WEED RISK ASSESSMENT FORM

Botanical name:	Chenopodium album L.	
Common name:	lambsquarters, white goosefoot	
Assessors:	Irina Lapina Botanist, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 tel: (907) 257-2710; fax (907) 257-2789	Matthew L. Carlson, Ph.D. Assistant Research Professor, Botany Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage 707 A Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501 tel: (907) 257-2790: fax (907) 257-2789
Reviewers:	Michael Shephard Vegetation Ecologist Forest Health Protection State & Private Forestry, 3301 C Street, Suite 202, Anchorage, AK 99503 (907) 743-9454; fax 907 743-9479	Julie Riley Horticulture Agent, UAF Cooperative Extension Service 2221 E. Northern Lights Blvd. #118 Anchorage, AK 99508-4143 tel: (907) 786-6306
	Jeff Conn, Ph.D. Weed Scientist, USDA Agricultural Research Service PO Box 757200 Fairbanks, Alaska 99775 tel: (907) 474- 7652; fax (907) 474-6184 Page Spencer, Ph.D. Ecologist, National Park Service, Alaska Region - Biological Resources Team, 240 W. 5th Ave, #114, Anchorage, AK 99501 tel: (907) 644-3448	Jamie M. Snyder UAF Cooperative Extension Service 2221 E. Northern Lights Blvd. #118 Anchorage, AK 99508-4143 tel: (907) 786-6310 alt. tel: (907) 743-9448

Outcome score:

А.	Climatic Comparison		
	This species is present or may potentially establish in the following		
	eco-geographic regions:		
1	South Coastal	Yes	
2	Interior-Boreal	Yes	
3	Arctic-Alpine	Yes	
	This species is unlikely to establish in any region in Alaska		

В.	Invasiveness Ranking	Total (Total Answered*)	Total
		Possible	
1	Ecological impact	40 (40)	5
2	Biological characteristic and dispersal ability	25 (25)	10
3	Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 (25)	15
4	Feasibility of control	10 (10)	5
	Outcome score	100 (<mark>100</mark>) ^b	35 ^a
	Relative maximum score†		0.35

* For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value for the question in parentheses for "Total Answered Points Possible."

 \dagger Calculated as $^{a/b}$.

A. CLIMATIC COMPARISON:

1.1 Has this species ever been collected or documented in Alaska?
Yes Yes – continue to 1.2 No – continue to 2.1
1.2. Which eco-geographic region has it been collected or documented (see inset map)? *Proceed to Section B. Invasiveness Ranking.*

- Yes Interior-Boreal
- Yes Arctic-Alpine



Documentation: *Chenopodium album* has been collected from all Alaskan ecoregions: South Coastal (Afognak, Kodiak, Middleton Island, and Skagway), Interior-Boreal (Anchorage, Bettles, Big Delta, Circle, Fairbanks, Gulkana, Ophir), and Arctic-Alpine (Nulato) (Hultén 1968, Welsh 1974, Densmore et al. 2001, UAM 2003)

Sources of information:

- Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.
- Hultén, E. 1968. Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA. 1008 p.

University of Alaska Museum. University of Alaska Fairbanks. 2003. http://hispida.museum.uaf.edu:8080/home.cfm

Welsh, S. L. 1974. Anderson's flora of Alaska and adjacent parts of Canada. Brigham University Press. 724 pp.

2.1. Is there a 40% or higher similarity (based on CLIMEX climate matching) between climates any where the species currently occurs and

a. Juneau (South Coastal Region)?

Yes – record locations and similarity; proceed to Section B. Invasiveness Ranking

No

- b. Fairbanks (Interior-Boreal)?
 - Yes record locations and similarity; proceed to Section B. Invasiveness Ranking

No

- c. Nome (Arctic-Alpine)?
 - Yes record locations and similarity; proceed to Section B.

Invasiveness Ranking

No

- If "No" is answered for all regions, reject species from consideration

Documentation:

Sources of information:

B. INVASIVENESS RANKING

1. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT

1.1. Impact on Natural Ecosystem Processes

- A. No perceivable impact on ecosystem processes 0 Influences ecosystem processes to a minor degree (e.g., has a perceivable but mild B. 3 influence on soil nutrient availability) C. Significant alteration of ecosystem processes (e.g., increases sedimentation rates along 7 streams or coastlines, reduces open water that are important to waterfowl) Major, possibly irreversible, alteration or disruption of ecosystem processes (e.g., the 10 D. species alters geomorphology; hydrology; or affects fire frequency, altering community composition; species fixes substantial levels of nitrogen in the soil making soil unlikely to support certain native plants or more likely to favor non-native species)
- U. Unknown

Score	1
Documentation:	
Identify ecosystem processes impacted:	
<i>Chenopodium album</i> has not been observed in undisturbed areas in Alaska (Densmore et al. 2001, Hultén 1968, Welsh 1974). It is unlikely that measurable impacts to ecosystem processes occur due to its presence.	
Rational:	
Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National	
Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region,	
Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.	

