in alpine mor in	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	skeletal, acidic soils, pH optimum 6	
	moss and commonly Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Centropyxis spp.	wet, well-developed soil	Coûteaux 1975
	water, Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968

Appendix XIV: The Ecology of Select Testate Amoeba Genera and Species Recorded at McCarty Sites (continued).

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Centropyxis aerophila var. aerophila	soil	Chardez 1968
	mosses growing on tree bark, soil generalist	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	dry mosses and humus	Meisterfeld 2000a
	aerated soils	Grospietsch 1958
	clearly aerated, restricted to moss corticoles, rare or accidental in forest moss and <i>Sphagnum</i>	Deflandre 1929
Centropyxis aerophila var. sphagnicola	acidophile, common in aerated mor soil, humid alpine soils, brown forest soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
	sub-aerated, humid forest mosses and Sphagnum	Deflandre 1929
Centropyxis aerophila var. sylvatica	generalist, all types of soils but most common in brown forest	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	soils	
	aerated conditions	Grospietsch 1958
	humid with constant water, forest mosses and rocky habitats	Deflandre 1929
Centropyxis minuta	skeletal soils of varied types	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	humid moss and Sphagnum	Deflandre 1929
Centropyxis orbicularis	varied soil types but generally humid soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	humid Sphagnum, wet peat bogs, Sphagnum ponds	Deflandre 1929
Centropyxis platystoma	not well known, acidic soils?	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	Sphagnum and mosses	Grospietsch 1958
	wet mosses or Sphagnum, boggy marshes	Deflandre 1929
Corythion spp.	Sphagnum and mosses	Chardez 1968
	mosses, Sphagnum, soils, bacterivorus	Meisterfeld 2000b

Appendix XIV: The Ecology of Select Testate Amoeba Genera and Species Recorded at McCarty Sites (continued).

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Corythion dubium	acid soil	Coûteaux 1975
	mosses	Chardez 1968
	acidophile, well-drained soils, rare on carbonaceous	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	substrates, pH optimum 5.8	
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Cyclopyxis spp.	water, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	Sphagnum mosses, soil	Meisterfeld 2000a
Cyclopyxis arcelloides	generalist, most common in skeletal soil	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	Sphagnum and mosses	Grospietsch 1958
	mosses or very wet or submerged Sphagnum	Deflandre 1929
Cyclopyxis eurystoma	generalist, all soil types	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	aerophilic	Grospietsch 1958
	humid forest mosses	Deflandre 1929
Difflugia spp.	water, Sphagnum and mosses	Chardez 1968
	freshwater sediment, between water plants, planktonic, eats	Meisterfeld 2000a
	algae and fungi	
Difflugia ampulla	described from aquatic plants	Ogden 1983
Difflugia angulostoma	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia gassowskii	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia glans	described from water plants	Ogden 1983
Difflugia lucida	mosses and humid soil	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	dry mosses and soil	Meisterfeld 2000a
	uses quartz for test construction, found in Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
	described from Sphagnum and water plants	Ogden 1983
Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
-----------------------------	---	------------------------
Difflugia parva	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia paulii	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia pristis	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia pulex	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia stoutii	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Difflugia tenuis	described from Sphagnum	Ogden 1983
Edaphonobiotus campascoides	humus	Meisterfeld 2000b
Euglypha spp.	water, Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	common in mosses, <i>Sphagnum,</i> and organic soils,	Meisterfeld 2000b
	herbivorous	
Euglypha ciliata	mosses, rare in soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	fen Sphagnum	Mitchell 2003b
	wet Sphagnum, moss, aquatic plants	Grospietsch 1958
Euglypha laevis	mosses and soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	Sphagnum and moss	Grospietsch 1958
Euglypha rotunda	soil	Chardez 1968
	all types of humid soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	wet moss, common in fen Sphagnum	Mitchell 2003b
	wet moss	Grospietsch 1958
Euglypha tuberculata	water	Chardez 1968
Heleopera spp.	water, Sphagnum and mosses	Chardez 1968
	lake sediments, mosses, soil, except for <i>H. sphagni</i> , most	Meisterfeld 2000a
	species predaceous on small Euglyphida	
Heleopera rosea	acidic, humid soil	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Heleopera sylvatica	mosses and A horizon of brown forest soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Nebela spp.	water, Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	common in mosses <i>(Sphagnum)</i> and soil, predators of small Eugyphida	Meisterfeld 2000a
Nebela bohemica	moist and wet Sphagnum and other mosses in peatlands,	Mitchell 2003e
	forests and siliceous humic ponds	
	same as <i>N. collaris</i>	Deflandre 1936
Nebela collaris	Sphagnum	Chardez 1968
	well-drained, acidic and humid soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	moist and wet sphagnum and other mosses in peatlands, forests and siliceous humic ponds	Mitchell 2003e
	mosses and <i>Sphagnum</i> very humid or wet in high ponds of transition and siliceous ponds	Deflandre 1936
Nebela gracilis	wet Sphagnum mosses	Mitchell 2003e
5	wet Sphagnum	Deflandre 1936
Nebela lageniformis	Sphagnum and other moist and wet mosses, in forests, peatlands and ponds with an accumulation with organic matter	Mitchell 2003e
	<i>Sphagnum</i> and other moist and wet mosses, in forests, peatlands and ponds with an accumulation with organic matter	Deflandre 1936
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Nebela militaris	Sphagnum and other moist mosses in peatlands and forests	Mitchell 2003e
	Sphagnum and moist forest mosses, frequent in alder forests at the margin of Sphagnum bogs	Deflandre 1936
	moist moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Nebela parvula	Sphagnum and moist forest mosses	Mitchell 2003e
-	Sphagnum and moist moss	Deflandre 1936
	Sphagnum and forest moss	Grospietsch 1958

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Nebela penardiana	hygrophilous or aquatic species, wet Sphagnum and other	Mitchell 2003e
	mosses in peatlands and transitional mires	
	wet to aquatic habitats, wet Sphagnum and other mosses in	Deflandre 1936
	peatlands and transitional mires	
	very wet Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Nebela tincta var. tincta	mosses	Chardez 1968
	<i>Sphagnum,</i> eats dead or live micro-algae, diatoms, mycelia of fungi	Gilbert et al. 2003
	moist and very moist Sphagnum and other mosses in	Mitchell 2003e
	peatlands and forests	
	moist moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Nebela tincta var. major	moist and very moist Sphagnum and other mosses in	Mitchell 2003e
	peatlands and forests	
Nebela tincta var. rotunda	moist and very moist Sphagnum and other mosses in	Mitchell 2003e
	peatlands and forests	
Nebela tubulata	Sphagnum mosses	Mitchell 2003e
	Sphagnum mosses	Deflandre 1936
Nebela walesii	Sphagnum and other wet mosses	Mitchell 2003e
	Sphagnum and humid mosses, aerophilic	Deflandre 1936
	dry moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Phryganella spp.	water, Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
Phryganella acropodia	aquatic, lacustrine sediments, moss, soil	Chardez 1969
	soil generalist	Coûteaux 1975
	soil	Chardez 1968
	ubiquitous, found in 95% of samples	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	one of the most common species in Sphagnum and soils	Meisterfeld 2000a

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Porosia spp.	Sphagnum, soil, herbivorous, possibly carnivorous	Meisterfeld 2000a
Porosia bigibbosa (Nebela bigibbosa)	frequent in litter of deciduous forests, can be used as an	Todorov 2002
	indicator of this biotope	
	Sphagnum and other mosses	Mitchell 2003e
	Sphagnum	Deflandre 1936
Pseudodifflugia spp.	water, Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	freshwater, soil, and marine and littoral sands	Meisterfeld 2000b
Pseudodifflugia gracillis	hummus, ericaceous, subalpine habitats	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
Quadrulella spp.	water, Sphagnum	Chardez 1968
	Sphagnum mosses, soil	Meisterfeld 2000a
Quadrulella symmetrica	avoiding calcium, acidophilus, aquatic, Sphagnum mosses,	Chardez 1967
	possibly carnivorous	
	Sphagnum and humid mosses, wet or submerged, broad	Deflandre 1929
	tolerance for ion content	
Schoenbornia spp.	soil	Chardez 1968
	acid moder and raw humus, collects detritus with bacteria	Meisterfeld 2000a
	and stores it around the aperture	
Schoenbornia humicola	soil humus, especially acid humus, (moder and raw humus),	Mitchell 2003e
	rare in mull	
Schoenbornia visicicula	soil humus, especially acid humus, (moder and raw humus)	Mitchell 2003e
Tracholousturba	manage pail and equation variation	Majatarfald 2000h
i racneieugiypna	mosses, soil and aquatic vegetation	
I racheleuglypha dentata	water plants, wet moss and Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958

Genus or species name	Ecology	Citation
Trigonopyxis spp.	Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	acid forest soil, litter, mosses (e.g. Sphagnum), eats bacteria	Meisterfeld 2000a
	and fungi	
Trigonopyxis arcula	mor	Coûteaux 1975
	Sphagnum	Chardez 1968
	brown forest soils, mor, pH optimum 5.8	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	dry Sphagnum, moss	Grospietsch 1958
Trinema spp.	Sphagnum, mosses and soil	Chardez 1968
	common in freshwater, moss and soil, herbivorous	Meisterfeld 2000b
Trinema complanatum	mosses, found in all types of soils, most common in humid soils	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Trinema enchelys	mosses	Chardez 1968
	ubiquitous, found in soils at all stages of development	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958
Trinema lineare	ubiquitous, abundant in soils at all stages of development	Bonnet and Thomas 1960
	moss, Sphagnum	Grospietsch 1958

Appendix XV: List of Testate Amoeba Species Recorded in Alaska. Synonyms are provided parenthetically. Footnotes indicate: ¹species is new to Alaska; ²species is new to Alaska but was not included in analyses.

	Beyens and Chardez	Mitchell 2004	Eisner et al.	Nikolaev et al.	Payne et al.	this studv
Species name	1995		2005	2005	2006	,
Amphitrema stenostoma					Х	
Amphitrema wrightianum		х			х	
Arcella arenaria	х					
Arcella arenaria var.						
sphagnicola						Х
Arcella artocrea					х	
Arcella costata	х					
Arcella catinus					х	
Arcella discoides	х	х			х	
Arcella gibbosa	х					
Arcella hemisphaerica	х					
Arcella hemisphaerica cf.						
undulata	x					
Arcella ocaliformis	х					
Arcella rotundata var. rotundata	Х					
Arcella rotundata var. aplanata	X					
Arcella rotundata var. undulata	x					
Archerella flavum						
(Amphitrema flavum)		х			х	
Argynnia dentistoma						
(Nebela dentistoma)	Х	Х			Х	Х
Argynnia vitraea var. sphagni						x ^{1,2}
Assulina muscorum	х	х			х	х
Assulina scandinavica					х	х
Assulina seminulum	х	х			х	
Bullinularia indica	х				х	
Centropyxis aculeata var.						
aculeata	х	х			х	
Centropyxis aculeata var.						
oblonga	Х					
Centropyxis aerophila	х	х			х	х
Centropyxis aerophila var.						
sphagnicola		х			х	Х
Centropyxis aerophila var.						1
sylvatica						Х ′

	Beyens and Chardez	Mitchell 2004	Eisner et al.	Nikolaev et al.	Payne et al.	this study
Species name	1995		2005	2005	2006	
Centropyxis cf. discoides					x	
Centropyxis cassis					х	
Centropyxis constricta						x ^{1,2}
Centropyxis ecornis	х				x	
Centropyxis ecornis var. minuta	х					
Centropyxis gasparella	х					
Centropyxis laevigata				х	х	
Centropyxis minuta						x ¹
Centropyxis orbicularis					x	х
Centropyxis platystoma var.						
platystoma	Х	Х			X	Х
Centropyxis platystoma var.	v					
Conthion dubium	×	v			×	v
Convinion dubium of gigas	×	^			^	^
Corvention dubium var.	~					
orbicularis	х					
Corythion pulchellum					x	
Cryptodifflugia oviformis					x	
Cyclopyxis arcelloides	х				x	х
Cyclopyxis eurystoma					х	х
Cyclopyxis Kahli		х			х	
Difflugia ampulla						x ¹
Difflugia angulostoma					х	х
Difflugia bacillifera	х	х			x	
Difflugia bryophila	х					
Difflugia diatomosus	х					
Difflugia cf. rubescens					х	
Difflugia elegans					х	
Difflugia gassowskii						x ¹
Difflugia glans					х	х
Difflugia globulosa		х			х	х
Difflugia globulus	х					

Beyens and Mitchell Eisner Nikolaev Payne this Chardez 2004 et al. et al. et al. study 1995 2005 2006 2005 Species name Difflugia humilis Х Х **x**¹ Difflugia lithophila Difflugia lucida х х Difflugia manicata х Difflugia minuta х Difflugia oviformis х **x**¹ Difflugia parva **x**¹ Difflugia paulii Difflugia pristis х Х Difflugia pulex Х Х Difflugia rubescens х x ¹ Difflugia stoutii **x**¹ Difflugia tenuis Ditrema flavum х **x**¹ Edaphonobiotus campascoides Euglypha acanthophora х Euglypha ciliata х х х Euglypha compressa х Euglypha cristata х Euglypha laevis х х Euglypha polyepis х Euglypha rotunda х Х Х Х Euglypha strigosa х х Euglypha strigosa cf. glabra Х Euglypha tuberculata х Х х Heleopera petricola var. petricola Х х Heleopera petricola var. amethystea Х Heleopera rosea х х х Heleopera sphagni х х Heleopera sylvatica х Х

Species name	Beyens and Chardez 1995	Mitchell 2004	Eisner et al. 2005	Nikolaev et al. 2005	Payne et al. 2006	this study
Hyalosphenia elegans	x	x			x	
Hyalosphenia minuta					х	
Hyalosphenia papilio	х	x		х	х	
Hyalosphenia subflava					х	
Lesquereusia epistomium	х				х	
Lesquereusia spiralis	x				х	
Mycrocorycia flava	x					
Nebela bohemica						x ¹
Nebela carinata					x	
Nebela collaris var. collaris	x	х			x	х
Nebela collaris var. retorta						x ¹
Nebela galeata					х	
Nebela gracilis						x ¹
Nebela lageniformis					х	х
Nebela marginata					х	
Nebela militaris	х	х			х	х
Nebela minor	х				х	
Nebela parvula						x ¹
Nebela penardiana	х				х	
Nebela penardiana var. major						х
Nebela tincta var. tincta	х	х			х	х
Nebela tincta cf. stenostoma	х					
Nebela tincta var. major		х			х	х
Nebela tincta var. rotunda						x ¹
Nebela tubulata						x ¹
Nebela tubulosa	х	х				
Nebela walesii	х					х
Paulinella chromatophora	х					
Phryganella acropodia	х	х			х	х
Phryganella paradoxa		х			х	х
Physochila griseola						
(Nebela griseola)		X			X	
Placosysta glabra	Х					
Placocista spinosa (Placocysta spinosa)	x	x			x	x
Placocista spinosa hyalina					x	

Beyens and Mitchell Eisner Nikolaev Payne this Chardez 2004 et al. et al. et al. study 1995 2005 2005 2006 Species name Plagiopyxis callidia var. callidia **x**¹ x ^{1,2} Plagiopyxis callidia var. grandis **x**¹ Plagiopyxis declivis var. declivis **x**¹ Plagiopyxis declivis var. major **x**¹ Plagiopyxis minuta x ^{1,2} Playfairina valkanoivi **x**¹ Porosia bigibbosa Pseudodifflugia gracilis Х Х Quadrulella symmetrica х Х х Schoenbornia humicola Х х **x**¹ Schoenbornia visicicula Sphenoderia lenta х Х Toquepyxis leclercqi х Tracheleuglypha dentata Х Х х Tracheleuglypha pulchellum х Trigonopyxis arcula х Х Х Х Trinema complanatum х Х х Trinema enchelys х х х х Trinema lineare х х х х Unidentified taxa Centropyxis type х Cyclopyxis sp. Х Difflugia sp. х **x**¹ Nebela sp. 1 Netzelia sp. A х Phryganella sp. х

Appendix XVI: McCarty Fjord Site Photos.

Site 1 – Depauperate



Site 2 – Open alder



Site 3 – Open alder



Site 4 – Closed tall alder-willow





Site 5 - Closed tall alder-willow







Site 7 – Closed tall alder-willow

Site 8 - Closed tall alder-willow





Site 10 – Closed tall alder-willow



Site 11 – Closed spruce



Site 12 – Closed spruce





Site 14 – Wetland (sedge fen)





Site 15 – Open hemlock

Site 16 – Wetland (Sphagnum peatland)



Appendix XVII: McCarty Fjord Site Forms.

Plot # 57-1	Surveyor	IS: TYO LA	F Date: 7	-16-05	Landcover class name			
GPS used: # (1	521	WP: 12 (+11?	notauged)	R	ane		
Lat: 59.740	90		Elevation (ft): 65		u u			
Long: 150.21	327		Error ± 51.0		Slope (0-100°):	1º (ava		1
Camera used:		Photos taken	: 4537 - 4549	7	Aspect (0-360°):	68	tru	e pu
Kodak1		Air Photo #			Plot Size: 33 x	33(ft)		
		~	h.	1.0.40	Ic.a.			
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetated	6 % Cover	Soils:	0		
Needlelear		-	Weed (50.4%)	-1	Alkannity	7 64		
Sroadlear		-	Wood (=0.4")	-	Draw solls schematic	halowy		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	-		Silt (leel on tongue)		Draw sous schematic	: Delow:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)		-	Sand (feel b/w fingers)	20	(include norizon, text	ire, depuis, water	table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")		-	Small rocks (gravel <3"	2	-			
orb			Large rocks	- 15				
Jrass			(cobbles 3-8", boulders	8) 05	-			
Sedge	-	-	Bedrock	-	-			
Moss	-		Trunks of trees	nn				
Lichen	1	-	(basal area)		- 12	acount of	Ja. SIL	11
Javegetated	100		Other (describe):	1. 1. 1. 2. 2.	0	And ar and a	Survey L	11
Water	-		-			50/051	4	
Other	1	_			-	70%	and -a	# fine
Biome:	Hydrolo	gic Regime:	Landform		-	10705	1	
Apine glaciel m	oram Dod til	onice	moraine			15%	grant	angu
Subalpine	Mesic			-		, 11 */	cubble !	suba
orest	Wet		TREE CORES (No.)	Ø	mi.	10 10	ward	
log	Aquatic -	FW	VASCULARS COLLEC	TED (No.)	- LE		-	
ierb meadow	Aquatic -	brackish	NON-VASCULARS CO	DLLECTED (No.)	2 1/11			
Morai Morai	ne) Jun	encly topologicphy (remanant ice a small kettes	,				
PCT: Bare	non	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (fl)	DBH ("
PCT: Bare pecies No Vos Call	ne plants	% Cover	encly to pay (the pay of the pay	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Bore species No VOS Call	an plants	% Cover	encly topological remainstration a Small ketter	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Bare Species No Vos Callo Crustose lid	se plants	% Cover	encly type steps (remains to ce a Small kettes	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Bore Species No Vos Call Crustore lid	nt plants	% Cover	enchy the state of a construct i construct	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bore Species No Vos Call Crustose lid	sa plasts	% Cover	encly thre site is renserent ice is Small keftes Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bore species No vos cado crustose lid	and her	% Cover	enchy thre science is remarkent ice is Small kettes	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Bore Species No Vas Callo Crustose lid	no plasts	34 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bore ipecies No vescale crustox lice	no plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Borc process No vos cali crustose lid	no plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (Y)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Bare proces No vas cali crustose lid	an plasts	36 Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare porcies No ves cali crustose lid	n plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Barc process No vos cado crustose lid	nd su plats her	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare proces No vascado crustose lid	su plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare proces No ves call crustore lid	norther	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare	no su plads	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare proces No vas calo crustose lid	su plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare pocies No vesculio	nor plasts	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare porcies No ves cado	no su plads	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare protes No vas calo	no plasts	% Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare Species No ves call Crustore (id	no plasts	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Bare pecies No ves cado	no su plads	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare	no late	3 Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare pecies No ves call crustose lid	no plasts	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bore	no su plads	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare	no sa plats hen	3 Cover 7	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bore Species No Vos Callo	nor plats	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare	su plasts	5 Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Bare.	sa plats hen	3 Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare pecies No Vos Cali Crustose Iid age 1 of] page	norther su plasts her	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (*)	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	
PCT: Bare.	su plasts her	\$ Cover	Height (A) DBH (?)	Species		% Cover %	Height (ft)	DBH (*









Site 6

1

CPS weed:	2 Surveyors:	KB IP	wp. C	Date: 7	-16-05	Landcover class name:			
Lat: N.S.9	67 86	.1	Elevation (A): 4-	74	open rall	Hider		
Long: W 150	29 12	20	Error ±			Slope (0-100°):	40°		
Camera used:		Photos taken:		1.		Aspect (0-360°):	100	tru	e n
		Air Photo #				Plot Size: X	(ft)		
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetate	d	% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf			Litter, duff		55-60	Alkalinity	0		
Broadleaf	. 5 0	15	Wood (>0.4	")	5	pH _S	3		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	2530019	34-12	Silt (feel on	tongue)		Draw soils schematic	below:	1	
Low (8" > 5 ft)	27	1	Sand (feel b	w fingers)		(include horizon, textu	re, depths, water	table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")	70 55		Small rocks	(gravel <3")	110	7.			1.
Grass	10 2		(cobbles 3-8	s", boulders >	40	1' - Lear	Jes		
Sedge	2		Bedrock		T	Roots	OM in	er sper	sed
Moss	15 500		Trunks of tr	rees		w/c	obbles		
Lichen			(basal area)			3 -			
Unvegetated	15		Other (desci	nibe):		Cab	bles		
Water			-			. 1000			
Biomer	Hudestart	Pagimar	Landform			-			
Alpine	Dry	e regime:	Landform						
Subalpine	Mesic		. Side	lene					
Forest	Wet		TREE COR	ES (No.)	_				
Bog	Aquatic - F	w	VASCULA	RS COLLECT	TED (No.)				
Herb meadow	Aquatic - b	rackish	NON-VASO	CULARS COL	LECTED (No.)	-			
PCT: Sitt	ko Alder	- Sitte	Willa	5					
PCT: Sitt	to Alder	- Sitte	Willa Height (ft)	DBH(")	Species		% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PET: Sitt Species Alnus su	to Alder	- 51Hc = % Cover 40	Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species	un	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sith Species Alnus Sin Alnus Sin	nuata	- Sittes % Cover 40	Willau Height (ft)	DBH(")	Species Avid -	ens circonte	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Alhyn uw	les Alder nuata	- Sittes % Cover 40	Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species	una cir e gale as daliz	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Species Alnus su Athyn uw Heuchera	nucta felix f	- Sitks % Cover 40 67.	Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species Aud- Cane y	mertensii	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus	nuata felixf glabra	- Sitks % Cover 40 67. 10	Willau Height (ft)	DBH(")	Species Mild Canex Canex	mertonsii 60-1	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus sin Athynum Heuchera Epilobu	nata Jelix f	- Sitte % cover 40 67. 10 4	Willer Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species Ruid- Cane y Case o Lugina 2	www.tonsii 60-1 nootkatener	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Athynuw Heuchera Epilobu	nuata nuata felix f glabra	- Sitle % Cover 40 67. 10 4	Willer Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species And Caney Castor Lupinus	nurtensii 60-1 pootkatensi	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alous su Albynuw Heuchora Epits bu Ganad Achillee	Les Alder nuata felix f glabra sun c boneale	- Sittes % Cover 40 67. 10 4	Willow Height (ft)	DBH(*)	Species And Canex Casto Lupinus Btellane	murtansii 60-1 patkalansu crossifolia	% Cover 2/	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Species Alnus sin Athyrium Heuchara Epilobu glanddd Achillee	Les Alder nuata felix f glabra osun boreale	- Sittles % Cover 40 67. 10 4	Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species And Canev Canev Casfo Lupinus Stellance	mentansii 60-1 patkatensi crossifolia	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Athynum Huncherz Epi blu glandd Achillee Caupan	to Alder nuata felixof glabra sun boreale	- Sitke % Cover 40 67. 10 4 4 10	Willau Height (ft)		Species And Caney Caston Lupinus Stellance Caston Lupinus Stellance	mertonsii 60-1 patkalansu crassifolia thick ame	* Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Athyn un Heuchera Epilolu glandu Achillee Campan	to Alder nuata felix f glabra sun boneale ula rotur	- Sittes 30 07. 10 4 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Willow Height (ft)	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Species And Canev Casto Lupinus Distellance Grass Trisctum Stellance	mentonsii 60-1 pootkatensii crassifolia Hircle, anned spirolaum.	* Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Alnus su Heuchera Epilolu Glandat Achillee Campan Inogholu Mangholu	La Alder nuata glabra glabra boreale ula rotur as acca	- Sitter 20 67. 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			Species Aud Caney Castor Lupinus Stellance Frischun Stellance blue La	nurtensii 60-1 pootkatensu crassifolia thick, anned spirolum: poot a spirolum:	* Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Sitt Alous su Albynum Heuchera Epits bu Ganad Achillee Campan Inogholu Magand Changholu	Les Alder nuata felix f glabra sun boreale ula rotur as accea	- Sitter 30 67. 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 12			Species Mind- Caney (astor by muss btellane btellane blue La	mertensii 60-1 patkatensi crossifolia thick amed spirolum:	* Cover 2/ T T S p, 2/2 T	Height (ft)	
PCT: SIH Species Alnus su Athyrium Heuchera Epilobu Gandan Achillee Campan Rhoghol Magara	Les Alder nuata felix f glabra sun boreale ula rotur acea sic	- Sittes % Cover 40 67. 10 4 2 10 4 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Height (ft)		Species Participant Canex Canex Caston Lupinus Stellance Stellance blue La Heracle	murtansii 60-1 patkalensu crossifoliz Hinck anned spirolzum af, Ig. 8 um Janatum	% Cover 2/ Т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitt Species Alnus su Athynum Huncherz Epilobu glandat Achillee Campan Inogholu Magan Struth Rop 2 - C	Lo Alder nuata felixof glabra boneale ula rotur as acea glipuca	- Sittes % Cover 40 67. 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 12 12 12 27.	Willey Height (ft)	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Species And And Canex Caston Lupinus Stellance Braschum Stellance Dive La Heracle	mertonsii 60-1 patkalensi crossifoliz Hick anned spirotum af 1g 8 un langtum	% Cover 2/ Т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitt Alnus su Athyn um Heuchera Epi blu glandd Achillee Campan Achillee Ach	to Alder nuata felixof glabra un boreale un sun sun sun sun sun sun sun sun sun	- Sitke * Cover 40 67 10 4 10 4 10 12 12 27 T	Willow Height (ft)		Species Participant Canev Casto Casto Casto Stellance Stellance Stellance blue 12 Heracle Salix	mentonsii 60-1 pootkatensii crassifolia Hick anned spirolau eaf, 1g. 8 un langtum sitch	* Cover 2/ T T S p 	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitt Species Allows su Allows su Allows su Heuchera Epitobu Glandad Achillee Campan Magholi Magholi Stouth Roa 2 P. Pea	La Alder nuata felix f glabra ma boreale ula rotur as acca gliouca	- Sitter 20 67. 10 4 10 4 10 12 12 27. T	Willow Height (ft)		Species End Caney Caston C	nurtensii 60-1 pootkatensu crassifolia Huck, anned spirotum caf, 1g. 8 un langtum atch	* Cover 2/. T T Sp. 3/2 10 %. 5%.	Height (ft)	
PCT: SITI Species Alous su Athyn uw Heuchera Epilo bu Gangan Achillee Campan Chaylos au Strukn Poa? P. Pea Cachlean	Le Alder nuata felix f glabra sun boreale ula rotur as acca sis glauca	- Sittes * Cover - 20 - 4 - 10 - 4 - 10 - 4 - 10 - 4 - 2 - 7 - 2 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7			Species Caney Caney Castor Lupinus Stellano Stellano blue La Heracle Salix Smooss	mertensii 60-1 pootleatensi crossifolia thick amed spicolum eaf, 1g. 8 un langtum aitch	* Cover 2/ T T S p, 2/2 S T S T S T S T C S T C	Height (ft)	
PCT: SITI Species Alnus su Heuchera Epiloby Gandat Achillee Campan Rhoehol Margar Chogenol Shouhon Robas Campan Chogenol Chogenol Stolling Cashlege	Le Alder nuata felix f glabra sun boreale ula rotur as acea sis glabra sis glabra sis glabra acea sis glabra	- Sitter 30 67. 10 4 210 4 210 12 27. 7 7			Species And And Caney Caston Caston Lupinus Stellane Stellane blue La Heracle Salix Omoss Other	mertensii 60-1 patkatus crossifolia thick anned spicolum: af, 1g. 8 un langtum gitch	% Cover 2/ Т т яр. 2/2 Т яр. 2/2 10 / 5/. 10 /	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitt Species Alnus su Athynum Hunchara Epilobu glanddh Achillee Campan Magard Campan Campan Strutt Road C Strutt Pea Cashlege Epilobu	Lo Alder nuata felixof glabra sun boreale ula rotur as acea glipuca glipuca	- Sittle 8 % Cover 40 67. 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Willey Height (ft)		Species And And Caney Casto Euginus Btellance Btellance blue La Blue La Blue La Blue La Salix o Smoss Other	mertonsii 60-1 pootleatensi crossifoliz Hick anned spicolum eaf, 1g. 8 un langtum gitch un ssicolum un langtum	* Cover 2/ T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Height (ft)	
PCT: SITI Species Althyri um Heuchera Epilobu Glandad Achillee Campan Roopholi Chuyeoare Sticutu Sticutus Sticutus	La Alder nuata glabra glabra boreale ula rotur as acca glance sis glance as acca acca acca acca acca acca acca	- Sitter W Cover 40 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Willow Height (ft)		Species Canex Canex Caston Lupinus Stellance Stellance blue la Heracle Salix Other Senecus	nurtonsii 60-1 pootkatunsu crassifolia thick, anned spirolaum eaf, 1g. 8 um lanatum atch atch mosses b lugens	* Cover 2/ Т Т т т я я я я я я я я я я я я я я я я	Height (ft)	

Site 6 (continued)

n# 60-2_	Surveyors:	in the second	Date:		WP:				· · ·
	avalance		TT-1-1- (A)	DDTT (D)	Courter	L N Cause	Triste (0)	DDIT	
Fauss fur	ansience	% Cover	Height (II)	DBR()	Species	76 Cover	Height (II)	DBH()	
1 10	- intraction		12.5						
Arabis la	s for 60-1	T	A.lyn	ta (onn	a id after held wa	1c			
FIL	1.1	17.	/						
Epilobium	lat.	1/1-					-		
				1.1				1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
		14 M M							
	12.1		Contraction of the second			14.4	1.1.1.1	1. 2. 2. 1. 1.	
1.									
							-		
		1.1.1							
	10 300	and the		1.1.1.1	a financial contraction of the	-			
			1						
					and the second second				
							-		
					the case of the ca		-		
						14/2 19/1			
					-		-		
						-	-		
	-								
					Sufference of the second	Chest -	1. 34.3		
		en' nain	a constantion		and the second second				
				-		-	-		
							-		
							-		
			. ,					-	
and the second second							-		
							1.0.231.0.351	11	
								10	
			1		a fear the second s	A		2	
**	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1							vin	
						Allense		201	
							-	-	
				-			-		
							A COURSE	1112	
						-	ALC NO.		
		-			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 16		107 - I	
						-	-		
						-	-		
			-						2
the second second			- 20		and the second second				
			-						100
	4		1						
2.47		•				-	_		
pages			•						7 0005

124

Plot # 60-	Surveyors:	KB /A	+ 5	Date: 7	2-16-0	Landcover class na	ame:		
GPS used:	67 61 -	2	WP:	p+		- Open to	Alder		
Lat NOM	29 55	7	Elevation ((): 57	+	Slope (0.1000)	10-15		-
Long: 150	28 77-	Photos taken:	Inter #			Aspect (0.360%):	140	true	
Camera useu.		Air Photo #		• .		Plot Size:	x (ft)	u ux	, mag
				1					
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetate	d	% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf			Litter, duff		670	Alkalinity	0		
Broadleaf	10 5		Wood (>0.4	7.	3090	pH _	5.1		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	80 84		Silt (feel on	tongue)		Draw soils schem	atic below:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)	10 × 11		Sand (feel b	/w fingers)		(include horizon, to	exture, depths, water	table etc.)	
Dwari (<8)	Eth ES		I arne rocks	(graver <5)		-			
Grass	10 55		(cobbles 3-8	", boulders >8	5 10	and the second			
Sedge	41	· · · · ·	Bedrock					Leat 1	iter
Moss	50 GD		Trunks of tr	tes .			1." -		
Lichen			(basal area)			and the second	. 7 .	S:11/SA	ndai
Unvegetated	40		Other (descr	ibe):		1		/	0
Water			-		1 1 1 1 1		27		
Other				•		-	Cà	bbles.	
Biome:	Hydrologic	Regime:	Landform		1 C	-			
Subalpine	Mesic		mouia	I Tan ,-	- Tower to	T.			
Forest	Wet		TREE COR	ES (No.)		-			
Bog	Aquatic - F	W	VASCULA	AS COLLECT	ED (No.)				
Herb meadow	Aquatic - br	ackish	NON-VASO	ULARS COL	LECTED (No.)				
m' .									
Allunal Some	Aquatic - S	show	SCIL SAME SERSO ter ale	ne! 0	drainay Fan.	e throug	h para	rs.	
Allunal Some of PCT: Sitk	Aquatic-S Fan fan areas n s Alder -	sitke U	Soil SAME Scaso tor all <i>Villow</i>	LES (No.)_ nel c ler or / Blue	Fan.	e throng	h part	Height (ft)	DBH (7)
Allunal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus	Aquestic-S (fan) areas u : a Alder - Sinnata	w 2- u/ show Sitke U 3 Cover 70 80	SOIL SAME Seaso for all <u>Villow</u> <u>Height (ft)</u>	LES (No.)_ nal c / Blue j DBH (*)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss	e throng	h para	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some PCT: Sitk Species Alnus s	Aquatic-S (fan) aveas u s Alder -	w 2- 4/ 5 they 5 th	SOIL SAME SEASO for all Willow Height (R) 14	LES (No.) na! c / Blue; DBH(") 	Fan. Fan. Species Roytheliadel	e Hrrang	h part	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some o PCT: Sitk Species Alnus s Athyriur	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u areas u areas u areas u areas u fan	- w/ Sitke V % Cover 70 % 35	SCASO ter ala V///ow Height (ft)	LES (No.) na/ 0 / Bive DBH (*) /C	Fan. Fan. Species Royted add. Care X	e throug ecolograss #1 peris loreus martensii	h para %Cover 30 (2 AF)	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some c PCT: Sitk Species Alnus s Athyrium	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u s Alder - sinnata m fehx	W 2- 4/ Sitke U % Cover 70% 35	soil samp scaso for ald Willow Height (ft) 14	LES (No) ne! d / Blue j DBH (?)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytchadel Care x	e throug eadgrass #1 phis leneus martensin	h para %Cover 50 (2AF)	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some PCT: Sitk Species Alnus Athyrium Sambuc	Aquatic-S (fan) aveas u s Alder - sinnata n felix cus	W 2- 4/ Sitke U % Cover 70% 35 20	soit same scaso for all <u>Willow</u> Height (ft) <u>19</u> <u>4-8</u>	LES (No.) na/ d / Bive j DBH (?) 	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytchadel Care X Achille	e throug ecolograss #1 phis loreus mertensii	h para 30 (CAF) 24/.	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some PCT: Sitte Species Alnus Athyrium Sambac Ubracia	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u s Alder - sinnata m fchx	w 2 w/ 5 they 5 the	soit same scaso for all <u>Willow</u> Height (R) <u>14</u> <u>4-8</u>	LES (No.) nal c / Blue j DBH (")	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytich. adel Care X Achilles Sala	e throug eccloress #1 partonsin martonsin a	h para % Cover 30 (2 AF) ₹ 1 ₹ 2 27.	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Species Alnus s Athyring Sambuco Heracie.	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u sonata m felix cus un product	w 2- 4/ 5itke V % Cover 70% 35 20 5	5011. SAME SCASS for all V///ow Height (ft) 19 21-8	LES (No.)_ nal c / Blue j DBH (?)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhythchadel Care X Achilles Salox -	e Hrrang ecclograss #1 peris loreus mertensis a SHchensis	h para * Cover * Cover * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus s Athyring Sambaco Heracles Henchera	Aquatic - S fan arras u arras u sin Alder - sinnata m fehx cus alarra	W 2- 4/ 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Soll SAME Scaso for ald Height (R) 4-8	LES (No.)	Fan. Fan. Bornt R Moss Rhytchadel Carex Achilla Salax Arabis	e throng eccloress mertensis mertensis Stichensis Ivrata	h para K Cover 30 (CAF) 27 27 1	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus Athyrin Samber Heracles Hencherm	Aquatic. S fan areas u areas u areas u sonnata m felix rus alabra	W 2- 4/ 5 5hor 70 50 35 20 5 3	SOIL SAME SCASS for ald <u>V///ow</u> Height (ft) <u>14</u> <u>4-8</u>	LES (No.)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhythiadel Care X Achilla Salax Arabis	e throng ecologiass #1 phis loreus mertensin a SHchensus Lyrata	h para % Cover 30 (AF) 17 27 T	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus Sambu of Heracle. Henchern Ep. 10 br	Aquatic - S (fan) areas u = Alder - sennata n felix rus un lenahu - giabra um ang	W 2- 4/ Sitke U 35 20 5 35 20 5 35 20 5	soll same scass ter ala Millow Height (1) 14 4-2	1255 (No.)	Fan. Fan. ioint R Species Moss Rhytholodel Care X Achilles Salax Arabis Podagro	e throng eadgrass #1 phis lorens mertensis a SHchensis lyrata	h para h p	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Species Alnus s Athyrine Sambric Heracles Henchern Epilobi	Aquatic. S (fan) areas u s Alder - senarta m fehx rus un lerahu qialora un ang	** 2- 4/ 5 the U ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	soll same scass for all Height (ft) 14 21-2	LES (No.)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytich. adel Care X Achilles Salax Arabis Podearo Agresti	e Hrrang ecolograss #1 partensis mertensis stichensis byrata	h para % Cover 30 (2 AF) 27 	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some o PCT: Sitte Socies Alnus s Athyrium Sambric Heracles Henchern Epilobium	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u areas u sinacta m felix - glabra m glabra m glabra	W 2- 4/ shar Sitke V % Cover 70 75 20 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 3 5 20 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5	Soll SAMF Scaso for ald <u>W///ow</u> Height (ft) <u>14</u> <u>4</u> <u>8</u>	LES (No.)_ real control of the second seco	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Moss Annex Achilles Salax Arabis Podagro Agresni Pod	e throng eccelyress mertensis mertensis stichensis hyrata sprealise the Region	h рася % Cover Я О (ДАР) Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Almus Athyrine Sambric Heracles Henchera Epilobium	Aquatic.s (fan) areas u areas u areas u areas u sinnata m felix qlabra m arg m gladeles m arg	W 2- 4/ 5 5hor 70 5 20 5 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Soll SAMF Scass for all <u>V///ow</u> Height (ft)	LES (No.)	Fan. Fan. Doint R Species Moss Moss Marex Achille Salax Arabis Podears Agresti Rayos Arabis	e Hrang codgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis a Sthehensis s porealis the Region	h para % Cover 50 ((AF) 27. 1 27. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Allumal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Almus Althyrin Samber Heracles Heracles Epilobium Stellaric Stellaric	Aquatic.s (fan) areas u areas u are	** 2- 4/ 5 5 5 20 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	soll same scass ler ale <u>Nillow</u> <u>Height (ft)</u> <u>14</u> <u>24</u> <u>8</u>	LES (No.)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytholodel Carex Achilla Salax Podagro Fodagro Fodagro Agrabis	e throng eadgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis a Stichensis by cata to porealise to good	h part h part 30 (AF) 1 24 T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft)	DBH (7)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Species Alnus s A Athyrium Sambuc Heracles Henchern Epilobium Schellaric Schellaric Schellaric	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u areas u are	W 2- 4/ Sitke U 5 Cover 70 F 20 5 20 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	soll same scass ter ala Millow Height (1) 14-2	1 ES (No.) real co / Blue j DBH () / C / C 31 y	Fan. Fan. iornt R species Moss Rhytholodel Care X Achilles Salax Arabis Podearo Agreshi Podearo Agreshi Podearo	e throng calgross #1 pris lorens mertensis sertensis brata the giou the giou trickee	h part 50 12 AF T 12 AF 12 AF T 12 AF 12 AF 1	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Species Alnus s Athycian Sambric Heracle. Hencherr Epilobium Stellaric Stellaria	Aquatic-S (fan) areas L areas L are	W 2- 4/ 5 5 20 5 20 5 3 4 8 3 4 3 5 3 4 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 4 3 5 5 3 5 5 5 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Soll SAME Scaso for ald <u>W//low</u> Height (ft) <u>14</u> <u>21-2</u>	1255 (No.) 1261 (1) 1271 (1)	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytholdel Carex Achilles Salax Arabis Podearo Agreshis Podearo Podearo Magulus Musss	e Hrrang ecclograss #1 pris loreus martensis a SHChensis briata the Relieve the Relieve	h part % Cover 30 (2 AF) 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus Athyrium Sambino Heracies Henchera Epilobium Stellarie Von Oso Stellarie	Aquatic.s (fan) arras L arras L arr	W 2- 4/ show // show 35 20 3 20 3 3 3 4 1 20 3 3 4 1 20 3 3 4 1 20 3 4 1 20 3 4 1 20 5 3 4 20 5 3 4 20 5 4 3 4 4 4 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5	Soll SAMF Scass for all <u>V///ow</u> Height (R) 14 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	125 (No.)_ 125 (No.)_ 121 (121) 121 (121)	Fan. Fan. Doint R Species Moss Moss Arrahis Achilla Salares Agrahis Podagto Podagto Rayakis Podagto Rogalas Rogalas Rogalas Rogalas Rogalas	e throng codgrass M/ point lorenss mertonssis states state	h part 50 ((AF) 727. 74 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Height (ft)	DBH (7)
Allumal Some of PCT: Sitk Species Almus A Athyrine Samber Heracles Heracles Epilobium Stellonic Galium Galium	Aquatic-S (fan) areas u areas areas u areas u areasa	W 2- 4/ Sithe U N Cover 70 80 35 20 5 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Soll SAMF Scass for all <u>Height (ft)</u> <u>Height (ft)</u>	LES (No.)_ ria! c / Blue; DBH () √C 31 y √C	Fatt. Fatt. Species Moss Rhythiledel Carex Achilles Salars Podears Podears Raybus Podears Agrabus Podears Podea Podea Podears Pode	e Hrang cadgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis stata Stata Stata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata	h part h part 30 (AF) 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Height (ft) 20	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Alnus s Alnus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Epilobium Epilobium Stellarie Ubr. Obi Stellarie Galivm	Aquatic. S (fan) areas u areas areas u areas areas u areas areas u areas areas	**************************************	soll same scass ler ale <u>Willow</u> Height (ft) <u>14</u> <u>24</u> <u>2</u> <u>24</u> <u>2</u> <u>24</u> <u>24</u> <u>24</u> <u>24</u>	LES (No.) real of / Blue DBH () / C VC 31 y VC	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhytholodel Carex Achilla Salax Podagros Podagros Rodegros Podagros Rogalus Moss Moss Poda	e Hrrang codgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis strenensis tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata tyrata	h part h part 30 (AF) 1 24 T T 1 24 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (ft) 20 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Species Alnus s Athyrine Sambric Heracles Henchern Epilobium Stelloric Yor Bon Stelloric Galium Cal Can	Aquatic-S (fan) areas	x 2 - 4/ 5 5 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Soll SAME Scass for ald <u>W//low</u> Height (ft) <u>14</u> <u>24</u> <u>2</u> <u>4</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u>	LES (No.)_ Tel (1. Since)	Fan. Fan. iornt R species Moss Rhytholodel Care X Achille Brabis Podagro Agrestis Podagro Agrestis Podagro Agrestis Podagro Agrestis Podagro Poda	e Hrrang ecolograss #1 pris loreus mertensis stichensis briata trickeea #2 P.gizu	h para h para 50 10 F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Socies Alnus Sambric Heracles Henchern Epilobium Stellaric Galivini Cal Chin	Aquatic-S (fan) areas 1 areas 1 areas 1 areas 1 sinacta m felser - sinacta m felser - glabra m gladalse areas areas m gladalse areas - glabra - gl	W 2- 4/ show Sither 1/ 35 20 3 20 5 3 4 3 5 3 4 3 5 3 4 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Soll SAME Scass for ald <u>V///ow</u> Height (R) 17	LES (No.)_ ria! 0 / Bing DBH (?) √C √C 31 y √C	Fatt. Fatt. Species Moss Moss Anter Artex Podearo Podearo Podearo Podearo Podearo Podearo Podearo Pode Moss Dea E	e Hrang ceedgrass M/ point loreus mertonsin a SHChensis hyrata SHChensis byrata SHChensis byrata 2 previous 2 pre	h part h part 50 (AF) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Height (ft) 20 CLAF SO CLAF	DBH (7)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitk Species Alnus Samber Samber Heracles Henchern Epilobium Stelloric UN Bon Stelloric Galivin Cal Chin	Aquatic-S (fan) areas 11 areas 11	W 2- 4/ Sither 70 80 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Soll SAMF Scass for ale <u>V///oev</u> Height (ft)	LES (No.)_ real co / Blue; DBH (?) √C 31.y √C	Fan. Fan. Doint R Species Moss Rhytchiedel Care X Achille Salax Arabis Podagro Agresti Podagro Spulus Moss Dea E	e Hrang cadgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis state State by cata State prista to Region 42 * 2 Region	h part 50 (AF) 1 1 27. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft)	DBH(*)
Alluvial Some of PCT: Sitte Alnus s Alnus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Almus s Concern Epilobium Stellarie Ubri Con Stellaria Galivm Cal Chin	Aquatic-S Fan areas u areas	W 2- 4/ 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Soll SAMF Scass Icr ald <u>Villow</u> Height (ft) <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u>	LES (No.)_ ria! c ria! c / Blue; DBH () √C √C 31 y √C	Fan. Fan. Species Moss Rhyhdiadel Carex Achilla Salax Podagro Podagro Rarabis Podagro Rarabis Podagro Rarabis Podagro Rarabis Podagro Rarabis	e Hrrang cadgrass #1 pris loreus mertensis storealis to Rejou 42 * 2 Rejiau	h part h part 30 (AF) 1 24 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) 20 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DBH (*)