	Hultén, E. 1968. Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories. Stanford University	
	Welsh, S. L. 1974. Anderson's flora of Alaska and adjacent parts of Canada. Brigham	
	University Press. 724 pp.	
1.2. Imp	pact on Natural Community Structure	0
A. D	No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its structure	0
В. С	Significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation of a new layer or elimination of	3 7
C.	an existing layer)	1
D.	Major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eradicating most or all layers below)	10
U.	Unknown Score	1
	Documentation:	-
	Identify type of impact or alteration:	
	Lambsquarters establishes in a sparsely vegetated herbaceous layer, increasing the	
	density of the layer in south central Alaska (I. Lapina and M. L. Carlson – pers obs.). Rational:	
	Sources of information:	
	Carlson, M. L. Assistant Research Professor – Botany, Alaska Natural Heritage	
	Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Tel: (007) 257 2790 Perc. obs	
	Lapina, I., Botanist, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska	
	Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Tel: (907) 257-2710) – Pers. obs.	
1.3. Imp	pact on Natural Community Composition	0
A.	No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations	0
В.	more native species in the community)	3
C.	Significantly alters community composition (e.g., produces a significant reduction in	7
D	the population size of one or more native species in the community)	10
D.	causes major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one or several native species, reducing biodiversity or change the community	10
	composition towards species exotic to the natural community)	
U.	Unknown	r
	Score	0
	Documentation:	
	Lambsquarters has not been observed in undisturbed areas in Alaska, no perceived	
	impact on native populations has been documented (Densmore et al. 2001).	
	Rational:	
	Densmore, R.V., P. C. McKee and C. Roland, 2001, Exotic plants in Alaskan National	
	Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.	
1.4. Imp	pact on higher trophic levels (cumulative impact of this species on the	
animals	, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades)	
A.	Negligible perceived impact	0
B.	Minor alteration Moderate alteration (minor reduction in posting/foreging sites, reduction in behitet	3
C.	connectivity, interference with native pollinators, injurious components such as spines, toxins)	/
D.	Severe alteration of higher trophic populations (extirpation or endangerment of an	10
TT	existing native species/population, or significant reduction in nesting or foraging sites)	
U.	UIIKIIOWII	
	Score	3
	Score Score	3

Plants are reported to be poisonous to sheep and pigs, but no data is present regarding	
its toxicity to native herbivores (CU-PPID 2004). It is an alternate host for a number of	
viral diseases of barley, beet, potato, turnip, and tobacco – a number of these crops are	
grown commercially in Alaska (Royer and Dickinson 1999).	
Rational:	
All parts of the plants contain nitrate.	
Sources of information:	
Cornel University: Poisonous Plants Informational Database.	
http://www.ansci.cornell.edu	
Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The	
University of Alberta press. 434 pp.	
Total Possible	40
Total	5

2. BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DISPERSAL ABILITY

2.1. Mode of reproduction

A.	Not aggressive reproduction (few [0-10] seeds per plant and no vegetative	0
	reproduction)	
B.	Somewhat aggressive (reproduces only by seeds (11-1,000/m ²)	1
C.	Moderately aggressive (reproduces vegetatively and/or by a moderate amount of seed,	2
	<1,000/m ²)	
D.	Highly aggressive reproduction (extensive vegetative spread and/or many seeded,	3
	$>1,000/m^2$)	

U. Unknown

Score	3
Documentation: Describe key reproductive characteristics (including seeds per plant): Lambsquarters reproduces entirely by seed. Each plant can produce over 500,000 seeds (Royer and Dickinson 1999). Rational:	
Sources of information: Royer, F. and R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The University of Alberta press. 434 pp.	
2.2. Innate potential for long-distance dispersal (bird dispersal, sticks to animal hair,	
buoyant fruits, wind-dispersal)	
A. Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms)	0

B. Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations)