Plot# 62-1	Surveyors:	LAF TV	1B	Date: 7.	16-05	Landcover class nan	DC: Open A	der-a	illow
GPS used:			WP: 24	3/21/2	exercised	closed tall	older-w	How w	form-
Lat: 59 640	797		Elevation (A): 69	00	gommin	roid unde	istery	
Long: 150 . 27	411		Error ± 5	1.3		Slope (0-100°): 3	37		
Camera used:		Photos taken:	4582-	4584		Aspect (0-360°):	310	true	e) (magn
Kaclok I	1	Air Photo #	Soldon	e C-14	-19	Plot Size: 30 x	<u>30</u> (ft)	t	-
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetate	d	% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf	-		Litter, duff			Alkalinity	0		
Broadleaf	-		Wood (>0.4	")	70	pH _	1.9		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	55 51	5-18	Silt (feel on	tongue)		Draw soils schemat	tic below:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)	20	.2-5	Sand (feel b	/w fingers)		(include horizon, tex	cture, depths, wate	r table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")	-	-	Small rocks	(gravel <3")		110 04	14-		
Forb	35 75		Large rocks	-	30		duff		
Grass	15		(cobbles 3-8	", boulders >8	2	2"	a home	- come	Sandy
Sedge	0		Bedrock			Carton	D Drow	9 >	
Moss	10 86		Trunks of tr	ees/ A	NN	2012	A	. t. I.d.	.il h
Lichen	7		(basal area)	10		m m	L uns	oruge	1 and the
Unvegetated	3		Other (descr	nbe):			Su.5 0	menton	gravel
Water			-			V	Catt	1. Sitt, San	¥ .
Other			-					,	
Biome:	Hydrologic	Regime:	Landform	-		till .	on bedruck		
Alpine	Dry		hill si	deslope	- bendryc	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Subalpine	Mesic		-	/					
Forest (suruk	Wet		TREE COR	ES (No.) Z					
Bog	Aquatic - F	w	VASCULA	RS COLLECT	ED (No.) <u>P</u> 4	*			
Herb meadow	Aquatic - b	rackish .	NON-VASO	ULARS COL	LECTED (No.)				
Kipanan	Aquanc - 3	**	SOIL SAM	LES (140.)	-				
bearro	al photos	G2-1 Johns Fran be	1/gran	d mario	we CHIN)	pc7	: Sitka A Blue 101	lder int Rea	Sitte a
pedro Charles Jack seven Species	al photos	GZ-1 Iskns Som Ran be	Height (ft)	DBH (we CHII) of their Species	pc7	Sitka A Blue join	lder int Rea Height (ft)	Sille a
bedro Chera Hade seven Species Alm YNY S	al photos	G2-1 Iskna Fran be Kan be 40	Height (ft)	DBH (1)	we CHill) of their Species Dry cap	pc1 Isect	Sitka A Blue joi % Cover	Ider Int Rea Height (ft)	SITL Z
beatro Compa Haok seven Species Alm inv s Sol sit	al photos	G2-1 Johnson Gram bo 40 30	Height (A) 3-18 3-15	DBH() 2.7 4.0	w CHill) of their Species Dry cap Ath Al	pc7 Isect	Sitka A Blue join % Cover 10 30	Ider Int Rea Height (A)	SITL 2
bedroe Church Species Alin Yor S Sal sit Rubspe	al photos	G2-1 Johnson Fran be No Cover 40 30 T	Height (ft) 3-18 3-15 3	DBH (*) 2.7- 4.0	w CHII) of the Species Dry exp Ath Al	pc7 1sect	Sitka A Blue you 10 30	lder Int Rea Height (ft)	Sitte a
bedro Church Species Alu sor s Sel sit Rubspe Som rac	al photos	G2-1 Johnson Fran be No Cover 40 30 T T	Height (A) 3-18 3-15 3-5	d marie) hose DBH (*) 2.7 4.0	ve CHII) of their Species Pry car Ath A	PCT	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30	lder nt Rea Height (ft)	Sift a
bearre choir Fook seven Species Alm sin s Sel sit Rebspe Som rac Cal con	al photos	62-1 Iskna Ram bo 40 30 T T 10	Height (A) 3-18 3-18 3-5	DBH (*) 2.7 4.0	w CHill) of the Species Ory ear Ath Al	pct sect	: Sitka A Blue joi %Cover 10 30	Height (ft)	
bedree Church Species Alm YN - C Soll sit Rubspe Coll con Pod sp.	al photos	62-1 Johnson Ramber 40 30 T T 10 5 T	Height (A) 3-18 3-15 3 (LAF)	DBH() 2.7 4.0	we CHill) of their Species Pry care Ath Al	pct pc1	: Sitka A Blue joi % Cover 10 30	Ider nt Rec Height (ft)	SITE #
bearing protesses Species Alla var 2 Sel sit Rubspe Som rac Cel con Pea sp. Car mer	al protos	62-1 Johnson Fran be 30 T T 10 5 T	1/grav Height (ft) 3-18 3-15 3 (LAF)	d maris DBH() 2.7 4.0	w CHill) of theu Species Ath Al	pct pct	7: Sitka A Blue jon % cover 10 30 2 2	Height (11)	Sifte a
bearing pook servin Species Alla YAY S Sel Sit Rubspe Som rac Cal con Pag sp. Car wer Royroshis	P.gionce Blaskana	62-1 Istens Pran be 40 30 T T T 10 5 T 10	1/grann each (c) Height (ft) 3-18 3-15 3 -5 	d maris DBH (1) 2.7 4.0	W CHII) of the Species Ath Al	lor	: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 2 75	lder Int Rec Height (ft)	Sift a
been ice Church Frok server Species Alu nix s Sal sit Rubspe Som rac Cal can Poa sp. Car wer Regraphis Car wer	P.glouce olaskana culietus	62-1 10km 10km 40 30 T T 10 5 T 1 1 5 5 1 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1/gravn each (6) 3-18 3-15 3-5 (LAF) (LAF)	d maris DBH (1) 2.7 4.0 CLUAF	We CHill) as there species Pry CAP ATM AI Rest Day Hold Stress	lor	7: Sitka A Blue joj %Cover 10 30 2 75 8 2	Her nt Rea Height (B)	Sifte a
bearro Comma Jack seven Species All var e Sel sit Rubspe Sem rac Cel cen Rog sp. Cer wer Rograshis Epilobum	P.glowce P.glowce P.glowce P.glowce P.glowce	62-1 101000 Rean be 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000	1/gravn each (a) 3-18 3-15 3 (LAF) (LAF) 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	d maris) have DBH() 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) (LLAF)	We CHill) of their Species Pry Care Ath Al Rhy Al Rhy Gr Rhy Gr Rhy Gr Rhy Gr	lor	7: Sitka A Blue joi % Cover 10 30 30 2 75 8 2	lder Int Rec Height (ft)	DBM (")
bearing fook seven Species Alm var of Sol sit Rubspe Som vac Cal con Poa spi Car wer Agrochs Epilobuum Epilobuum	P. glouce P. glouce P. glouce P. glouce P. glouce P. glouce T. glouce	62-1 101000 101000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	Height () Height () 3 - 18 3 - 15 3 5 (LAF) 1 etam Horre	d maris DBHC) DBHC) 2.7 4.0 (LHF) (LHF) MBNDii	We (Hill) as there species Ory exp Ath Al Reny R	lor	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 1 T	lder Int Ree Height (ft)	SITL 2
Leok sover species Alu Yur S Species Alu Yur S Species Alu Yur S Species Alu Yur S Species Alu Yur S Species	P.glowe elaskana fulletur	62-1 Iskra Pran be 40 30 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 1 8 6 T 1 8 6 T 1 1 8 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height () Height () 3 - 18 3 - 18 3 - 15 3 5 (LAF) (LAF) Notum Horne	d marie DBH(7) 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) (LLAF) (LAF)	W (Hill) of the Species Pry eng All Al Eny Rent lov High of Rhyz of Poccadur Polymiel	lor	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T	klør ht Rec Height (B)	
bearro Company And Seren Species Ally viv c Sal sit Rubspe Sam rac Cal cen Poz sp. Car wer Poz sp. Car wer Roysolis Epilobrum Har Ian Opil hor Hay ala	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce plaskana relietus The	62-1 10 krss 10 krs	1/gran ach 6 Height (ft) 3-18 3-15 3 (LAF /LAF) horre	d marie) have DBH() 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Mennii	We CHill) al trace Species Pry CAP ATM AI Rhy AI Rhy CAP Rhy CAP Rh	lor b b b c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	r: Sitka A Blue joj %Cover 10 30 30 2 75 8 2 7 7 5 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	lder Int Rec Height (B)	
bearing prote seven Species Alla var a Sel sit Rubspe Som rac Cal con Pagas Pagas Ser brer Repilobum Epilobum Harlon Harlon Son cit	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce Tog Tog The the the the the the the the the the t	62-1 1010000 1010000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 10000000 100000000	1/grann each 6 Height (A) 3-18 3	d mais) hose DBH(C) 2.7 4.0 (LHF) (LHF) MBDDii	W (HII) of the Species Ory eng Ath Al Reny	lor lor lor lor gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 5 8 2 7 1 1 7	Ker nt Rec Height (B)	
bearing pack server Species Alm var of Sal sit Rubspe Sam rac Cal cen Pea spi Car mer Regrostis Epilobrum Har lan Opi hor Heu gla Ste sit Reg of Sam as an	P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce	(2-1) Istra Aran be * Cover 40 30 T 7 10 5 5 7 10 5 5 7 10 5 5 7 10 5 7 10 5 5 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (ft) 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-5 (LAF) Ketum horre	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) (LLAF) Mannii	W (Hill) of the Species Pry exp Ath Al Reny Reny Reny Reny Reny Reny Prove	lor b b gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 1 T T T	klør ht Rec Height (ft)	
bearing frok serving Species Alla var s Sol Sit Rubspe Som rac Cal cen Roga sp. Car wer Rograshis Epilobrum Hor lon Opi har Hen gla Ste Sit Egu srr Hen gla	P.glonce elaskama recilietur sm	62-1 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 100000000	Height (A) 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 (LAF) (LAF) A strum horre	d marie) have DBH(1) 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Mennii	W (Hill) af tou Species Pry exp ATM AI Reat loy High at Picture Picture Fungues -	lor h h gilled	r: Sitka A <u>Blue joi</u> *Cover 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T	kler Height (B)	Sifte a
bedroc Comma Jack seven Species All vir c Sel sit Rubspe Som rac Cal com Poa sp. Car brev Rograchis Cal com Rograchis Callobrum. Hor lon Opt her Her an Ste sit Egel orr Right orr Rograchis Callobrum.	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce F.glouce F.glouce F.glouce	(2-1) 101000 101000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 100000	1/gran 1 1/gran 6 Height (A) 3-18	d mais DBH(C) 2.7 4.0 CLUAF) Magniti	W (Hill) of the Species Pry eng Ath Al Ringt by Ringt by Ringt by Ringt of Ringt of Fly mich Fungus -	lor h h gilled	: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7	bler Int Rec Height (B)	
bearing pack server Species Alm var as Sol sit Rubspe Som vac Cal com Poa spi Car wer Regrachs Epilobrum Harlan Opl har Hen gla Ste sit Res sit Can bar Ste and Ste	P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce	(2-1) Iskrso Aran be "Cover 40 30 T T 10 5 T 1 10 5 T 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-5 (LAF) Notum horre	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LIAF) 72HF) monnii	W (Hill) of the Species Pry exp Ath Al Eny Reny Reny Reny Reny Prime Prime Fungus -	lor b b gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 5 7 7 7 7	klør ht Rec Height (ft)	
bearon construction fook sover species Alla YAY S Som rac Cal con Pod 39. Car wer Pod 39. Car wer Sourt and Car Ste sit Ste sit Ste sit Ste ori Ste o	P.glowe Blaskana F.glowe Blaskana Gelietur	(2-1) Iskra Is	Height (ft) 3-18 5-15 3-78 5-75 (LAF) Norne Horne	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LHF) (LHF) anonnii	W (Hill) of the Species Pry exp Ath Al Reny Reny Reny Pript of Privel Fungus -	lor b lor all s all all	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T	Kler Height (B)	
Peoleo peoleo peoleo peoleo peoleo Species Alm Yar c Species Alm Yar c Species Spec	P.glowce P.glowce P.glowce Collistant Tog Tog	(2-1) 10 horso 10 horso	Height (A) Height (A) 3-18	d marie) have DBH() 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Mennii 	W (Hil) of the Species Pry exp Ath A Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Rint loy Fingus - Fungus -	lor lor lagent lor lagent gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joi %Cover 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T	bler Height (B)	
Peol vo Chung Jack seven Species Alm var a Seel sit Rubspe Som vac Cal con Roa ay. Ray aver Rayrashis Cal con Roa ay. Car wer Rayrashis Cal con Roa ay. Car wer Rayrashis Cal con Roa ay. Car wer Rayrashis Cal con Cal con Roa ay. Car wer Rayrashis Cal con Sta sit Can sev Nar Sit Can sev Nar Sit Can sev Nich bar Sta sit Son pun Ryt esz	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce	(2-1) 101000 101000 100000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 1000	Height (A) Beight (A) 3-18 3-18 3-5 (LAF) Notron Horre de of	d mais) hose DBH() 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) 2#F) Monnii 	W (Hill) of the Species Ory exp Ath Al Reny	lor lor lor lor gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7	Klør nt Rece Height (B)	
Jeok sava Jeok sava Species Alla Yar S Sol Sit Rubspe Som rac Cal con Poa sp. Car wer Agrosts Epilobum Hor lon Hor Jon Hor Ste Sit Son pun Ryt 852	P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce Plaskana (10) STA	(2-1) Istra Istra Istra I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Height (A) 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 (LAF) Norne Horne 1 4 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF)	W CHIN) of the Species Pry exp Ath Al Eny Reny Reny Ath Al Chy Reny Ath Al Chy Reny Reny Ath Al Chy Reny Reny Chy Chy Chy Chy Chy Chy Chy Ch	lor b b guilted	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 75 8 2 1 T T	kler	
bearro Common Species Alle vir c Sol sit Rubspe Cal con Poa sp. Car wer Royashis Epilobrum Epilobrum Har lan Opil har Har ala Ste sit Esit con Royashis Cal con Royashis Epilobrum Har lan Opil har Har ala Ste sit Ste sit	P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce	(2-1) Istra Istra In Istra In I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Height (f) 3-18	d marie) have DBH(1) 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Mennii 	W CHII) af tou Species Pry exp ATM AI Remy of Remy of Remy of Picture Fungues -	lor b h gilled	7: Sitka A <u>Blue joi</u> %Cover 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T	kler http://www.alignedicality.com/alignedical	
bearre Comma Jack sever Species Alu vir c Subspe Som rac Cal con Poa sp. Cor brev Regrachis Epilobum Har lon Opi her Her lon Opi her Her sit Ste sit Sop pun Pit 052	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce	(2-1 101000 101000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	1/gran	d mais) hose DBH() 2.7 4.0 (LHF) 2#F) monit	W CHIN) of there species Ory exp Ath Al Reny Ren	lor lor lor lor lor lor lor lor lor lor	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7	Kler nt Rece Height (1)	
Peor vo Church Jack Saren Species Alla Yar & Sol Sit Rubspe Som rac Cal con Poa sp. Car wer Pagashs Car wer Pogashs Con Mer Polobium Har Jon Har gia Ste Sit Son pun Cyt 852	P.gloure photos phot	(2-1) Istra Is	Height (A) Beight (A) B-18 3-18 3-18 3-15 3-5 (LAF) Notum horre	d maris DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) 2.4F) mannii 	W (Hill) of the Species Pry cap Ath Al Eny Rep de Rep de Provedur Pely mich Fungus -	lor b gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7 7 7	klør	
Leaver Leak seven Species All xx c Species All xx c Species All xx c Species All xx c Species All xx c Species All xx c Species Species All xx c Species Species All xx c Species All xx c Cal con Poa 3p. Car wer Agrastis Species Species Agrastis Agrastis	P.glowe Blaskana Glistana Sm	(2-1 Istra Istra (1) Istra Istra I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Height (ft) 3-18 5-15 3-78 (LAF) Norne Horne	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Monnii 	W CHII) of the Species Pry eng Ath Al Reny of Reny of Privation Fungues -	lor b land and ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap ap	7: Sitka A Blue joi %Cover 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T T	Kler	
Peol vo (public peole vo (public Species Alm var c Species Alm var c Species	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce Tog Transmission	(2-1 101000 101000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	Height (A) Beight (A) 3-18 3-18 3-5 (LAF) A strand Horre 4 of 1	d mais) hose DBH(C) 2.7 4.0 (LHF) (LHF) mgnnii	W CHIN) of thou species Ory eng Ath Al Reny	lor lor lor gilled	7: Sitka A Blue jei 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T T	Kler	
bearre proving prov	P. glonce P. glonce P. glonce P. glonce P. glonce P. glonce	(2-1 Istro Istro Ran be "Cover I T T I S T I I S C T I I Z I I Z I I Z I I Z I I Z I I Z I I Z I I Z I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Height (A) Beight (A) 3-18 3-18 3-15 3- 5- (LAF) Notum horre	d maris DBH (*) 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) 2HF) monnii	W (Hil) of the Species Ory exp Ath Al Rhy Rhy Or Rhy Car Rhy Car Rhy Car Phy Car Ph	lor lor per p p p p p p p p p p p p p	7: Sitka A Blue joj 10 30 2 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7 7 7	klør	
bearre fook seren Species Alin vir c Sol sit Rubspe Som rac Cal cen Foo op: rar wer Regrooms Epilobrum Her Ian Opi har Heu gia Ste sit Gan bar Ste cri So pun Ryt 852 page 1 of 1 page	P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce P.glonce	(2-1 Istra Istra Gran be Nover 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 T 10 5 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (A) Beight (A) 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 3-18 (LAF) (LAF) Norne Norne	d maris) have DBH(") 2.7 4.0 (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF) (LLAF)	W CHIN) of the Species Pry exp Ath Al Reny Reny Reny Reny Pry mich Pry mich Fungus	lor b b gilled	7: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 75 8 2 7 7 7 7 7	Updated Ju	
Pack Seven Species Alm Yor C Species Alm Yor C Species Alm Yor C Species Species Alm Yor C Species S	P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce P.glouce	(2-1 Istra Istra Ran Me Your Your T Io S T I S S Cover I S Cover I Cover Cover I Cover I Cover I Cover	Height (A) Beight (A) 3-18	d maris DBH () 2.7 4.0 (LHF) Mornii plot	Benty CHII)	lor lor lange lange lange gilled	: Sitka A Blue joi 10 30 2 75 8 2 T T	Wer	