2

3

- C. Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (species has adaptations such as pappus, hooked fruit-coats, etc.)
- U. Unknown

Score2Documentation:Identify dispersal mechanisms:Chenopodium album lacks any seed dispersal adaptations and most seeds are deposited
near the parental plant. Seeds may be washed into ditches and can be moved long
distances despite lacking buoyancy. Also, seeds remain viable after passing through
digestive tract of animals (Rutledge and McLendon 1996).Rational:Sources of information:
Rutledge, C.R., and T. McLendon. 1996. An Assessment of Exotic Plant Species of
Rocky Mountain National Park. Department of Rangeland Ecosystem
Science, Colorado State University. 97 pp. Northern Prairie Wildlife
Research Center Home Page.
http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/othrdata/Explant/explant.htm (Version
15DEC98).

possible	e mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation,	-		
spread a	along highways, transport on boats, contamination, etc.)			
A.	Does not occur			0
В.	Low (human dispersal is infrequent or inefficient)			1
C.	Moderate (human dispersal occurs)			2
D.	High (there are numerous opportunities for dispersal to new areas)			3
U.	Unknown			
		Score	2	
	Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: The seeds can be a contaminant in grass and cereal seed. Has been reported to be spread as contaminant of the topsoil and horticultural stock. It appears to spread a off road vehicle trails and road edges in Alaska (M. L. Carlson – pers. obs.) Rational:	along		
	Sources of information: Carlson, M. L., Assistant Research Professor – Botany, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska (907) 257-2790 – Pers. obs.	a. Tel:		
	 Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan Nation Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp. Hodkinson, D., K. Thompson. 1997. Plant dispersal: the role of man. Journal of Applied Ecology, 34: 1484-1496. 	nal		
2.4. All	elopathic			
А.	No			0
B.	Yes			2
U.	Unknown			
		Score	2	
	Documentation:			
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants:			
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a	and		
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect	and ts		
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects.	and ts		
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational:	and ts		
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational:	and ts		
	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy.	and ts		
2.5. Co	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability	and ts		
2.5. Cor A.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors	and ts		0
2.5. Con A. B.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors	and ts		0
2.5. Con A. B. C.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability	and ts		0 1 3
2.5. Cor A. B. C. U.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown	and ts		0 1 3
2.5. Con A. B. C. U.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown	and ts Score	0	0 1 3
2.5. Con A. B. C. U.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown	and ts Score	0	0 1 3
2.5. Con A. B. C. U.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown	and ts Score	0	0 1 3
2.5. Cor A. B. C. U.	Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors Highly competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Lambsquarters is moderately competitive for moisture and nutrient in cultivated to However, it competes poorly with native species (Densmore et al. 2001, Royer an Dickinson 1999, Rutledge and McLendon 1996). Rational:	Score fields.	0	0 1 3
2.5. Con A. B. C. U.	 Documentation: Describe effect on adjacent plants: Leachates from <i>Chenopodium album</i> significantly reduce tomato shoot biomass a accumulation of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Qasem et al. 1989). The allelopathic effect were separated from competitive effects. Rational: Sources of information: No records concerning allelopathy. mpetitive ability Poor competitor for limiting factors Moderately competitive for limiting factors and/or nitrogen fixing ability Unknown Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Lambsquarters is moderately competitive for moisture and nutrient in cultivated for However, it competes poorly with native species (Densmore et al. 2001, Royer and Dickinson 1999, Rutledge and McLendon 1996). Rational: Sources of information: 	Score fields.	0	0 1 3