Plot # GZ-Z	Surveyors:	TVB L	AF	Date: 7 -	17.05-	Landcover class name:	Open Toll	Alder	- 4/1/
GPS used: Ban	MMM 1		WP: Z2	4230	surged	closed tall	alder-w	110W	w/
Lat 59.648	318	· · · ·	Elevation (f	t): 361	0	Calcon - F	or unde	rstary	relicas
Long: 150.2	7306		Error +	22.5		Slope (0-100°): 5			and the second
Camera used:		Photos taken:	4586.	4587		Aspect (0-360°): 3	20	tru	
Kodaki		Air Photo # .	Seldarz	C-1	4-19	Plot Size: 30 x 2	O(ft)		d'
									-
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetated	d	% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf	<i>†</i>	30	Litter, duff		70	Alkalinity			
Broadleaf	3	30	Wood (>0.4"	7		pH D.	1		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	50	5-15	Silt (feel on	tongue)		Draw soils schematic l	below:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)	15 18	3-5	Sand (feel b/	w fingers)		(include horizon, textur	e, depths, water t	able etc.)	
Dwart (<8")	40.67		Small rocks	(gravel <3")		0.5"	duff		
Conce	40 5 L		Large rocks	" houldon >		1"	O dark bro	m. sak	nic
Codas	5 16		Redrock	, bounders >	1		O Dave a		11 7
More	26		Trunks of tra			siltlayer 1.5	B brown -	Swad 21	IF I
Moss	40		Trunks of the	res			Sand 10	in an	vel.co
Lichen	7		(basar area)	ab a b		- maison	Daverie		
Water	hn		Waler (desen	(Je):	30	0.000	water at	4"	1.
Other			word		00		subang	ulan h	//
Blame	In the second second	Dealers	Landler		-		gravel 50	"lu. 50	not 2
A laine	Tydrologic	regime:	Landform				sif 10	1. cu	65L 2
Alpine	Dry		sides	ype ber	an	4	101	, -	
Formet	West		TREE COR	20 (010) 2	to hote	Prest and P	ob bol to	pre s	cone
Rog	Acentia	W	VASCILLA		ED (No) 2	et bes	- likely a	lue to	bears
Dog	Aquane - F	a abilab	NONLY	TT ADD COLLECT		(ripping	boric off	-	
Pinerion	Aquatic - br	ackisn 1/	SOT CALC	LARS COL	LECTED (NO.) P				
PCT: Sitks	Alder-Sil	GZ-1 Tro Willo	"fr	Bluejo	ites	iges			
PLT: Sitks	Alder-Sil	Cover	W ~	Blue jon 3 juren	species	<i>iqes</i>	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PLT: Sitks Species Pic sit-	Alder-Sil	62-1 Ke Willo %Cover 7	Height (ft) 4-30	В Ive jo З јичен Двн (*) 7.8	species Car me	1998S	% Cover	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PLT: Sitks Species Pic sit- Pop bel t	Alder-Sil	62-1 <u>*Cover</u> 7 3	W Height (ft) 4-30 30	Вие уог З јичен Двн (*) 7.8 4.6	species Car me	1985	% Cover 5	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bal t Alm vir s	Alder-Sit	62-1 <u>* Cover</u> 7 3 20	Height (ft) 4-30 30 1-15	Blue jon 3 juve n DBH (*) 7.8 4.6	species Car me Car me Car mad	raes	% Cover 5 1/5 T	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bel t Aln vir s Sal sit	Alder-Sit	62-1 <u>* Cover</u> 7 3 20 30	Height (ft) 4-30 30 1-15 3-12	В Ive уог З јичен 9.8 4.6	species Car mer Car mar Car mar Car mar Agrostis	racheeta (collected C)	% Cover 5 16 T G1-1)1	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Alm vir's Sal sit Sal bar	Alder-Sil	6 2-1 * Cover 7 3 20 30 15	Height (ft) 4-30 30 1-15 3-12 3-12	Виело З јичен 1.8 4.6	species Car me Car me Car mad Car mad Car mad Figrosha	raes roctizeta (coltestade) askanz	% Cover 5 165 7 6 (-1) 1	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species PIC sit POP bel. t Alm viris Sel sit Sel bar	Alder-Sil	6 2-1 Ke Willo *Cover 7 3 20 30 15	Height (ft) 4-30 30 1-15 3-12 3-16	Blue jo 3 juven 9.8 4.6	species Car me Car me Car mac Car me	ractizeta (colicateda) postanz	% Caver 5 1/5 T G I-1) 1	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pip bel t Aln vir s Sal sit Sal bar San rac	Alder-Sil	6 2-1 Ke Willo *Cover 7 3 20 30 15 1	Haght (ft) 4-30 30 1-15 3-12 3-16 1	Blue jor 3 juven 9.8 4.6	species Car mer Car mer Car mage Agrostis P	ract Bets (collected a) Ipskanz	% Cover 5 15 6 1-1) 1	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PLT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bel + t Alm viris Sal sit Sal bar Sam rec Rub spe	Alder-Sil	62-1 * Cover 7 3 20 30 15 1 2	Haght (ft) 4-30 30 3-12 3-12 3-16 - 1 5	Вие от З учест 9.8 4.6	species Species Car me. Car mac Car mac Car mac Agrostis Porto P	raat sets (collected a) askana 1	% Cover 5 15 7 6 (-1) 1 9	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pap bel + Ala vir - Sel sit Sel bor Sel bor Ban reac Rub Spe Opt Par	Alder-Sil	62-1 % Cover 7 3 20 30 15 1 2 T	W (************************************	В Ive уо 3 јичен 19.8 4.6	Species Car mee Car mee Car mee Car mae Agrostis Printe ap King agro	ractineta (collected a) postana	% Cover 5 1/5 6 1-1) 1 6 1-1) 1 9 10	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species PIC sit- Pop bel + Rin vir - s Sel sit- Sel ber Sel ber Sel pop Qd Par	Alder-Sil	62-1 <u>* Cover</u> 7 <u>3</u> <u>20</u> <u>30</u> <u>15</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> 7 2 7 3 30 15 1 2 7 3 30 15 1 2 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Heght (ft) 4-30 3-12 5-16- 7 5	Вне јог 3 јичен 1.8 4.6	species Car mer Car mer Car con Cor mac horostis Pl thile ap Rh. Sor Plagic on	ipas inactiseda (colitate d'a) laskana l um (listanot	³⁶ Cover 5 15 6 1-1) 1 6 1-1) 1 9 10 7	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PLT: Sitks PLC : Sitks Plc sit Pop bel + Aln vir - s Sal sit Sal bar San rac Rub spe Oct har EVI BSD (ch	Alder-Sil	62-1 % o Willo % Cover 7 3 20 80 15 15 1 2 7	Heger (A) H-Ger (A) 4-30 3-15 3-15 3-12 5-16- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	В Ive уо 3 јичен 19.8 4.6	the sector of th	ract Bets (collected a) laskanz 1 	% Cover 55 77 61-1)1 9 10 77 4	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bel. + Aln vir s Sel sit Sel bar Sen rec Rub spc Opt Nar Pyl 252 (ch Pyl 252 (ch	Alder - Sil	G 2-1 Ko Willo *Cover 7 3 20 80 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	и Haghu (ft) 4-30 30-12 3-12 5-16- 1 5 СДИЕ-	Вие јо 3 јичен 19.8 46	Species Car mee Car mee Car mag Agrostis Bi Hile ap Ray anno Ray a	ract peta (collected a) post 2 na 1 (um (12000 (um op) (um op)	* Cover 5 7 6 - 1) / 9 10 7 7 4 1	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pap bel + Ala vir s Sel sit Sel ber Sel ber Beb Sec Opt Har Fyl BSE (eth Syrola = Orth Sec	Alder - Sil	G 2-1 K D Willo M Cover 7 7 3 2 3 0 1 2 7 2 7 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2	и Нары (ft) 4-30 3-12 5-16- 1 5 СДИЕ	Вие јо Зјичен ОВН (?) 7.8 46	species Car mer Car mer Car mar Car mar Agrostis Philo ap Rhy am Phalia mo, Rhy am Phalia mo, Rhy am Phalia mo, Rhy am Philo ap Philo an Philo an Philo an Philo an	ractizets (collected a) (collected a) (colle	% Cover 5 16 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 10 7 7 4 10 7 7 4 1 1 5	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks PCT: Sitks PCC sit PCC sit PCC belt All vir is Sel sit Sel ber Bub Spe Opt Par PVI BSB (etc. PVI BSB (et	Alder - Sil	G 2-1 K e Willo M Cover 7 2 20 30 15 12 7 2 7 2 4 2 2 4 30	Haden (A) Haden (A) H-30 J-15 J-15 J-15 J-15 J-15 J-15 J-15 J-15	8 hve jo 3 juren DBH (*) 7.8 46	species Species Car mer Car mer Car mar Agrostis Billion Pilosia enn. Rhizeannis Pilosia enn. Rhizeannis Pilosia enn. Rhizeannis Pilosia enn. Rhizeannis Pilosia enn. Rhizeannis Pilosia enn. Pilosia enn. Pilo	I collected all in collected all in collected all in collected all in collected all in collected in collecte	% Cover 5 7 6 (-1) / 10 7 4 10 7 4 10 7 4 1 5 2	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PLCT: Sitks PLC sit Pop belt th Sal sit Sal bar Sal	Alder-Sil	G 2-1 K & Willow 7 3 20 15 15 1 2 7 - 2 4 2 - 2 - 2 - - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	нери (Ф) Нери (Ф) 30 3-12 5-16- 1 5 СДИЕ СЛИЕ	В № 30 3 јичен 1964 (*) 7.8 4.6	species Car mee Car mee Car mag Agrostis Bi Bicrom Philosophilosophil Philosop	racti secto (collecte de la seste na la sete na la	* Cover 5 7 7 6 - 1) 1 9 10 7 7 4 1 1 2 1	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bel t Ala vir s Sal sit Sal sit Sal bar Bub Spe Opt Rar Pyrola = Orth Sec Fol BSD (oth Pyrola = Orth Sec Fou orth Gallburn Br onp	Alder - Sil	G 2-1 K & Willow 7 7 3 20 30 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Hegen (A) Hegen (A) 30 3-12 5-16- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	В Ive уо З јиче на Овн (т) 7.8 4.6	species Car mer Car mer Car mer Car mer Car mer Agrostis Dires ap Pholice mon Pholice mon Pholice mon Pholice mon Phillium Phillium Phillium	ractizeta (collected a) ask 2 n2 	¹ % Cover 5 165 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 7 7 10 7 10 7 7 4 1 1 5 2 1 1	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitke PCT: Sitke Species Pic sit Pop bel t Ala vir is Sel sit Sel ber Sel ber Bub spec Opt Bar Pyrole - Orth Sec Cith Sec Cith Sec Sit Bar Sit Sit Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec	Alder-Sil	G 2-1 K & Willow % Cover 7 3 20 80 15 1 2 7	W - 30 80 8-12 3-12 5-16- 7 5 CLME COVOV =	В Ive Jo 3 личен 1946 196	Hes Species Car mer Car mer Car mer Car mer Parostis Phile ap Phile ap	ipstanz im off im op cri im sp im mos a (p)m cup)	% Cover 5 7 6 1) 1 9 10 7 4 10 7 4 1 5 2 1 7	Height (ft)	DBH ("
PCT: Sitks PCT: Sitks Pop belt Pop belt Pop belt Sel sit Sel sit Sel ber Ful BSB (eth Purole - Pul BSB (eth Pul BSB (eth Purole - Pul BSB (eth Pul BSB (eth Pul BSB (eth Pul BSB (eth Pul BSB (eth)) Pul BSB (eth))	Alder-Sil	G 2-1 K & Willow 7 7 20 80 15 15 1 2 7 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Hegen (A) Hegen (A) 4-30 80 3-12 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 4-15 3-16- 5- 6- 6- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7- 7-	В Ive уо 3 јичен DBH(¹) 7.8 46	species Car mee Car mee Car mag Agroshis Birronn Phile op Play of the Play of the Play of the Play of the Pather Pather Pather Pather Pather Pather	racti sets (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a)	% Conver 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 1-1)1 9 10 7 4 10 7 4 1 2 1 1 7 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks PCT: Sitks Pop bel + Pop bel + Pop bel + Pop bel + Sal sit Sal sit	Alder - Sil	G 2-1 K e Willow 7 7 3 20 80 15 1 2 7 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 7 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Hegen (A) Hegen (A) 30 3-15 3-16- 7 (CHE) CLHE CUTON =	В Ive уо 3 јиче на 1940 7.8 4.6	Species Car met Car met Car met Car met Aaroshis Birto ap King anno Printer ap Printer an Phillium	racti peta (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a	¹ % Cover 5 165 7 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 7 10 7 10 7 1 1 5 2 1 1 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitke Sprin PCC: Sitke Pop belt Pala sit Sel sit Sel sit Sel ber Ben rec Rub Spe Opt Rar Pyl BSB (eth Pyrrole 3 Dr M. Sec Fala Sav Gillabum Str Sit Str Sit Sec Sit Corm do Str Sit Sec Sit Corm do Str Sit Sec Sit Corm do Str Sit Sec Sit Str Sit Sec Sit Str Sit Sec Sit Str Sit Sec Sit Str Sit Sec	Alder - Sil	G^{2-1} K = Willower $TTTTZTZTZTZTZTZTZTZTZTTTTTTTT$	и наран (ф) 4-30 30 3-15 3-12 5 -12 5 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12	В Ive уо 3 јиче н Виче н 7.8 4.6	species Car mer Car mer Car mar Car mar Car mar Naroshs Philo Phil	(collected a) (collected a) (colle	% Cover 5 165 7 7 6 1-1) 1 10 7 10 7 10 7 1 1 5 2 1 1 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks Species PIC sit POP belt PIC sit Pop belt Part sit Sal bar Sal bar Bar rec Pub spe Opt har Pyrola Pyrola Pyrola Ste sit Ste sit Brend Ste sit Brend Ste sit Provid Ste sit Provid P	Alder-Sil	G = 1 K = Will cover $K = 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +$	и наран (ф) 4-30 30 3-12 5-16- 1 5 САНЕ Сочен =	В Ive уо 3 јичен DBH(¹) 7.8 46	species Car mee Car mee Car ma Car ma Car ma Car ma Agroshs Bi Phile op Philo Phile	ractizeta (rolitateda) laskznz l um (listurot um (listurot um (jab) um op cri um sp ke moss z (pin cop)	% Cover 5 7 7 6 1-1) 1 9 10 7 4 1 1 5 2 1 7 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pop bell + Alm vir s Sel sit Sel bar Bub spc Oct Bar Bub spc Oct Bar Byrola - Orth Sec Courses or Cyllabum Br anp Sec Cl Ste sit Oremid roum mos file gla	Alder - Sil	G^{2-1} Ke Willow T T T S S S S S S S S	и нери (Ф) 4-30 8-0 3-12 5-16- 1 Сьн= Сьн=	В Ive уо 3 јиче и ОВН (¹) 7.8 4.6	Species Car mee Car mee Car mee Car con Car con Car con Agrostis Dicrosti Philo ap Philosop P	ract pets (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a) (c	% Cover 5 165 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 7 10 7 11 5 2 1 1 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitke Species Pic sit- Pic sit- Pic sit- Sel sit- Sel sit- Sel sit- Sel ber- Bit ber- Bit ber- Pic sit- Pic s	Alder - Sil	G = 1 K = Willow $F = 1F = 1F = 1S = 1$	и наран (ф) 4-30 30- 3-15	В Ive уо 3 јиче на Овн (т) 7.8 4.6	Species Car mer Car mer Car con Car mar Agrostis Dire ap Rhy Sor Flogic ann Rhy zam Philium Philium Rhy thick Father-h Cladonin Pelhaces	(collected a) (collected a) ask 2 n2	% Cover 5 16 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 7 10 7 10 7 1 1 5 2 1 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks pct: Sitks Pic sit Pop belt Sel sit Sel sit Sel ber Exb spe Opt Nar Pyrole - Orth Sec Ful BSS (eth Pyrole - Orth Sec Ful BSS (eth Pyrole - Ste sit Ste sit Ste sit Orth Sec Public	Alder-Sil	G^{2-1} Ke Willow $Ke with Ke withKe with Ke with Ke withKe with Ke withKe with Ke withKe withK$	и наран (ф) 4-30 30 3-12 3-12 5-16- 1 5 Сине Сине	<u>Вие</u> уо 3 јичен <u>Вис</u> <u>7.8</u> 46	species Species Car mer Car mac Car mac Farostis Price Philo ap Philo ap Phil	Ass ractisets (collecteda) laskanz lum (lassof um op cri lum sp le moes e (pin cop) - 5p	% Cover 5 7 6 1) 1 9 10 7 4 1 1 5 2 1 7 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks Species PIC sit Pop belt Pin vir is Sal sit Sal ber Rib spe Opt Ber Rib spe Opt Ber Rib spe Opt Ber Rith Sco Fou orv Epilabum Ste sit Orchid Comm mo Ste sit Orchid Pine gle Pine sp Piexp Rib spe Comm mo Ste sit Orchid Pine sit Pine sit	Alder-Sil	G^{2-1} Ke Willow $77777780151512777801515151277777777$	Hegen (A) Hegen (A) 30 3-12 5-16- 7 7 6 4 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	В Ive уо 3 јиче на ОВН(^с) 7.8 46	Species Car mee Car mee Car mee Car mee Car con Cor mee Agrostis Bi Bicrom Philosop	ract peta (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) post 2 n2 (um (12800) um of b) (um (12800) um of b) (um of b) (% Cover 5 7 7 6 (-1) / 9 10 7 7 4 1 1 5 2 1 7 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit Pap bel + Aln vir s Sel sit Sel sit Sel bar Bub spe Opt Nar Pyl 052 (ch Pyl 052 (ch))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	Alder - Sil	G = 1 K = Willow $777773203015127151271512715127151271512151215122112112112211111111$	и (т) Hitspir(т) 4-30 3-15 3-16- 1 С/НЕ- СИНЕ-	В Ive уо 3 јиче на ВВН (1) 7.8 4.6	Species Car met Cal con Car maz Car maz Agroshis Dictor Philosoppp Philosoppp Philosoppp Philosoppp Philos	ractizeta (collected a) post 2 n2 (collected a) (collected a) (collecte	% Cover 5 165 7 7 6 1-1)1 9 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 1 1 5 2 1 1 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitke Species Pic sit- Pic sit- Pic sit- Sel s	Alder-Sil	G = 1 K = W: lbc $M : Cover73 = 207720801 = 577777777$	Heght (#) 4-30 30 3-12 3-12 5 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12	<u>Вие</u> уо 3 јичен <u>Вис</u> <u>7.8</u> 4.6	species Car mer Car mer Car con Car mar Agrostis Dictorer Pholio and Pholio and Pholio and Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium Philium	Ass (collected a) (collected a) (% Cover 5 165 7 7 6 1-1)1 10 7 10 7 10 7 1 1 5 2 1 7 7	Height (ft)	DBH (*
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit- Pop bel + Pic sit- Sel sit- Sel sit- Sel ber- Sel ber- Rub spec Orth Sec Pil BSD (eth Pyrola - Orth Sec Pic Sit- Orth Sec Pic Sit- Pic Sit-	Alder-Sil	G^{2-1} Ke Willow $Ke Cover 7720801512712712$	и наран (ф) 4-30 30 3-12 5-16- 1 5 СДНЕ Солог =	Blue jo 3 jure n DBH(¹) 7.8 4.6	species Car mee Car mee Car ma Car ma Car ma Agroshs Bl Phile sp Play anno Phile sp Play anno Play anno	ractizeta (rolitateda) laskznz 1 um (listurot um (listurot um (listurot um (listurot um (listurot) um (listurot um (listurot) um (% Cover 5 7 7 6 10 7 7 4 10 7 7 7 7 7	Height (ft)	
PCT: Sitks Species Pic sit- Pop bel. th Sel sit- Sel sit- Sel sit- Sel ber- Sel sit- Sel ber- Rub spec Oct Sec Pul BSB (eth Purola - Pul BSB (eth Purola - Pul BSB (eth Purola - Pul BSB (eth Purola - Ste sit- Grenid Actual Mark Pub Spec Pul BSB (eth Purola - Ste sit- Grenid Pue Spi Pue Spi	Alder-Sil	G = 1 K = Willec $K = Willec K = Willec 77777777$	Hegen (A) Hegen (A) 30 3-12 5-16- 7 7 5 (CLHE) (OVEN =	Blue jo 3 juren DBH(¹) 7.8 4.6	species Car mee Car mee Car mee Car mee Car con Cor mee Agrostis Bi Phile op Play or Phile op Play or Phile op Play or Phile op Play or Phile op Play of the Play of the Cladoning Pelhace	ration and a set of the set of th	% Cover 5 7 7 6 (-1) / 9 10 7 4 1 1 3 2 2 1 7 7 7 7	Height (ft)	DBH (*