	 Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The University of Alberta press. 434 pp. Rutledge, C.R., and T. McLendon. 1996. An Assessment of Exotic Plant Species Rocky Mountain National Park. Department of Rangeland Ecosystem Science, Colorado State University. 97 pp. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Home Page. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/othrdata/Explant/explant.htm (Ve 15DEC98). 	s of rsion		
2.6. For	rms dense thickets, climbing or smothering growth habit, or otherwis	se		
taller th	an the surrounding vegetation			
A.	No			0
В.	Forms dense thickets			1
C.	Has climbing or smothering growth habit, or otherwise taller than the surroundir vegetation	g		2
U.	Unknown			
		Score	0	
	Documentation:			
	Describe grow form: Lambsquarters can grow up to 3 ½ feet tall (Royer and Dickinson 1999), but usu does not form dense stands in Alaska (I. Lapina – pers. obs.). Rational:	ally		
	 Sources of information: Lapina, I., Botanist, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Tel: (907) 257-2710) – Pers Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The University of Alberta press. 434 pp. 	. obs.		
2.7. Ge	rmination requirements			
A.	Requires open soil and disturbance to germinate			0
В.	Can germinate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions			2
C.	Can germinate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions			3
U.	Unknown			
		Score	0	
	Documentation:			
	Describe germination requirements: Seeds must be in the top 3 cm of soil to germinate. Light has also been reported necessary for germination. Germination is inhibited in areas shaded by other pla (Densmore et al. 2001, Royer and Dickinson 1999, Rutledge and McLendon 1999 Rational:	as nts 96).		
	 Sources of information: Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Reg Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp. Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The University of Alberta press. 434 pp. Rutledge, C.R., and T. McLendon. 1996. An Assessment of Exotic Plant Species: Rocky Mountain National Park. Department of Rangeland Ecosystem Science, Colorado State University. 97 pp. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Home Page. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/othrdata/Explant/explant.htm (Ve 15DEC98). 	nal ion, s of rsion		
2.0. Uli	No			Δ
4	INO .			

U. Unknown

Score 3

	Documentation:	
	Species:	
	Chenopodium murale L. is considered invasive (USDA, NRCS 2002).	
	Sources of information:	
	USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), NRCS (Natural Resource	
	Conservation Service). 2002. The PLANTS Database, Version 3.5	
	(http://plants.usda.gov). National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA /08/4- 4490 USA.	
2.9. Aq	uatic, wetland, or riparian species	
Α.	Not invasive in wetland communities	0
B.	Invasive in riparian communities	1
C.	Invasive in wetland communities	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	0
	Documentation:	
	Describe type of habitat:	
	Lambsquarters is found in cultivated fields, roadsides, and waste areas (Densmore et	
	al. 2001, Gubanov et al. 2003).	
	Rational:	
	Sources of information:	
	Densmore, R. V., P.C. MICKee, C. Koland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Dark Units. Deport on file with the National Dark Service. Alaska Decion	
	Anchorage Alaska 1/3 pp	
	Guhanov I A Kiseleva K V Novikov V S Tihomirov V N An Illustrated	
	identification book of the plants of Middle Russia, Vol. 2: Angiosperms	
	(dicots: archichlamydeans). Moscow: Institute of Technological Researches:	
	2003. 666 p.	
	Total Possible	25
	Total	10

3. DISTRIBUTION

0.0			
3.1. Is t	he species highly domesticated or a weed of agriculture		
A.	No		0
B.	Is occasionally an agricultural pest		2
C.	Has been grown deliberately, bred, or is known as a significant agricultural pest		4
U.	Unknown		
		Score	4
	Documentation:		
	Identify reason for selection, or evidence of weedy history:		
	Lambsquarters is a cosmopolitan weed of cultivated areas (Royer and Dickinson		
	1999).		
	Rational:		
	Sources of information.		
	Sources of Information: Power E and P. Dickinson 1000 Woods of the Northern U.S. and Canada The		
	University of Alberta press 434 pp		
32 Kn	own level of impact in natural areas		
Δ	Not known to cause impact in any other natural area		0
R	Known to cause impacts in natural areas, but in dissimilar habitats and climate z	ones	1
D.	than exist in regions of Alaska	ones	1
C.	Known to cause low impact in natural areas in similar habitats and climate zones	s to	3
0.	those present in Alaska		C
D.	Known to cause moderate impact in natural areas in similar habitat and climate a	cones	4
E.	Known to cause high impact in natural areas in similar habitat and climate zones		6
U.	Unknown		