FIOL# AS	Surveyors:	T'S	D	ate: 7/1	7/05	Landcover class name:			
GPS used:	5		WP: 9	2		Close	2 car	1010	1
Lat: 59,	5697	9	Elevation (ft):	: 2	15			2 mg	
Long: / 5 U	. 3909	/	Error ±	60		Slope (0-100°):	200		- /
Camera used: Pag	1'5	Air Photo #				Plot Size: x	(ft)	0	uc
/		ru ruoto a				100 0100 A			
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetated		% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf	70	20+	Litter, duff		40	Alkalinity 0,	0		
Broadleaf		00	Wood (>0.4")		10	рн 4,	0		
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	10	11	Silt (feel on ton	ngue)	00	Draw soils schematic	below:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)	10 22		Sand (feel b/w	fingers)		(include horizon, textu	re, depths, water	r table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")			Small rocks (gr	ravel <3")		-			
Forb	6062		Large rocks					24)	no
Grass	T		(cobbles 3-8", t	boulders >8"))	-		-	· n
Moss	8000		Trunks of trees			-		111	D
Lichen	00 63		(basal area)					1	
Unvegetated			Other (describe	:):					de
Water			1			and the first in		14	
Other			-		-			1	org
Biome:	Hydrologic	Regime:	Landform					+	0
Alpine	Dry		Slag	no SI	de hill			110	6 7
Subalpine	(Mesic)		Ster	1 71			. 3	5 1	vor
Forest	Wet		TREE CORES	(No.)		2219	4yrs	10	ic a
Bog	Aquatic - F	W	VASCULARS	COLLECTE	D (No.)	Spine	10	10	0
Herb meadow	Aquatic - br	rackish	NON-VASCUL	LARS COLL	ECTED (No.)	_ /	-	1 v	,
Kapanan	Adame - 3		ACT: Sit	ta Sor	nce 1/2	dy Fern	10	ogr	
Jarge do	adfalls,	small mora	inal ridge	hedro	ck chiffs	- pre 16 og cliff-o.	M forest	el in	Inci
Jarge des Small o Species	ad falls, lefinite	small mora	Height (A) DI	hedro e e b	ck chiffs	- pre 16 og cliff-o	M forest buy t ch	Height (ft	/ne-
Jarge doc Small o Species Picea	lefinite	small mora %Cover 70	Height (A) DI	hedro e e b BHO S 8-30	ck chiffs	- pre 16 g cliff-o	M forest bright ch % Cover 2	Height (ft	DBH
Jarge doc Small o Species Picea Stric	lefinite	small mora %Cover 70	shear, inal ridg Height (R) DI	hedro e C b BH() S &- 30	ck chiffs	- pre 16 g cliff-o.	M forest buyst ch % Cover 2	Height (ft	JAL-
Jarge doc Small o Species Picea Stro Sembuc	le finite	small mora %Cover 70	sheam, in al vidg Height (A) DI	hedro e C b BH() S &- 30	ck chiffs to Hom Arune Ryhda	- pre 16 g cliff-o.	M forest buyst ch 2 20	Height (ft	/nei DBH
Jarge doio Small o Species Picea Stric Som huc	hen sis	small mora %Cover 70	Height (A) DI	hedro A C b BH() S X-30	Ryhdan Rhizon	- pre 26 og cliff-o. Lis Liestris delphus nhium	M forest bruget ch % cover 2 20 5	Height (ft	DBH
Jarge doid Small o Species Picea Strice Some huce Rubus	her sis	5 mall mora % Cover 70 5 7	Shearn, inal ridg Height (1) DI	hedro A C b BH() S 8-30	Ryhdan Rhizo	- pre 26 og eliff-o. Lyestris delphus niven labroscans	M forest bruget ch % cover 2 20 5	Height (ft	/ne-
Jarge doio Small o Species Picea Serve hus Rubus Spece	her sis	5 mall mora 70 5 7	Shenn, inal vidg Height (1) DI	hedro A C L BH(O S 8-30	ck chifs so Horn i species Ryhda Rhizor	- pre 16 og cliff-or luestris delphys minium labroscens	M forest buy t ch % cover 2 ×0 5	Height (ft	Joe DBH
Jarge doi Small o Species Picea Stro Some buc Rubus Vac or	here sis here sis ou so to balis a Colium	5mall mora %Cover 70 5 7	Shenn, inal vidg Height (1) DI	hedro A C L BH () S &- 30	Ryhda Rhizor Litte	- pre 16 og cliff-o. Les tris del phus miniu m Idbrosigns	M forest buy t ch % cover 2 ×0 5	Height (ft	JAL DBH
Jarge doi Small o Species Picea Stro Seve bus Rubus Vere ou Lynne	her sis her sis her sis to balis a Colium	5mall mora %Cover 70 5 70 5 70	shenn, inal vidg Height (1) DI 80+	hedro hedro se ben () s x-30	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Rhizor Gutte	- pre 16 og eliff-o. Lus Lus eliptus deliptus minium Jabroscens	M forest brugst ch % Cover 2 20 5 3	Height (R	JAL-
Jarge doi Species Picea Stric Sera huc Sera huc Rubus Vac Spec Spec Spec Spec Spec Spec Spec Spec Spec Stric S	Les falls, le finite des sis des sa tabalis a Colian a Colian colian	5mall mora 70 5 7 7 20	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) 80+	hedro hedro C BHO S 8-30	Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Litte	- pre 26 pre	M forest hugh ch 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	Height (R	JAL DBH
Jarge doi Small a Species Service S	Le falls, le finite bensis ins ous ous ous a colium a colium a colium pilon is	5mall mora 70 5 7 7 7 7 3	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) DI 50+	hedro hedro RH() S 8-301	Ryhda Ryhda Litte	- pre 26 g cliff-o. luestris delphus mnium lobroscens trees	M forsot hurget ch \$Cover 2 20 5 5 3 3 3	Height (ft	Joe DBH
Jarge doi Small o Species Picea Stric Sembuc Rubus Noc av Lynocs Athenius	le falls, le finite hensis to baliz to baliz a Co lium poler is	5 mall mora 70 5 7 7 3 20	Height (0) DI	hedro hedro A C b BH() S &- 30	Rhizon holder Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon	- pre 26 pre	M fortot bruget ch * Cover 2 *0 *0 * *	Height (ft	Jre-
Jarge doi Small o Species Picea Stre Sera buc Reabus Voc Spec Voc Or doyo Athenius	Leginite her sis in s en sa to balis to balis a colium piter is	5 mall mora 4 Cover 70 5 7 7 3 20	Sheam, inal ridg Height (1) 80+	hedro hedro A C b BH() S &-301	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Spharn	- pre 16 pre 16 pre 16 prestris del phus miniu m labresigns trees	M forest huget ch % cover 2 20 3 3 3 5 7	Height (A	
large dos Small o Species Picea Strice Some huse Rubus Voc ou dryn, Athenius Digopte	leginite les sis les son tabalis tabalis acolium prenis mis	20 20	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) DI	hedro hedro A C L BH() S 8-301	Ryhda Rhizon Litte Sphage	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 7	h	
Jarge doi Small a Species Service S	Leasis Leasis Lessons tabalis a Colium planis planis mis por sur	20 20 20	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) 80+	hedro hedro sec = b stars stars stars sec = b sec	Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Sphage Hyfore	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch * Cover 2 ×0 5 3 3 3 7 10	Height (R	
Jace doi Small o Species Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Second Species Second Species S	Leginite her sis in s to balis a colium a colium planis mis mon su	20 20 20 20	Sheam, inal vidg Height (N) DI	hedro hedro A C b BHO S 8-304	Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Sphage Hyface	+rees	M forest huy t ch * Cover 2 *0 *0 * * * * * * * * * * * * *	L/ engl in Height (ft	
Jarge doi Small o Spector Stree Som buc Som buc Som buc Noc or degrad Digupter Streptop Streptop	Leginite here sis in s to balix to balix to balix a Colium pole is mis to so to so to so to so	5 malta more	Sheam, inal ridg Height (1) 80+	hedro hedro BHO S X-JON X-JON	Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Rhizon Sphage Hypoce	- pre 26 pre 26 g cliff-or luestris del phys miniu m labrosigns trees un leeders	M fortot bruget ch * Cover 2 *0 * * * * * * * * * * *	L/	
Jarge doi Small o Species Some buc Some bu	Len sis Le finite Len sis Le 5 ou 5 o	5 malk more 70 5 7 7 3 20 20 2 2 2	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) 804	BHO S & C J &	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Rhizon Gern Sphagn Hyfoce Sphagn Hyfoce	- pre 26 pre	M forest buy t ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 7 10	L/ Height (ft	
Jacq doi Small a Species Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Spece Voc au Spece Voc au Cynecca Cynec Cyn	Les falls, le finite Les sis to balis a Colium a Co	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sheam, inal vidg Height (1) 80+	hedro hedro sec - sec - se	Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Rhizor Georn Sphay Hylace Sphay	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch * Cover 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 7 10	L/ Height (ft	
Jacq doi Small o Small o Species Sembuc Sembuc Rubus Voc ou dryo dryo Draupte Strepto Strepto Ti avello Rubus Cubus	Leginites Leginites Leginites Leginites Leginites to balis a Collian a Collian planis planis mis to balis a to balis a Collian planis	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Sheam, inal vidg Height (N) DI	hedro hedro A C b BHO S X-30X	ck chtfs ck chtfs ro Horn Species Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda g Litte Sphage Hylace Sphage Hylace	+rees	M forest huy t ch * Cover 2 *0 * * 3 3 3 * *	L/ Height (ft	
Jacq doi Small o Small o Species Service Se	Leginites Leginites Leginites Leginites Leginites Los Sa Los Sa Los Sa Lio Sa	small monor 70 5 7 7 3 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Sheam, sheam, inal vidg Height (N) DI 80+	BH() S K-J	Ryhden Ryhden Ryhden Ryhden Ryhden Ryhden Ryhden Sphage Hyface Sphage Hyface	+rees	M fortot buy 1 ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 7 10	L/ Height (ft	
Jarge doi Small o Spector Strice Somabuc Somabuc Noc or Somabuc Noc or Spector Spector Spector Stripple Stripplo Striplo Stripplo	Lie to the Lie to the Lie to the Lie to the Lie to the Lie to Lie to Lie to Lie to	x_{cover} x_{cover} x_{cover} 70 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 2 3 7 7 7 3 20 20 2 7 3 20 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 7 3 20 2 20 2 3 3 3 3 20 20 2 3 3 3 3 3 20 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Sheam, sheam, inal ridg Bright (1) B(0 + 1) B(0 + 1	BHO S K-JON	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhda Rhizo Gen Sphagu Hylace Sphagu	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 7 10	Height (1	
Jacq doi Small a Species Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Semble a Spece Voic au daya Athenica Digupte Streptop a pla a fritant Cart y Cart y Cart y Cart y Cart y	Len sis len sis les son to balis to balis a Colium a Colium	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sheam, sheam, inal vidg Height (1) 04 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	hedro c C b BH () s &- 301	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhda Rhizor Litte Sphage Hyface	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 7 10	L/ Height (ft	
Jace doi Small o Small o Species Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Spec Vac of dryno dryno dryno Striepto Striepto Striepto Carly Striepto Carly Car	Les sis Les sis Les sis Les sa tabalis a Colient a Colient planse planse mis mis licota, zin dostus actor licota, actor	$\frac{1}{3}$	Sheam, sheam, inal vidg Height (1) DI SO + 	hedro hedro sec = b stars stars sec = b sec = b se	Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda Garn Sphay Hyfoce	- pre 26 pre	M for£st huy t ch % Cover 2 3 3 3 3 5 7 10	L/ Height (ft	
Jace doi Small o Species Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Sembuc Second Sembuc Spec Vac av drya drya Carya Strepto Strepto Strepto Carya Ca	Le falls, le falls, le finite her sis in s en sa ta baliz a Colium plen is mis plen is in falls, in to the plen to the falls in the falls in	small more 70 70 5 7 7 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Sheam, Sheam, inal vidg Height (N) DI 80+ 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10-	hedro hedro A C b BHO S X-30	ck chtfs ck chtfs ro Horn Species Ryhda Ryhda Ryhda g Litte Sphage Hylace Sphage Hylace	+rees	M for±st huy t ch 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 7 10	L/	
Jacq doi Small o Species Picea Stric Sombuc Rubus Rubus Vac av dryno dryno dryno Strepto Strepto Strepto Strepto Carty Rubus Carty Rubus Strepto Carty Rubus Carty Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strepto Rubus Strepto Strept	Le ta le falls, le finite her sis is is is is is is is is is	x_{cover} x_{cover} x_{cover} 70 5 7 3 20 20 20 20 2 20 2 3 7 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 3 7 5 7 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 5 5 7 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 5 5 7 5 5 7 5 7 5 7 20 20 2 5 7 5 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Sheam, sheam, inal vidg Height (1) D 	BHO S K-JON	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhda Rhizo Geon Sphage Hylace Sphage Hylace	+rees	M forest buy t ch 2 ≈0 5 3 3 5 7 10	Height (1)	
Jacq doi Small o Species Picea Stric Sembuc Recons Vac or dryneco dryneco Digupter Strippto Strippto Carly Mach h page 1 of pages	Leo falls, le falls, le finite Les sis en sis en so to balix a Colium plan is mis en falls plan is licota, 22n dontes cho ta ac	x_{cover} x_{cover} x_{cover} 70 5 7 3 20 20 20 2 20 2 3 7 5 7 3 20 20 2 5 3 3 20 20 2 3 5 7 5 3 3 20 20 2 3 5 7 5 3 3 20 20 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Sheam, sheam, inal ridg Height (1) D Hold (1) Height (1) He	BHO S K-JON	Ryhdan Ryhdan Ryhdan Rhizo Gern Sphage Hydace Bydace	- pre 26 pre	M forest huy t ch 2 2 3 3 3 5 7 10	updated J	/rec.