		Score	1
	Documentation:		<u> </u>
	Identify type of habitat and states or provinces where it occurs:		
	<i>Chenopodium album</i> is found in river bottoms and eroded areas associated with	and	
	vellow pine forests in Arizona (Parker 1990).	and	
	Sources of information:		
	Parker, K.F. 1990. An illustrated guide to Arizona weeds. The University of Ariz	zona	
	Press, Tucson. Available		
33 Rol	<u>http://www.uapress.arizona.edu/offine.bks/weeds/titiweed.ntm</u>		
Δ	Requires anthropogenic disturbances to establish		0
B.	May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas but can readily establish in areas	s with	3
Б.	natural disturbances		2
C.	Can establish independent of any known natural or anthropogenic disturbances		5
U.	Unknown		
		Score	0
	Documentation:		
	Identify type of disturbance:		
	1-3 years unless the site is repeatedly disturbed (Densmore et al. 2001, Rover an	d only	
	Dickinson 1999).		
	Rational:		
	Sources of information.		
	Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland, 2001, Exotic plants in Alaskan Natio	nal	
	Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Reg	ion,	
	Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.		
	Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The		
34 Cu	rrent global distribution		
Δ	Occurs in one or two continents or regions (e.g., Mediterranean region)		0
B.	Extends over three or more continents		3
C.	Extends over three or more continents, including successful introductions in arct	ic or	5
	subarctic regions		-
U.	Unknown	_	·1
		Score	5
	Documentation:		
	Describe distribution:	North	
	and South America, Australia, Hawaii, Greenland, and New Zealand (Hultén 19	58).	
	Rational:	<i>.</i>	
	Sources of information: Hultén E 1968 Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories Stanford Universi	tv	
	Press, Stanford, CA. 1008 p.	- 9	
3.5. Ext	ent of the species U.S. range and/or occurrence of formal state or		
provinc	ial listing		
А.	0-5% of the states		0
В.	6-20% of the states		2
C.	21-50%, and/or state listed as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious," or "Invasive") i	n 1	4
D.	Greater than 50%, and/or identified as "Noxious" in 2 or more states or Canadian	n	5
2.	provinces		5
U.	Unknown		
		Score	5

	Documentation:	
	Identify states invaded:	
	Chenopodium album is listed as "Noxious" in Minnesota and as a "Weed" in	
	Kentucky, Nebraska and Florida, Manitoba, and Quebec (Invaders Database System	
	2003, Royer and Dickinson 1999, USDA, NRCS 2002).	
	Rational:	
	Sources of information:	
	Invaders Database System. The University of Montana. 2003. Montana Noxious Weed	
	Trust Fund. Department of Agriculture. http://invader.dbs.umt.edu/	
	Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The	
	University of Alberta press. 434 pp.	
	USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), NRCS (Natural Resource	
	Conservation Service). 2002. The PLANTS Database, Version 3.5	
	(http://plants.usda.gov). National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874- 4490 USA.	
	Total Possible	25
	Total	15
4. FI	EASIBILITY OF CONTROL	
4.1. See	ed banks	
٨	Soads remain viable in the soil for less than 3 years	Δ

A.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for less than 3 years	C
B.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for between 3 and 5 years	2
C.	Seeds remain viable in the soil for 5 years and more	3

3

U. Unknown

 Score

 Documentation:

 Identify longevity of seed bank:

 Viability of seeds was 35% after 4.7 years, and 4% after 9.7 years in seed viability

 experiment conducted in Fairbanks, Alaska (Conn and Deck 1995). Seeds have been

 reported to remain viable for at least 6 years in cultivated soil (Chepil 1946). Other

 authors suggested survival of seeds for 17, 20, and 24 years (Burnside et al. 1996,

 Lewis 1973, Chippindale and Milton 1934). 143-years old viable seeds of

 lambsquarters were extracted from adobe bricks of historic buildings in California and

 Northern Mexico (Spira and Wagner 1983).

 Rational:

 Sources of information:

 Burnside, O.C., R.G. Wilson, S. Weisberg, and K.G. Hubbard. 1996. Seed longevity of

 41 wead species hurid 17 years in Fastern and Western Nabraska. Wead

 41 weed species buried 17 years in Eastern and Western Nebraska. Weed Science 44: 74-86.
 Chepil, W.S. 1946. Germination of weed seeds. I. Longevity, periodicity of

Chepil, W.S. 1946. Germination of weed seeds. I. Longevity, periodicity of germination, and vitality of seeds in cultivated soil. Scientific agriculture 26: 307-346.

Chippindale, H.G. and W.E.J. Milton. 1934. On the viable seeds present in the soil beneath pastures. The Journal of Ecology 22(2): 508-531.

Conn, J.S. and R.E. Deck. 1995. Seed viability and dormancy of 17 weed species after 9.7 years of burial in Alaska. Weed Science 43: 583-585.

Lewis, J. 1973. Longevity of crop and weed seeds: survival after 20 years in soil. Weed Research 13: 179-191.

Spira, T. and L.K. Wagner. 1983. Viability of seeds up to 211 years old extracted from adobe brick buildings of California and Northern Mexico. American Journal of Botany 70(2): 303-307.

4.2. Vegetative regeneration

A.	No resprouting following removal of aboveground growth	0
B.	Resprouting from ground-level meristems	1
C.	Resprouting from extensive underground system	2

- D. Any plant part is a viable propagule
- U. Unknown

	Score	0
	Documentation: Describe vegetative respond: Lambsquarters does not resprout after removal of aboveground growth (Densmore et al. 2001). Rational:	
	Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.	
4.3. Lev	vel of effort required	
А.	Management is not required (e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic disturbance)	0
В.	Management is relatively easy and inexpensive; requires a minor investment in human and financial resources	2
C.	Management requires a major short-term investment of human and financial resources, or a moderate long-term investment	3
D. U	Management requires a major, long-term investment of human and financial resources	4
0.	Score	2
	Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: The plants are easily pulled up by hand. However, because of a long-lived seed bank several weedings may be necessary to eliminate plants germinating from buried seeds (Densmore et al. 2001). Rational: Sources of information: Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Derk Units, Banart on file with the National Dark Service. Alaska Pagian	
	Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.	
	Total Possible	10
	Total	5
	Total for 4 costions Dessible	100
	Total for 4 sections Possible	100
	Total for 4 sections	35

References:

- Burnside, O.C., R.G. Wilson, S. Weisberg, and K.G. Hubbard. 1996. Seed longevity of 41 weed species buried 17 years in Eastern and Western Nebraska. Weed Science 44: 74-86.
- Carlson, M. L. Assistant Research Professor Botany, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Tel: (907) 257-2790 – Pers. obs.
- Chepil, W.S. 1946. Germination of weed seeds. I. Longevity, periodicity of germination, and vitality of seeds in cultivated soil. Scientific agriculture 26: 307-346.
- Chippindale, H.G. and W.E.J. Milton. 1934. On the viable seeds present in the soil beneath pastures. The Journal of Ecology 22(2): 508-531.
- Conn, J.S. and R.E. Deck. 1995. Seed viability and dormancy of 17 weed species after 9.7 years of burial in Alaska. Weed Science 43: 583-585.

Cornel University: Poisonous Plants Informational Database. http://www.ansci.cornell.edu

- Densmore, R. V., P. C. McKee, C. Roland. 2001. Exotic plants in Alaskan National Park Units. Report on file with the National Park Service – Alaska Region, Anchorage, Alaska. 143 pp.
- Gubanov IA, Kiseleva KV, Novikov VS, Tihomirov VN. An Illustrated identification book of the plants of Middle Russia, Vol. 2: Angiosperms (dicots: archichlamydeans). Moscow: Institute of Technological Researches; 2003. 666 p.
- Hodkinson, D., K. Thompson. 1997. Plant dispersal: the role of man. Journal of Applied Ecology, 34: 1484-1496.
- Hultén, E. 1968. Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA. 1008 p.
- Invaders Database System. The University of Montana. 2003. Montana Noxious Weed Trust Fund. Department of Agriculture. <u>http://invader.dbs.umt.edu/</u>
- Lapina, I., Botanist, Alaska Natural Heritage Program, University of Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Tel: (907) 257-2710) – Pers. obs.
- Lewis, J. 1973. Longevity of crop and weed seeds: survival after 20 years in soil. Weed Research 13: 179-191.
- Parker, K.F. 1990. An illustrated guide to Arizona weeds. The University of Arizona Press, Tucson. Available <u>http://www.uapress.arizona.edu/online.bks/weeds/titlweed.htm</u>
- Royer, F., R. Dickinson. 1999. Weeds of the Northern U.S. and Canada. The University of Alberta press. 434 pp.
- Rutledge, C.R., and T. McLendon. 1996. An Assessment of Exotic Plant Species of Rocky Mountain National Park. Department of Rangeland Ecosystem Science, Colorado State University. 97 pp. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Home Page. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/othrdata/Explant/explant.htm (Version 15DEC98).
- Spira, T. and L.K. Wagner. 1983. Viability of seeds up to 211 years old extracted from adobe brick buildings of California and Northern Mexico. American Journal of Botany 70(2): 303-307.
- University of Alaska Museum. University of Alaska Fairbanks. 2003. http://hispida.museum.uaf.edu:8080/home.cfm
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), NRCS (Natural Resource Conservation Service). 2002. The PLANTS Database, Version 3.5 (http://plants.usda.gov). National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA.
- Welsh, S. L. 1974. Anderson's flora of Alaska and adjacent parts of Canada. Brigham University Press. 724 pp.