	- ISurveyors	BAR P	5,555	Date: /	17/05	Landcover class name			
GPS used:	3 =1120	,	WP:	79	7.	- closed s	nuce	/	
Long 150	39992		Elevation (1	11	9	Slope (0-100°): N ca	300 = 1	01-10	
Camera used:	01110	Photos taken:	11			Aspect (0-360°):	Anico	-135 true	e magn
	d	Air Photo #	1			Plot Size:x	(ft)		
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetated	4	% Cover	Soils:			
Needleleaf	80	30-40	Litter, duff		100	Alkalinity O	00		
Broadleaf			Wood (>0.4	")		pH 4	.3		
Tall-shrub (>5 ft)			Silt (feel on	tongue)		Draw soils schematic	below:		
Low (8" > 5 ft)	T		Sand (feel b/	/w fingers)		(include horizon, texts	are, depths, water	r table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")		-	Small rocks	(gravel <3")		-			2"/1
Forb	5		Large rocks	" houldon >					1/1
Sedae			Redrock	, bounders >0	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	-		3	, d
Moss	100		Trunks of tre	es					Id
Lichen	100		(basal area)					3	" 100
Unvegetated			Other (descri	ibe):				-	
Water	-							Va	xk:1
Other						-		.0	10
Biome:	Hydrologi	c Regime:	Landform			- 1			
Alpine	Dry		mag	in					1
Forest	Wet		TREE COR	ES (No.) .2	Soruce	THE .	> 1		100
Bog	Aquatic - I	FW	VASCULAR	RS COLLECT	ED (No.)	1-	aus .	(011/.	
Herb meadow	Aquatic - t	orackish	NON-VASC	ULARS COL	LECTED (No.)		50	1- 101	2 -
Riparian	Aquatic - S	sw	SOIL SAMP	LES (No.) _			1.		
dense No ser Stump PCT: Sit	dips, n spring	w/ NO may sm a chur	all di	tat is	, fen la	age offer that	15		
dense Noser Strong PCT: Sitt Species	deps, n deps, n s, lepi	w/ NO a chur 1 Splor % Cover	all die hab Height (11)	tat DBH ()	moss gun ; fen ! Moss Species	age offer that	S % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No cer stump PCT: Sitt Species	dlips, n s, lep to sprace	w/ NO many Sm a chur / Sploy * Cover	under all die hab Height (1) 30- 40	tra, 1 tat DBH ()	species	age offer that	/s %Cover &U	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No cer stump PCT: Sit Species Picea Sti	dlips, n spine spine	W/NO any Sm a chur 1 Splor *Cover	unde all die hab Height (1) 30- 40	stry, 1 a Lees (Hat, DBH() 2-17	noas gan , gen / Moss Species Ryd a d	adelphus Joreus	/s % Cover &U	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No ser Strang PCT: Sitt Species Picea Sti	spine dlips, n s, lep (ko spine	w/ NO many Sm a chur 1 Soler % Cover 80	unde all die hab Height (1) 30- 60	stry, 1 a hees what DBH () 2-17	nocs grand , ; fend , Moss Species Ryd a d	adelplus loter trai	/5 % Cover &U	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No ce sturge PCT: Sit Species Picca	Springer dips, n s lep (ko Springer char s:s	w/ NO many Sm a chur 1 Solor % Cover 80	undu all die hab Height (1) 30- 40	stry, 1 a Lees ital DBH () 2-17	nos gun , fen 1. Moss Species Rydad	adelplus loter trai loters mian	/s % Cover &U 20	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No se strong PCT: Sit Species Piceo St	Springer dips, n s, lep (ka Springer chan s.'s	w/ NO may Sm a chur 1 Soler %Cover	undu all die habe Height (1) 30- 40	stry, 1 test test DBH () 2-17	noss gan , fen 1. Moss Species Rujtad Haf 200	adelphus ladelphus loceus noreus spiaclans	/s % Cover 80 20	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No se stimp PCT: Sit Species Picea St	Springer deps, n s cept cher s:s	w/ NO many Sm a chur % cover % O	unde all die hab Height (1) 30- 40	stry, 1 a bies 1 tal, 10 Niet 2-17	noss gun , yen , Moss Species Rigit a d Haf eco	adelphus Jo Hen Hai Jo Hen Hai Jo Peus To reus spi adans	/s * Cover 80 20	Height (ft)	DBH (")
dense No cer Start PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Gynece	Spring dlips, n s lep (ko Sprice chen sis	W/NO any Sm a chur X Cover 80 T	under all dir hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a tel (1 tal), contrat DBH () 2 - 17	noss gun , yen Moss Species Regit a d And eco	ader men offer that ladelplus loreus splandens down hees	/s % Cover 80 20	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Strang Pet Str Species Dicea Str Course Course Course Course	Sprace dips, n s lep (ke Sprace cher s:s vyepkers vyepkers	w/ NO many Sm a chuy 1 Solor *Cover *Cover *Cover *Cover	under all div hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a tel 14al, concernant DBH () 2-17	noss gun ; jen 1 Moss Species Rejt a d Naf eco	ledelplus ledelplus lotes spiedens Down hees	/s %Cover 80 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Strang PCT: Sit Species Picea Str Gymec	cher sis	W/NO may Sm a chur / Spler %Cover %O T T	under all die haber Height (1) 30- 40	otry, 1 a heco 1 tal, 0 hero 2 - 17	noss gu , jen , Moss Species Ryd ad Ad ecc	ader that a fer that ledelplus loceus misson spladens looun hees	/s %Cover %U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Strang PCT: Sit Species Picea Str Gymec Vacco histor	Jeps, n deps, n s cep (k2 sprice cher s's ryepkers or allestice	W/NO way Shu a chur chur kover 80 T T T	under all dir Height (1) Height (1)	otry, 1 a heco 1 tal, 0 heco 2 - 17	noss gn ; jen Moss Species Rysa Hysa	adelphus ladelphus ladelphus loreus spiandens looun hees	/s *Cover &U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Stang PET: Sit Species Dice o Starce Dice o Concer hister Guard	Spring dips, n s lep (k Spring chan s s s chan s s s s chan s s s s s chan s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	W/NO way Shu a chur y Cover %0 T 7 5	under all dir haber Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 e becs ital DBH() 2-17	Moss gun Moss Species Rydad Haf eco	adelphus Jo Hen Har Jo Clus Jo Clus Spiaclons	/s % Cover % U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Start PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Course Vacc hister	cher sis	W/NO any Sm a chur / Soler *Cover	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	2-17	Moss gun Moss Species Rigit and Moss	adelplus offer that ladelplus loreus splacens	/s % Cover % U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Strong PCT: 5:17 Species Picea SH Cynece No ce No ce Mores	y sprace spra	W/NO way Sm a chur 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T T 5 T T	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	2-17	noss gun ; yen " Moss Species Rayt a d Not dec	ader ofen that a fer that a delphus lo Plus mian spiadens Down hees	/s % Cover 80 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Strang PCT: 5:17 Species Picea Sti Species Vacc history history Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	Spring Spring	W/NO way Shu a chur / Solor %Cover & Cover & Cover & Cover & Cover & Cover	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	2-17	noss gun ; fen 1 Moss Species Rytad Hafeco Litta	aderphus offer that laderphus loreus spradens	/s %Cover %U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Stang PCT: Sit Species Picea Sta Guard C Vace Nisten Garage Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta Sta	cher sis cher s	W/NO way Shu a chur chur kove kove T T T T T	under all dir Height (1) 30- 40	2-17	noss gu ; jen , <u>Moss</u> <u>Species</u> <u>Ryda</u> Moss	ader that a fer that a delptus To clus spiadans	/s %Cover %U 20 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Stang Pet: Sit Species Diceo Star Gymes O Vace Mores Unisten Mores Unisten Trigloc	a cardete a char sis char sis (Yyopkas a cardete flarr- (Lecled (0)	W/ NO any Str any Str any Str (1 Soler 1 Soler 1 Soler T T T T T T T T T T T T T	under all die haber Height (1) 20- 40	stro, 1 a bees 14al, 2-17	pholo by	Better with	/s % Cover % U 2 U 3 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Stang PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Species Vaca Mones Instan Instan Ingloc	Spring Spring dlips, n S, lep (ks Spring char s.'s rypophens rypophens rypophens rypophens a carolate a carolate florra floorra floorra marin marin	W/NO any Sm a chur / Solor 1 Cover 80 T 7 5 7 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a bees that, colver DBH () 2-17	phois by	Better wy	/s % Cover % U 2 U 5 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH(*)
dense No cer Stand PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Species Course Cour	cher sis cher s	W/NO any Sm a chur 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T 7 5 T 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a bees that, conver DBH () 2-17 see	photo by	Better w	1/5 % Cover 20 5 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH (')
dense No cer Strang Pett Sit Species Picco Sti Species Vocc histor bistor Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti	Japan Spring deps, n S, lep (k2 Spring chan s.s and s.s (Y) optens and cordete and cordete and cordete (I) optens (I) opten	W/NO W/NO a chur 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T T 5 T UP 80 T UP 80	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a bees ital, DBH() 2-17	pholo by	Better w	/s % Cover % U 20 5 5	Height (R)	рвн (*)
dense No cer Stang Pet: Sit Species Picea Sti Stang Co Vace histen histen Trigloc	Spring Spring	W/NO W/NO way Shu a chur Cover 80 T F T T T T T T T T T T T T T	inder all die haber Height (1) 30- 40	stro, 1 a bies 14al, convent DBH () 2 - 17	phote by	Better w	/s % Cover % U 20 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
dense No cer Stang PET: Sit Species Diceo State Concer hister Inores Un cer State State Concer State S	Spring Spring dlips, n S (ep (ko Spring chan S:s Nyopkas Nyopkas Nyopkas a cordete differing differing (Colord (B) hin mari	W/NO any Sm a chur 1 Soler 1 Cover 80 T T T T T T T UP 80 T T UP 80	under all dir hab Height (1) 20- 40	stro, 1 a bees 14al, 2-17 2-17	pholo by	Better w	/s % Cover % U 2 0 5 5 5	Height (ft)	
dense No cer Stang PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Stang Concer Mones Concer Inisien Ergecer	Spring Spring	W/NO aay Sm a chur 1 Solor 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T 7 5 7 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stro, 1 a bees that, colver DBH () 2-17 See	phois by	Bette w	/s % Cover % C		DBH (*)
dense No cer Stand PCT: Sit Species Dicea Sti Stand Constant Const	spine spi	W/NO any Sm a chur 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T 7 5 T 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a bees that, conver DBH () 2-17	photo by	Better w	1/5 % Cover 80 -20 -5 	Height (ft)	DBH (')
dense No cer Stand PCT: Sit Species Picea Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti Sti	spine spine clips, n s, lep (bay 5.5 chan 5.5 (Y) (p) (construction (Y) (p) (construction (Y) (p) (construction (Y) (p) (construction (Y) (construction	W/NO any Sm a chur 1 Solor 1 Cover 80 T T T UP 80 T UP 80 T UP 80	under all di hab Height (1) 30- 40	stra, 1 a bees that, DBH () 2-17	noss gun ; yen i <u>Moss</u> <u>Species</u> <u>Rejt a d</u> <u>Ad sco</u> <u>Latta</u> <u>pholo by</u>	Better wi	/s % Cover 20 5 5 5	Height (R)	рвн (*)
dense No cer Stand Pett Sit Species Pice a Sti Guard C Vace Mores Unisten In gloc	spine spine chan sis chan sis	W/NO W/NO a chur a chur 1 Cover 80 T T T T T T T T T T T T T	inde	stro, 1 a bies 14al, celvier DBH () 2 - 17 see	phote by	Better wy	/s % Cover % U 20 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH (*)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CDC made MPS	Surveyors:	TVBL	An=	Date: 7	-18-05	Landcover class name:		1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Grouseu: 8/	1		WP: 23	2		open mt h	emboch	0	
$ \begin{array}{c} large r 570, 34'3 OG \\ Camera sate: \\ Ar Paolo a large r 20, 5' 4'5' 9' Appeter (1807) 2'3' and the large r 20' 5' 4'5' 9' Appeter (1807) 2'3' and the large r 20' 5' 5' 5' 9' Appeter (1807) 2'3' and the large r 20' 5' 5' 5' 1' 5' 5' 1' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5' 5'$	Lat: 59.47	811		Elevation (1	ft): 121		-			
Charge meter def Rest of the second	Long: 150. 3	34306		Error ±	0.6		Slope (0-100°): 23			-
Ref Art JAir Phone # Pipe Size: 35×52 (B) Vegetated % Cover Height (D) Unregetated 1/2 Cover Solitie: TO ' Faury 2 Col 2 Cover 3 Cover 3 <td>Camera used:</td> <td></td> <td>Photos taken</td> <td>: 4595</td> <td>- 4598</td> <td>2</td> <td>Aspect (0-360°): 2</td> <td>30.</td> <td>tru</td> <td>e bagn</td>	Camera used:		Photos taken	: 4595	- 4598	2	Aspect (0-360°): 2	30.	tru	e bagn
Vegetated% CoverHeight (0)Usergetated (3) % CoverSells: (2) (3) </td <td>Kodek /</td> <td></td> <td>Air Photo #</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Plot Size: 33 x 3</td> <td>3 (ft)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Kodek /		Air Photo #				Plot Size: 33 x 3	3 (ft)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				h			10.11. 30.		70.1	1.5
$ \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Argenter} & Argent$	vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetate	a 10.0	% Cover	Sous: 70	1 Jaunz	10-1 20	poshs
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Needlelear	75 30	6, 25	Litter, dull		73	Alkalinity g	-	P	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tall shoch (>5 0)			Cite (feel on	()	5	Draw colls cabamatic b	elaw:	9.2	1
$\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} \frac$	Tall shrub (>5 It)	10		Sut (reer on	(m finger)	-	Ginaluda horizon textu	denths unter	(ate alder	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Durant (<8")	45 64	1-1	Sand (reer b	(arrayel <3")	-	(include nonzon, texture	, depuis, water	ubic cic.)	
Grass 9 10 Coolders 35", boulders 37" Fair of / Ray for graved and an analysis of the second and analysis of the second analysis of the second analysis of the second analysis of the secon	Forb	7593		Large rocks	(graver -5)		- to -1 found			
BolgeBBedroxMass (2) Timics offere (1) Timics offere (1) (1) Unregetated (1) Unregetate (1) Unregetate (1) <t< td=""><td>Grass</td><td>9 10</td><td></td><td>(cobbles 3-8</td><td>", boulders >8</td><td></td><td>m Fua</td><td>i/ Rhy lor</td><td>man</td><td>dava</td></t<>	Grass	9 10		(cobbles 3-8	", boulders >8		m Fua	i/ Rhy lor	man	dava
Mass 9 D Tunis offers Listen - - Listen - - Unregetised 1 D Other (describe): Water - - Other Other (describe): Water - Appine Dry Stabaline Burgetised Menter Dry Stabaline Menter Brene: Byteriogic Regime: Landform For our control of the contro	Sedge	8		Bedrock	,	-	HARRY V. " I		9.	
Lichen - the hard area between the second of the second	Moss	90		Trunks of the	ees 🔿	\cap		mr speaf	-	
Unregented 1 D Other (dexinibe): Water	Lichen	10		(basal area)			THAN 12 01	dark brev	vn	
Water	Unvegetated	10		Other (descr	nibc):		1XXX2 A	gradehe	ner men	ristrian
Other Bit of the second state of the se	Water					-	MAL 2º Ve	dontim	am	
Biome: Landform Landform Regime: Landform Regime: Landform Regime: Landform Regime: Landform Regime: Recent Regime: Recent Regime: Recent Regime: Regime: Recent	Other	20		-		- for a start	XXX8" D.	w/ Ing	sit 1	a k la har
Alpine Dry Side Slope (sentry sloping for the rearrelead grammer is subjected by the standing increases and the sentences and the sentence	Biome:	Hydrologic	Regime:	Landform			1 A			Jupra
Subjine Neik Tree CORES (No) _	Alpine	Dry		Sides	upe (c	untly slop	The R	avided	aroni	te boo
ForesWgTREE CORES (No.)I70-1gorostisBugAquatic-FWVASCULARS COLLECTED (No.)4/4Hind bay solid for a cription of particular social and the	Subalpine	Mesic		1		over slop	र्य)		0	
Bog Aquatic - FW VASCULARS COLLECTED (No.) -4 Heth meadow Aquatic - brackish Non-VASCULARS COLLECTED (No.) -2 Print Iam Aquatic - SW SOIL SAMPLES (No.) -2 Print Iam Trunk & fein Parkish Print Iam	Forest	Wet		TREE COR	ES (No.)		70-1 earost	is		
Herb meadow Aquatic -brackish NON-VASCULARS COLLECTED (No) -0 Repairin Aquatic - source Source Source Provided Formation of the source of the	Bog	Aquatic - F	N	VASCULA	RS COLLECT	ED (No.) 4	When Ano	the literation	n/F.m	ma
Reparin Aquatic SW SOIL SAMPLES (No.) 2 Pretrand Truck J E Manual A Joint CR1 (hranshimed to putland - lat A snaps) PC SUB Pretrand (hranshimed to putland - lat A snaps) PC SUB Pretrand PC SUB PRETRAND	Herb meadow	Aquatic - br	ackish	NON-VASO	ULARS COL	LECTED (No.) -	0 800002	in the second	1 mp	g
Pictian Trimezienen (Frank) (transtrind to putland - [th of snew) (transtrind to putland - [th of snew) (transtring to putland - [t	Riparian	Aquatic - ST	N	SOIL SAME	PLES (No.)	2	Mar P	car	,	
Species Wover Height (M) Dist(M) Species % Cover Height (M) Dist(M) TSUMER (45, 17, 47) 45 (4, 35, 17, 47) CAL NUT 5 2 PRCSIT 5 4-101 1-32(0) Hoge (ASS 5) Smelline 3 2 4 Hoge (ASS 5) Smelline 3 2 4 Hoge (ASS 5) 1	granite	the test			•		* depm to	promite la bedrade i	is vana,	rounced ble for-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	granite PCT: Mt.1	temlock 1	Bog Bto	etrony			* depter to . bin profi	gronite la bedrade i les	svana,	rainceg bic for-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species	temlock (Bog Bts	Height (ft)	DBH (*)	Species	* deptre to bru profi	granite be bedrade i les %Cover	Height (ft)	rouncher bie fer-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSV mER	Hemlock ((45h13,9")	Bog Bto Cover 45	Height (ft)	DBH (*) 1", §-12	Species CALNU	* depin to bin profi	promite la bedrade i % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (")
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSV mER PICS IT	temlock ((45h13,9")	Son Bto W Cover 45 5	Height (ft) 4-10'	DBH (*) 1", § -12 1-3*0	Species CALNU AGRIGOS	* rept to btn profit	promite la bedrade i es % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (")
Rugsper 1 2 1 1 1 $Emploide 1 2 1/L \in ULR 1 1 1 Emploide 1 1 1/L \in ULR 1 1 1 Emploide 40 THE PHE 1 1 1 Emploide 40 THE PHE 1 1 Vacutr 2 DR91 Exp 1 1 Vacutr 5 6" THE time T 1 Vacutr 7 2 Revir 1 2 Vacutr 7 2 Sflation ave 5 1 Farrowir 3 Revir 1 2 2 Carrowir 3 Ave 1$	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSVMER PICSIT SOBSIT MENEOR	Hemlock (Bog BH M Cover 45 5 1	Height (ft) 4-10' 2-41	DBH (*) 1", 8-12 1-3*@ -	Species CALNU AGROSTS DESCHAM	* deptin to bin profit. Sm Adagreets Bit cocspitoso	granite la bedrade i % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (*)
CASONE11111 $CASONE11111CASONE2DRALENN31VACULI2DRALENN11VACULI56°THE LIMTVACULI56°THE LIMTVACULI72MAL FUS1VACULI72MAL FUS1VACULI72MAL FUS1VACULI72MAL FUS1VACULI72MAL FUS1VACULI72MAL FUS1VACURII11HYL SPL400CORCAN3PTI (RI2CARCAN3SSPALPER2STAADAMSRAFENTURA grading1LGEU (ALSAUN VIR SIN1CAR MACH21TSUMER SNACS1CARANT66"Comme the work1CALANT66"00CARANT66"00$	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSV mER PICSIT SOR SIT MENTER VARIAGE	Hemlock ((45h 13,9")	300 BH W Cover 45 5 1 3	Height (ft) 6, 35 4-10 2 2 ⁺ 4 2	DBH (*) 1'' & -12 1-3*(?) -3*(?)	Species CALNU AGRIGAS DESCHAM AGRUSTIS	K dupt to bin prafit	granite la bedrack i se cover	Height (ft)	DBH (")
Emploid40THE PHE1VALUE2 $DRYIEXP$ 1VALUE56"THE LIMMVALUE56"VALUETMALE FUSVALUETMALE FUSVALUE72VAR VIR72VAR VIR72VAR VIR12VAR VIR12VAR VIR11HYL SPL90CORCAN3PTICRCORCAN3PTICRFAURE2SPHADINUMRAFERTHER gracilies1CAR MACH2CAR MACH2CAR ANT6CAR ANT6CAR ANT6CAR MACH1CAR MACH2CAR MACH1CAR MACH2CAR MACH1CAR MACH2CAR MACH1CAR MACH2CAR MACH2	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSV MER PICSIT SOR SIT MENEEN VACONA (RURION	(454 13,9")	800 BH 45 5 1 3 5	Height (ft) 4-10' 2-4 2-4 2-4 2-4	DBH (*) 1'' \$ -12 1-3*(?) -3*(?) -3*(?)	Species CALNU AGREGAS DESCHAM AGREGAS DESCHO	* Apple to ble prafit on Relayreshi Note receptosa Los receptosa	gronite la bedrack i es % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover	Height (ft) Height (ft) Height (ft) Height (ft) Height (ft) CRCS	DBH (")
VALUAT2 $DR91ERP$ 11VALUAT56°THE LIMT1PINYUUTMALFUS12VERVIR72MALFUS1RUSPED3RHYT LOP35COPTRI1HYL SPL90CORTRI1HYL SPL90CARANU3PTICRI2FAURE2SPLATANAM5CAR MOLH2SPLATANAM5CARMALH11TSUMPER SNATS1CARMALH21TSUMPER SNATS1CALANT66°Comment for any Attack1	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSV mER PICSIT SOR SIT MENTER VACONA (. RUBSPE CASSTE	temlock ((th)13,9")	800 BH WCover 45 5 1 3 5	Height (ft) 4-10' 2-4 2-4 2-4 2-4	DBH (*) 1" 3 -12 1-3*(0) V(0) V(0)	Species CALNU DESCHAM POSCHAM INSCHA INSCHA	* dapter to ber prafit Som Redayrach. Bit recopitosa uppsia racopit X	promite la bedrack i es % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 1 8 % cover 1 8 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 5 % cover 1 8 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover 1 % cover % cover 1 % cover % cove	Height (ft) Height (ft) His vana, Height (ft) 2 Historicalis	DBH (") DBH (") CLAF Comparison Compar
VALULI56"THE LIMT1PINURULTMAL FUS12VER VIR72RHYT LOR35RUSPED3RHYT LOR35CORTRI1HNL SPL90CORCAN3PTICRI2FAVERI90DICRANV3FAVERI90DICRANV3FAVERI90DICRANV5CORCAN3CORCAN5FAVERI90DICRANV5CORCAN3CORCAN5CORCAN3CORCAN5CAL5ALNVIR SIN1CAR MACH21TSUMPE SNATSCALANT66"CORCANTCALANT66"CORCANTCALANT66"CORCANTCALANT66"	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSUMER PICSIT SORSIT MENFER VACOVA-(- RUBSPE CASSTE EMENICO	temlock ((this, 9")	300 BH M Cover 45 5 1 3 5 1 1 40	Height (h) 4,35 4-10' 2-4 2-4 2-	DBH (*) 1" 3 -12 1-3*0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -	Species CALNU ASREATS DESCHAM ARZUSTIS DISCHO LTC_CLA LTC_AN DISCHO	K dopin to bin prafit Sm Bodayrosti Sm Bodayrosti Sm Bodayrosti Sm Cocspitosa M Cocspital M Cocspital	gronic la bedrack 1 es % Cover 1 252 septe 1 252 septe 1	Height (ft) Height	raincha bic fa- DBH (") (LAF ("Spite ("Spite ("Spite ("Spite)
PINNULTMAL FUS12VER VIR72R12RUBRED3RHYT LOR351CORTRI1HYL SPL40CORCAN3PTI (RI2FAURRI70DURANVA3FRIPER2SPLATONAM5RATONTOR GRAVICING1LETERGEU CAL5ALN VIR SIN1CAR MACH21CARANT66"CARANT66"CARANT66"CARANT66"CARANT6CARANT7CARANT7CARANT7CARANT7CARANT7<	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSVMER PICSIT SOR SIT SOR SIT CASSET CASSET EMPNIG EMPNIG	Hemlock ((26-13,9")	800 BH 45 5 1 3 5 1 1 40 2	Height (ft) 4,35 4-10' 2-4 2-4 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2-	DBH (*) 1'', 8-12 1-3*(0) -2(0)	Species CALNU ASRIGHS DESCHAM ASRUSHS DESCHAM INCLU LIC AN HICLU DRY EX	* Apple to blue prafit om Adagresti of the cospilation of the cospilat	gronite la bedrack 1 es % Cover 1 058 ssp 1 3	Height (ft) Height (ft) Heigh	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) (LAF argone aito se casp
VER VIR 1 2 RUBPED 3 RHYT LOR 35 COPTRI 1 HYL SPL 90 CORCAN 3 PTICRI 2 FAURE 1 70 DUREANVE 3 FRURE 1 70 DUREANVE 3 FRURE 2 2 SPHATINE 5 RAFERTURE gradilis 1 LARE, MLE) 7 GEN DOU 3 ALNVIR SIN 1 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMPE SNATS 1 CARANT 6 6" Comment for any ALT 1	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSVIMER PCSIT SOB SIT MENSER CASSEE EMENSION VACULT	Hemlock ((45h13,9")	800 BH 45 5 1 3 5 1 1 1 40 2 5	Height (ft) (c, 35 4-10 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	DBH (*) 1" g -12 1-3*(*) ->(*) ->(*)	Species CAL NUZ AGREGATS DESCHAM AGREGATS DESCHAM INCOLL LYC ANI THE CLA DRY EX THE LIM	* Apple to blue prafit Som Redayrachti Sont recopitosa LG 1 mpsie recopitosa N P P	gronic k hedrack i es scover 5 m sprospro 1 552 ssp 1 1 3 1	Height (ft) 2 4 (1/2 A/15 2 2 (1/2 A/15 2 2 0/	raincha bic fa- DBH (") (LAF argpine aito se casp
RUBPED 3 RHNT_LOR 35 CORTRI I HYLL SPL 90 CORCAN 3 PTICRI 2 CORCAN 3 PTICRI 2 FAURI 70 DURADNOM 3 CRIPER 2 SPHADNOM 5 RATENDOU 3 FLESCH 5 GEU CAL 5 ANNVIR SIN 1 CARMACH 2 1 TSUME SNATS 1 CARANT 6 6" Commentariant	granite PCT: MT. 1 Species TSV mere PCS IT SOR SIT VACOVA- (- CASSPE Emf/VIG VACULI PINYUL	Hemlock ((45-13,9")	800 BH 45 5 1 3 5 1 1 40 40 5 T	Height (h) 4-10 2-4 2-4 2-4 -2 	DBH (*) 1", § -12 1-3*@ 	Species CAL NU ASPOSONS DESCRAM ASPOSONS DESCRAM ASPOSONS DESCRAM ASPOSONS DESCRAM ASPOSONS DESCRAM DESCRAM DESCRAM THE LIM MAL FO	* dapter to be profit	gronic k bedrak i es % Cover 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0	Height (ft) 2 4 1/2 A/18 2 2 4 1/2 A/18 2 201-2 2 201-2 1 2 201-2 1 1 1 1 2	raincha bic fa- DBH () (1AF wespite aito sec rasp
COPTRI 1 HNL SPL 40 CORCAN 3 PTICRI 2 FAVERI 40 DICRANN 3 FAVERI 70 DICRANN 3 FRIPER 2 STHATANM 5 PLATENTOR gracilis 1 LESCIT 5 GEU CAL 5 ALN VIR SIN 1 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMAR SNATS 1 CALANT 6 6" Commentariant 5	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species PICSIT SORSIT SORSIT VACOVA (- RUBSPET CASS	(ah 13,9") (ah 13,9")	800 Bt V 45 	Height (ft) 4-10' 2 '4 2 2- 6'' 2 2	DBH () 1", 3-12 1-3-(0) 	Species CALNU ASRESSAS DESCAM Inclut LIC CLL LIC ANI DRY EX THE LIM MAL FU	R * daptin to bin prafil T Sm Redaurosti Port cecspitos LG I myssio coespi N P N P N V S N	granic k kodrade i es % Cover 5 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 8 8 8 8	Height (ft) 2 Height (ft) 2 Height (ft) 2 COCS F 1 1 2 2	raincha bic fa- DBH () (1AF respire cito Sec rasp
CORCAN 3 PTI (R) 2 TAVUR 1 70 DURANN 3 FRIPER 2 SPHADINM 5 RAFENTRER GRAVITS 1 LARS, MLC RENDU 3 ALNVIR SIN 1 GEU (AL 5 ALNVIR SIN 1 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMER SNATS 1	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species PICSIT SOR SIT SOR SIT CASSPET CASSPET CASSPET CASSTE EMPINION VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI	(4h13,9")	800 84 45 5 1 45 5 1 40 2 5 1 1 40 2 5 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) 4-10' 2 2*4 2 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH (*) 1", g-12 1-3*(2) 	Species CALNU ASRESTS DESCRAM INC. CLA LYC AND THE CLA DRY EX MAL FU RHYT	* Apple to blue prafit Sm Adagreshi Nor receptosa LG NOSA receptosa NOSA receptosa NOSA receptosa NOSA P	gronic k hectralc 1 es % Cover 5 3 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Height (ft) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	DBH (") /LAF /LAF /LAF /LAF /LAF
FAVER 1 70 DICRANN 3 FRIER 2 SPHADAUM 5 PRAFERTURY gracilies 1 1/LARF, MLK) FLESCH 5 GEU CAL 3 ALNVIR SIN 1 2 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMBE SNATS 1 20 CARANT 6 6" Comme for dight 1 20	granite PCF: M1.1 Species TSVMER PIC SIT SOR SIT MENER CASSAT EMPNIG VACUTA VACUTA PINWUL VER VIR RUBPED RUBPED RUBPED	Hemlock ((45h13,9")	800 84 45 5 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 1 40 2 5 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (h) 4-10 2 2 ⁺⁴ - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DRH (*) 1", 3 -12 1-3*(0) -2(0) -	Species CALNUE DESCHAM ACREDITS DESCHAM INCLUM INCLUM THE LIM MALFU RANT HYL SPI	* Auphn to. bhn prafi. - Sm Rodayrochi. PSA recopiosa - Sm Rodayrochi. - Sm Rod	gronic k hadrade I es % Cover 5 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	$\frac{ V_{0} ^{2}}{ V_{0} ^{2}}$	DBH () //AF //AF //AF //AF //AF
ERIPER 2 SPHADINUM 5 PLATENTWORD GRADING 1 1/2 REF, MLC) FLESCH 5 GEN DOU 3 ALN VIR SIN 1 2 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMBE SNATS 1 20 CALANT 6 6" Comment for might 1 20	granite PCT: MT. / Species TSUMER PICSIT SORSIT CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET PINYUL VERVIR RUBPED CORTRI CORTRI CORTRI	(at 13,9") (at 13,9")	45 45 45 1 1 40 2 5 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3	Height (f) 4-10' 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2-	DBH (*) 1", g -13 1-3*(2) 	Species CAL NU AGROSTS DESCRAM DESCRAM INC. CLA INC. CLA INC. CLA DRY EX THE LIM MAL FU RHYT. INC. RHYT. INC. RHY	* depth to bh prafi Sm Redayrosh Bit recspitosa uposia racspitosa N P P N Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo Lo	granic k kadrade 1 es % Cover 5 8 7 1 8 7 1 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) 2 V& H&, Height (ft) 2 J 2 COCS F 1 1 2 L	DBH () (LAF (LAF (CAF (CAF (CAF (CAF)) (CAF (CAF)) (CAF)
PLATONTHOP GRACING 1 /LETE, MLK) PLESCIT 5 GEN DOU 3 GEN CAL 5 ALNVIR SIN 1 2 CAR MACH 2 1 TSUMER SNATS 1 20 CAR ANT 6 6 6" COMMENTARY 1	granite PCT: Mt. 1 Species TSUMER PICSIT SOBSIT SOBSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET COTTA COTTA CORTA CORTA CORTA	(44,13,9") (44,13,9")	45 45 1 - 1 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Height (b) 4-10' 2 2-2 2-4 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH(?) 1" 4-12 1-3*(0) -2(0) -2(0)	Species CAL NU ASRESTS DESCHAR DESCHAR DESCHAR INC. CLI LTC ANI THE PHE REPHE THE LIM MAL FI RHNT RHNT PTI CRI DICCAM	* Apple to blue prafit Som Adagrestri Nor recopitose to Post recopitose Nor recopitose	gronic k hadral (1) es % Cover 5 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 5 2 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Height (ft) Height (ft) Heigh	DBH () /LAF /LAF /LAF /LAF /LAF
GEU CAL 3 GEU CAL 3 ALN VIR SIN 1 CAR MACH 2 CARANT 4 CARANT 4 CARANT 4	Provide PCF: MT. 1 Species TSV mere PIC SIT SOB SIT MENDER VACOVA (S RUBSPE EMPNIG VACULI PIN VIL RUBPED COPTRI COPTRI CORCAN FAVCRI FRIPER	(46+13,9") VACACA)	45 5	Height (ft) 4-10 2 2*4 2 2 2 4 -10 2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	DBH (*) 1 ⁻¹ , ⁴ , ⁴ , ¹² -3 ⁻ (2) -3 ⁻	Species CALNUE ASRESTS DESCRAM DESCRAM INCLUM INCLUM INCLUM MALEN MALEN MALEN MALEN MALEN CAN DICCAN	* Apple to blue prafit Som Relayreshi Som Relayreshi Nor recopitosa LG 1 MOSIB (Respitosa Nor P Nor Composition Nor P Nor Nor Nor Nor Nor Nor Nor Nor	gronic k hadrade 1 es % Cover 5 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8	rel rock is vo no, Height (ft) 2 wire also 1 1 1 2 	DBH () /LAF Megniss ito Sec Megniss
GEU CAL 5 ALN VIR SIN 1 2 CARMACH 2 1 TSUMBER SNATGS 1 20 CARANT 6 6" Comment for sugert	granite PC F: MT. 1 Species PC S IT SOR SIT SOR SIT VACOVA- (S RUGSPET VACOVA- (S RUGSPET VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI PINYUL VACULI ENTRU COPTRI CORCAN FAVCRI ERLAFERTUN	Hemlock ((45h13,9") -VALALA)	800 BH Cover 45 5 1 1 40 25 1 1 40 25 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 70 2 1	Height (f) 4-10' 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2-	DRH (*) 1", 3 (1) 1-3 (*) 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Species CAL NUE DESCHAM DESCHAM ACLEDTS DESCHAM INCLUT CAL ON THE DIN MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU PTI CRI DICCAM SPHAM THESCI	* Auptr to bh prafi - Sm Redayroshi Nort recoprisa - Sm Redayroshi Nort recoprisa - Sm Redayroshi - Sm Red	gronic k hadrade 1 es % Cover 5 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	eel root is vono Height (ft) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	raincha bic fa- DBH () (1AF rapine cito se
CARMACH 2 1 TSUME SNAWS 1 20 CARANT 6 6" Common the neglect	PCT: MT. / Species TSUMER PICS IT SOR SIT VACOVA (- RURSPET CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CASSTE CORTRI CONTRI CONTRI CORTRI CONTO	Hemlock ((den 13,9") - VALALA)	800 BH 45 5 1 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (h) 4-10' 2-2 2-2 2-2 - - 4-10' 2- - - 4-10' - - 4-10' - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH (*) 1" g -12 1-3*@ 	Species CAL NU ASPECTS DESCHAR DESCHAR INC. CLA LYC. ANI THE DRU RANT MYAL FU MYAL FU MYAL FU DICRAPS SPIATOL PIL CRI DICRAPS	* Apple to blue prafit om Adagreshi Con Adagresh	gronic k hadrale 1 es "* Cover 5 3 3 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 5 5 5 5 5	Height (ft) Height (ft) Heigh	raincha bie fa- DBH (*) //AF **=prise ito See
CALANT & 6" Commentariant	Provide PCF: MT. 1 Species TSV mere PIC SIT SOB SIT MENER VACOVA (SIT COSTE EMPNIO VACUT PIN SUL PIN SUL PI	(temlock ((temlock ((temlock ((temlock ())))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	45 45 1 - 1 40 5 1 - 1 1	Height (ft) 4-10' 2 2*4 2 2 2 4-10' 2 2 - 2 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH(?) 1" \$ -12 1-3*(2) -2(Species CAL NU ASRESTS DESCHART DESCHART INC. CLA LYC. ANU THE CHAR MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU DICCAM SPHARD FLESCI AUN VIR	* Apple to blue prafit Sm Adagreshi Nor receptose Los Nor receptose Nor rec	gronic k hedral (1) es % Cover 5 3 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 5 90 2 3 5 5 5 1	el rock s vo no, Height (ft) 2 4 1/2 a 1/2 2 4 1/2 a 1/2 2 6 00 5 ft 1 1 1 2 2 2	raincha bie fa- DBH (*) (1AF (*espine aitose
	granite PC F: MT. 1 Species TSV mere PIC S IT SOR SIT MENEER VACOVA-(- RENSER CASSAT EMPNIG VACUTA VACUTA PIN WUL VACUTA COPTRI COPTRI COPCAN FAVORI FALLER DED GEU CA CAR MAC	(46413,9") (46413,9")	45 5 - 35 - 1 - 35 - 1 - 37 - 1 - 37 - 1 - 37 37 	Height (h) 4, 35 4-10 2 2 2 2 2 2 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DRH (*) 1", § -12 1-3*(0) ->(0) -	Species CALNUS DESCHAM DESCHAM INCLUD INCLUD INCLUD THE LIM MAL FI MAL FI MAL FI MAL FI DICRAM SPILATOL SPILATOL FLESCI ALN VIR TSUMBE	* Auptre to. bin prafi. - Sm Redayreshi. Nor receptosa - LG 1 - Sm Redayreshi. - Sm Redayreshi.	gronic k hectral (1 es % Cover 5 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7	rel rock is vo no, Height (ft) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) (1AF respire rasp
	Provide PCF: MT. // Species PICSIT SORSIT SORSIT VACOVA-(- RUBSPET CASSTE EMMING VACULI PINYUL VER VIR RUBPED COPTRI CO	(ah 13,9") VALALA) UR gracilis L H	800 Bt 1 45 5 - 3 5 - 1 - 1 - 2 5 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 2 - 2 - 3 - 2 - 2 - 3 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	Height (f) 4-10' 2-2'	DBH() 1", g -12 1-3*@ 	Species CAL NU ASPECTS DESCAR DESCAR DESCAR INC. CLA LYC. CLA LYC. CLA LYC. CLA LYC. ANN MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU DICRAN SPIATOL PLESCI ALN VIR CROSS	* Apple to but prafit om Adagreets but prafit om Adagreets but receptose to a poste cocopitose to a poste cocopitose to a poste cocopitose to a poste cocopitose to a to a	gronic k hadrale 1 es 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	el rock is v& Height (1) Height (1) 2 corsp 1 1 1 2 2 20	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) 72AF **=pirs aito Sec casp
	PCT: MT. / Species TSUMER PICSIT SOR SIT CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CORTRI COR	Hemlock ((4h13,9") WALALA)	Brower 45 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 40 2 5 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 5 1 1 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4.15cm Height (ft) 4-10' 2 2 2 2 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH(°) 1" g -12 1-3*@ 	Species CAL NU ASPECTOS DESCHAR DESCHAR INCCUT LICCUT LICCUT LICCUT LICCUT INCUT PAR THE LIM MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU DICCAN SPHATOL PTICRI ALN VIR TSUMPE COM	* Apple to both prafit 5 m Adagresti 10 + cecspitose 10 + cecspitose	gronic k hadral (1) es % Cover 5 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 5 5 5 5 1 1 1 1	2 1 rort 5 vo mo, Height (ft) 2 uiralis 8 2 ⁰¹⁻⁵ 1 1 1 2 2 20 2 20	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) (14 F * cgrift aito se
mag l of L mage	Provide Pro	(temlock) (temlock)	45 51 45 51 1 40 40 5 7 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 3 5 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) 4-10' 2 2*4 - 2 - 2 - 4-10' - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBH (?) 1", 3 -12 1-3*(2) - (2) -	Species CAL NU ASRESTS DESCRAM INC. CLA INC. CLA I	* Aught to bh prafi Sm Adagrashi SM receptose LG 1000 100 1000 1	gronic k hectral (1) cs % Cover 5 3 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 5 90 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	eel rock s vo mo, Height (ft) 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	raincha bie fa- DBH (*) (LAF (*espine aitose
	granite PCF: MT. 1 Species TSVMER PICSIT SORSIT MENEER VACOVA-(- REASON VACOVA-(- REASON VACOVA-(- REASON VACOVA-(- REASON VACOVA-(- REASON VACOVA-(- REASON REASON REASON REASON RUBPED PIN WUL VACULI PIN WUL VACULI RUBPED RUBPED PIA FENTUR GEN DED GEU CA CALANT	(46+13,9") (46+13,9")	45 5	#fremy Height (h) 4, 35 4-10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Species CALNUS DESCHAM DESCHAM INCLUD INCLUD INCLUD INCLUD MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU DICRAM SPHATOL PLESCI ALN VIR CRAM	* Auptritos bh. prafi. - Sm. Rodayrocht. F - Sm. Rodayrocht. - Sm	gronic k hectral (1 es % Cover 5 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 0	raincha bie fa- DBH (*) (1AF megnis aito se ræsf
balle : or T - buller	granite PC F: MT. 1 Species TSV mER PC S IT SOB SIT VACOVA- (- RUBSPET CASSTE EMINIG VACULI CORTAN CAR	(ah 13,9") VALALA) UR gracilis L H	45 45 1 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 1 3 40 2 1 3 40 2 1 3 40 2 1 3 40 2 1 3 40 2 1 3 40 2 1 40 2 5 5 1 1 1 40 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Height (f) 4-10' 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2- 2-	DBH() 1", g -13 1-3·0 	Species CALNU ASPECTS DESCAM INCCLL LYC ANI THE LIM MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL FU MAL SPI PTI (R) DICRAM SPIATOL PLESCI ALN VIR COM	* Apple to but prafit om Adagreets but prafit om Adagreets but one consistent but one consistent to sint sint but prafit om Adagreets to an Ada	gronic k hadral (1) es 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 5 % Caver 1 % Caver 5 % Caver 1 % Caver 1 % Caver 5 % Caver 1 % Caver 5 % Caver 1 % Caver 1 % Caver 5 % Caver 1 %	$\frac{1}{2}$	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) 72AF **=pirs aito Sec rasp
	granite PCT: MT. / Species TSUMER PICSIT SORSIT CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET CASSET VACOVA (- RUBSET CASSET VACOVA (- RUBSET CASSET CASSET COPTRI CO	Hemlock ((4h13,9") -VALALA) L L L	800 BH 45 5 1 1 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 1 3 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 3 5 2 40 1 1 3 5 2 40 1 1 1 3 5 2 40 1 1 1 3 5 2 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft) Height (ft) 4-10' 2 2 2 2 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 2 2 4 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	DBH (*) 1" g -12 1-3*@ 	Species CALNU ASPECTOS DESCHAR DESCHAR INC. CLA LYC. ANI THE DIME THE LIM MAL FU MAL FU RHNT RHNT PTI (R) DICRAM SPHATOL PLESCI AIN VIE COM	* Apple to blue prafit Som Adagrestri Con A	gronic k hadral (1) es % Cover 5 3 3 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 5 5 5 1 1 1 1 1	2 1 rort 5 v & M B, Height (ft) 2 uivalls 2 corsp 1 1 2 2 20 2 20	raincha bic fa- DBH (*) (14 F ** c-prise aito Se (** spine ** c-prise ** c-pr
updated July 7, 2	Provide Pro	(temlock ((temlock ((temlock ((temlock ((temlock () (temlock () (temlock () (temlock ((temlock () (temlock () (temlock ((temlock () (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ())) (temlock ()))) (temlock ()))) (temlock ()))) (temlock ())))) (temlock ())))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	45 5 1 45 5 1 1 1 40 2 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 3 5 1 1 2 5 5 1 2 5 5 1 2 5 5 1 2 5 5 5 1 2 5 5 5 5	Height (1) 4-10' 2'-4' 1'-4' 2'-4	DBH(?) 1" g -12 1-3*(2) - 2(2) - (2) -	Species CAL NU ASRESTS DESCHAR DESCHAR INC. CLI LYC. ANU THE CHAR MAL FI MAL FI MAL FI RHYT SPHATOL FI ESCI ALN VIR COM	* Apple to blue prafit Sm Adagreshi Nor receptose Los Nor receptose Los Nor P Nor SM LOR LOR LOR LOR LOR LOR LOR LOR	gronic k hedral (1) es % Cover 5 3 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 5 5 90 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 1 Port 5 V& HB, Height (ft) 2 4 1 Port 1 1 1 2 2 20 2 20 2 20	raincha bie fa- DBH (*) (14 F (*espine aito se





	Surveyors:	LAF TU	B Date:	7/19/05	Landcover class name			
GPS used: Cro	mini		WP: 37-	111/00	l'a da	1.	the al	
Lat: 59.53	368		Elevation (ft): 5	52	parties	sous pe	on reing	
Long: 150, 2	5583		Error ± 20.9		Slope (0-100°):)		-
Camera used:		Photos taken:	4675- 46	78 = 2 soil ,	Aspect (0-360°):	7.41	True	1/1
Ko.	dok /	Air Photo #	Soldana C.	-1 3-21	Plot Size: 30 x	30_(ft)	14	2
Vegetated	% Cover	Height (ft)	Unvegetated	% Cover	Soils			
Needleleaf	2	4	Litter, duff		Alkalinity C)		0
Broadleaf			Wood (>0.4")		pH 4.	9		0
Tall shrub (>5 ft)	1	7	Silt (feel on tongue)		Draw soils schematic	: below:	4	1.8
Low (8" > 5 ft)	G	2-5	Sand (feel h/w finge	ers)	(include horizon, texts	are, depths, water	r table etc.)	
Dwarf (<8")	30 32		Small rocks (gravel	<3")	cottingues		pricac	con
Forb	35 34		Large rocks		Sphagnan		faur	10
Grass	2		(cobbles 3-8", bould	lers >8")	tan	57	Lub M. For	11
Sedge	60 60		Bedrock		- J#1 "	. 1	aut au	
Moss	35 36		Trunks of trees		- 8"0;		1. 11	1 bu
Lichen	2		(basal area)		- 401		16 00	A.C.
Unvegetated	3		Other (describe):	1.	Dabast	1	0	
Water	6		-		0		1	JE 9°
Biomer	Product 1	Dealart	Y 16		-12 00		do	no
Alpine	Hydrologic	Regime:	Landtorm		2"00		5	
Subalpine	Mesic		- best rock	basighanch	tool		TOTI CE	the lis
Forest	Wei		TREE CORES (Ma)	6	ash 1.5 br	Lite	2 an	5
Bog	Aquatic - FI	w	VASCULARS COL	LECTED (No.)	10.5 gr	ayont		
Herb meadow	Aquatic - br	ackish	NON-VASCULARS	COLLECTED (No.)	1 1 0.0	od by	1.	
Riparian	Aquatic - SV	W	SOIL SAMPLES (N	o.) Z			loa	+"
PCT: Tall	Cotteng	1255 -	Pate Tubbed Bulli	udification	* 514 75,50 0.10,20 ** 514 90,5	and 5		
PCT: Toll Species	Cottong	7255	Pate Tubbed Bulli Height (R) DBH (ush species	* 514 75,50 0.14,20 ** 514 90,5 0115	and 5 And 5 % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Toll Species Eri and	Cotteng	7255	Tubbed Bullin Height (ft) DBH (udification USA Species CMP 10	+ silt 75,50 0.10,20 ** silt 90,5 0015	and 5 % Cover	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Toll Species Eri ene Tri cec	Cotteng	7255 % Cover 55 10	Patter Patter	ush species Vec u	+ silt 75,50 0.10,20 ** silt 90,5 0015	and 5 * Cover 10 2.	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri con Tri con Harcostro	Cottong	7255	Pate Tubbed Bullin Height (A) DBH (ad agros is an	USh Species Cryp M Vdc U Wirels Crs st	* 514 75,50 0.10, 20 * * 514 90,5 0015	and 5 % Cover 10 12 4 4 4	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Toll Species Eri coc Agrostis Val at	Cottong (LAF)	7255 - % Cover 55 10 2 4 T	Path Tubled Bulli Height (R) DBH (Col agros ris ag	USA Species Voc u wireks Cos st Oxy m Axy m	+ 514 25,50 0.10, 20 * + 514 70,5 0015 19 19 19 10	2010- and 5 10 12 12 12 12 12 12	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Tyll Species Eri and Tri anostrs Marcastrs Car Maco Car Maco	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 2tr racheete	7255	Putton Tuffed Bullin Height (R) DBH (ad agros tis ag	USh Species O Species O Voc u wirets Cos st Oxy o And pe	* 514 75,50 0.10, 20 0.10, 20,	2010- and 5 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 2	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Tall Species Eri and Tri car Harroaks (ar mac Car mac Car shi (a	(LAF)	7255	Public Bullin Height (1) DBH (1) Od agrostis ag	Udification USA Species Compon Vec universes And pe Las p	* 514 75,50 0.10. 20 0.10. 20 0.00. 200	brown and 5 4 Cover 10 12 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri car- transis Car mac Car mac Car mac Car sh Ca Car sh Ca Ah vir si	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) Cotteng	7255	Tubled Bullin Height (1) DBH (ad agross is an (TVG) 3-7	USh Species Consp in Vice u uirols Coss in And pe Los p	+ 514 25,50 0.10, 20 * + 514 25,50 0.10, 20 * + 514 20,5 0015 0015 0015	brown and 5 4 Cover 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cec- tharoshs L Val at Car mac Car and Car shy Ca Aln Vir Sii Cla pur	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 Jr racheete Directed On	7855 - % Cover 55 10 2 4 T T T T 7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Tubled Bullin Height (11) DBH (201 Agrestis Ag (1143) 3-7 2-7	USh Species Orgo m Voc u wirels Cos st And po Los p	+ 514 25,50 0.10, 20 * + 514 70,5 0015 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	brown and 5 100 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	Height (ft)	DBH (
PCT: Toll Species Gri and Tri acco Haroshs (Val 28 Car mac Cel can Cer sh (a Hin vir su ICla pyr ICla pyr ICla pyr	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 20 atr racheeta olicched 6	10 72.55 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Tubled Bullin Height (10) DBH (Congress tis ag (1703) 3-7 2-7 2-3 4	Udification Species O Species Oxy or Avid pe Las p	* 514 25,50 0.10, 20 0.10, 20,	2010- 2010- 2015	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Tell Species Tri cer- transis (ar mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac	(LAF) (LAF) (LAF) (LAF) (LAF) (LAF) (LAF)	7255 % Cover 55 10 10 1 T T T 7/-3) 1 2 5 2 2	Public pu	USh Species Oryp M Vec U And pe Las P Dices	* 514 75,50 0.10, 20 0.10, 20,	browning 5 and 5 10 12 12 13 12 14 1 1 3 1 2	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tci cac- trarostra Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car sty Co Aln vir su Kola pyr TSU mac	Cetteng (LAF) (LAF) (CAF) (CAF) (CAF)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Tuffed Bullin Height (10) DBH (DBH (10) DBH (DBH (10) DBH (10) DBH (10) DBH (10) DBH (10) DBH (10) DBH (10) D	Ushahim Species Ongo un Vec u wireks Ces st And pe Las p Decres Spekage	* 514 25,50 0,10, 20 * * 5,17 90,5 0,15 0,15 0,15 0,15 0,15 0,15 0,15 0	bonn- and 5 10 12 12 12 1 2 1 2 1 1 30	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri eni Tri cec- Agroshs L' val at Car mac Car and Car sh la An vir si Cla pyr TSA mac Fau ci	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) Cottent Cottent Cottent Cotteng	7255	Tubled Bullin Height (11) DBH (20 Agrestis ag (TV3) 3-7 2-3 4	USh Species Orgo m Voc u wirels Cos st And po Los p Diceso Voc us And po Los p Diceso Voc us And po Los p	+ 514 25,50 0,m, 20 * * 514 70,5 0m5 e Mic tro	browning 5 ind	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri ene Tri coc Anorshis Val 22 Car mac Car shy Ca Aln Vir Si Val 22 Car shy Ca Aln Vir Si Val 24 Car shy Ca Aln Vir Si Val 20 Car ca Car	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 2tr racheete oliected 6 n collected 6 n collected 6 n collected 6 n	725 - 1 % Cover 65 10 1 7 7 7 7 1 2 2 15 15 15 15 15	рий Tuffed Bulli Height (10) DBH (Belagrostis ag 	USh Species O'Species O'Species Vocu uirets Cos st Oxy n Avid pe Los p Los p Decus Nacon Species Decu	* 514 25,50 0.10, 20 * * 511 90,5 001 5 001 5 000 5 00000000	britter and 5 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Height (ft)	<u>DBH (</u>
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cec- transis Car mac Car cac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car cac Car mac Car mac Car cac Car cac Cac Car cac Car cac	Cottang (LAF) Tachaeta olicala olicala o	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(7VC) 3-7- 2-3 4 (1-3) 3% (USA Species Cryp M Vice U Vice U And pe Las P Dices 0.7 offerrey Cloding	* 514 25,50 0,10, 20 * * 5,14 70,5 0015 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	bonn- bond 5 10 12 12 12 13 10 12 12 13 10 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cec- traroshs Car mac Car mac Car shy Ca Car ca Car shy Ca Car shy Ca Daedecatt San shi Can day Dae dat	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) (CAF) Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotteng	7255 * Cover 55 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Justical Bullin Height (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) DBH (th) Dag ros tis an Th Total and the second sec	Ushahim Species Oryp in Vec u uirels Cos st And p Las p Decro Splage Telsercy Clocking	* 514 25,50 0, M, 20 * * 514 70,5 0 M 5 0 M 5	brown and 5 12 12 1 2 1 30 5 3 2 3 3 5 3 2 3 3 5 3 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri ena Tri cec- Agroshs L' val at Cer shy la Aln vir si Cla pyr Tsi mar Fru ci Dedecett San shi Cen dau Dro rot	Cotteng Cotteng (LAF) Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotteng C	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Public (1)	USh Species Orgo M Voc U wirets Cos St Oxy M And po Las P Diceso Voc U Diceso Clockercy Clockercy Clockercy	+ sit 25,50 0, m, 20 * * sit 90,5 0ms in in tro vin spl in vin spl in vin spl in vin spl in in spl in in in in spl in in in in in in in in in spl in in in in in in in in in in	britter and 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Spreis Tri cer tri cer tri cer transis (val 28 Car mac Car m	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 Jr racheete oliccled & n collected & n collected &	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	рий Tuffed Bulli Height (10) DBH (Belagrostis ag 	USA Species Orype Voc u uirobs Cos st Oxy or Avid pe Los p Deces Deces Deces Deces Deces Deces Deces Cos de Cos de	* 514 25,50 0.10, 20 ** \$ 514 90,5 0015 0015 0015 0015 0015 0015 0015 0	britter and 5 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cec- traval 22 Car mac Car mac Car sh Ca Aln vir su Kala pyr Tau mar Frau cri Deedecatt San shi Car dat Car cal Era dat Car mac Car ca Car mac Car	Cetteng (LAF) (LAF) (CA	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(743) 7-3)3%	225 (Species Orgp M Vec U wirds Ces St Ory P And P Las P Decre VSS Plagon Tothers O.Tothers Clading Clading	+ SH 25,50 0,M. 20 * SIF 90,5 0MS 0MS MIC F F F MIC F F MIC F MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC	bonn- bonn- sol 5 36 Cover 10 12 12 13 13 1 30 5 7 3 2 2 1 30 5 7 2 2 1 30 5 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cer- Agroshs L' Val 28 Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car shy Ca Aln Vir Si Cla pyr TSA mac Dredecatt San Shi Can dou Dro rot Can dou	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) (LAF) Cottent Cottent Cottent Cottent Cottent Cotteng	7255	July July Tuly Color Color Height (ft) DBH (ft) DBH (ft) Color Color Color Color	USA Species Orgo M Voc U United Cos St And pe Las p Dices Voc U And pe Las p Dices Voc U And pe Las p Cos St Dices Voc U Cos St And pe Las p Cos St Cos	+ 514 25,50 0,m, 20 * * sit 90,5 0m5 e Mic t t ro um gp stric t t ro um gp stric t t t ro stric t t t ro	bonn- bonn- ind 5 5 5 10 12 12 1 12 1 2 1 30 3 3 2 1 30 3 2 1 30 3 3 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri ene Tri cec- Agroshs L' val at Cer shy la Aln vir sii Tsa mar Pran coi Dedecett San shi Cen dou Dro rot Cen cel San shi Cen dou Dro rot Cen cel Lin vul Sen shi Sen fa Tre tri	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 Jr racheete olicelea enear (collec	125 - 1 16 Cover 16 Cover 16 Cover 16 Cover 17 T 17 Cover 16 Cover 17 T 17 Cover 16 Cover 17 T 17 Cover 18 Cover 19 Cover 10 Cover	Public (1)	USh Species Orype M Voc U wirets Cos st Oxy M And Pe Las P Decreo Voc U Decreo Cloding Cloding	+ sit 25,50 0, m, 20 * * sit 90,5 0ms e mic tro win 50 win 50	britter and 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri enci Tri cec- trarostas Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car sty Ca Car sty Ca Pro Cat Car and Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Dro ta Ceu cel Dro ta Co Dro ta Co Co Dro ta Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 20 atr racheeta olicolea n rean(collea	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(TVC) 3-7- 2-3 4 (1-3) 3%	Udihahim USN Species Oryp u Vdc u uirobs Cos st Oxy n Avid pe Los p Dicus Di	* 514 25,50 0.M. 20 * * 514 79,5 0015 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	bonn- bonn- sind 5 scover 10 12 12 12 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cec- traval 28 Car mac Cer sty Ca Car mac Cer sty Ca Aln vir su ISLa pyr TSU mer Ereu cri Deedecatt San sti Cer dou Dro cot San sti Cen dou Dro cot Sel sel Sel sel Tie th Cop tri Spi com	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) (Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotten Cotteng Cotte	7255 * Cover 55 10 2 7 7 7 7 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	7.494cal Bullin Height (11) DBH (DBH (D	Udification Species Oryp in Vec u wirels Cos st And p Las p Deces Sploogn Totheres Clocking Clo	* 514 25,50 0,M. 20 ** 5,14 90,5 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS 0MS	bonn- and 5 10 12 12 12 1 2 1 30 5 5 1 30 5 5 1 30 5 5	Height (ft)	<u>рвн (</u>
PLT: Toll Species Eri and Tri cer- Agroshs L' Val 22 Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car mac Car sh Ca Aln Vir su Tsu mac Drodecatt San shi Can dou Dro rat Can vul San shi Can cal Bin vul Sen shi Can cal Bin vul Sel sa Car sh Can ca Car sh Can ca Car ca Dro rat Car sh Can ca Car sh Car ca Dro rat Car sh Can ca Dro rat Car sh Car sh Car ca Dro rat Car ca Car ca Dro rat Car ca Car ca Dro rat Car ca Car ca Car ca Dro rat Car ca Car ca Car ca Dro rat Car ca Car ca	Cotteng (LAF) (LAF) 2 Jrr racheeta oliccleale man(colle	7255	<i>Tubled Bulli</i> Height (10) DBH (Height (10) DBH (20 agrostis ag (TV3) 3-7 2-3 4 	USh Species Oryp in Voc u wirels Cos st And pe Los p Dicus Voc us And pe Los p Dicus Voc us And pe Los p Cos st Cos st	+ sH 25,50 0,m, 20 * * sit 90,5 oms in in in in in in in in in in	bonn- ind 5 5 5 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Height (ft)	<u>DBH (</u>
PLT: Toll Spreis Tri cer- tri cer tri cer transis Car mac Car car mac car	Cotteng (LAF) 2 Jr 2	125 - 1 12 - 1 15 - 1 17 - 7 17 -	<i>Tubled Bulli</i> Height (1) DBH (<i>Bullight (1)</i> DBH (<i>Classical States of the state</i>	USh Species O Species O Mayor Use Use Use Use Decreo Decreo Decreo Cleding Cleding Cleding	+ sit 25,50 0, m. 20 * * sit 90,5 om 5 e mic tro www.sp sypt in ypt - for tro - for - f	britter and 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Tri cer- tri cer- tri cer- tri cer- tri cer Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Mac Car Cat Car Mac Car Cat Car Car Dedecett San Sta Car Cat Car Car Cat Car	Cotteng (LAF) 2 Jr 2 Jr 2 Jr 2 Jr 2 Jr 2 Achooth oliceled O 2 Achooth 2 Achoot	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	<i>Tuffed Bulli</i> Height (1) DBH (2019705 15 87 (71/2) 2-7 2-3 4 (1-3) 3% (1-3) 3	USh Species Orype Vocu uirets Cosst Oxy p And pe Los p Deces Deces Distance Clocks	* SH 25,50 0,M, 20 * * SH 70,5 0MS 0MS MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC H C MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC MIC	bonn- and 5 10 12 12 1 2 30 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Height (ft)	DBH (
PLT: Toll Species Eri end Tri cec- transs Car mac Cer sh Ca Alm Vir Si Victa pyr Tia mar Fau ci Decleast San shi Cer at Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Dro Cat Ceu cel Bry vul Sel sel Gen pla Tie th Cap Fri Spi rom Can bla Cap Fri Spi rom Can bla Cap Fri Spi rom	Cetteng (LAF)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 	(TVC) 3-7- 2-3 4 (1-3) 3% (1-3)	Ushahim USh Ospecies Orgp in Vec u wirols Ces st And pe And pe	* SH 25,50 0,M. 20 ** SH 29,5 0M15 inf Mic H ro win sp win sp inf Mic H ro 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bonn- and 5 36 Cover 10 12 2 4 4 4 4 30 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Height (ft)	DBH (